PSYCHOMETRIKA—VOL. 61, NO. 1, 191
MARCH 1996
ERRATA

ERRATA

In an article by H. J. Keselman, K. C. Carriere, and Lisa M. Lix (Vol. 60, No. 3) entitled "Robust and powerful nonorthogonal analyses", a number of typographical errors exist. They are: (a) On page 397, the second set of main effect hypotheses should be notated as H_R^* and H_C^*; (b) On page 399, the interaction effect (nine lines from the bottom of the page) should be $(\alpha\beta)_{jk}$; (c) On page 400, the 2×6 matrix $\mathbf{C}_1$ for testing H_C^* should be

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{n_{11}}{n_{.1}} & -\frac{n_{12}}{n_{.2}} & 0 & \frac{n_{21}}{n_{.1}} & -\frac{n_{22}}{n_{.2}} & 0 \\ \frac{n_{11}}{n_{.1}} & 0 & -\frac{n_{13}}{n_{.3}} & -\frac{n_{21}}{n_{.1}} & 0 & -\frac{n_{23}}{n_{.3}} \end{bmatrix};$$

(d) On page 401, the 2×6 contrast matrix for $\mathbf{C}_C^{**}$ should be

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_{11} - \frac{n_{11}^2}{n_{1.}} & -\frac{n_{11}n_{12}}{n_{1.}} & -\frac{n_{11}n_{13}}{n_{1.}} & n_{21} - \frac{n_{21}^2}{n_{2.}} & -\frac{n_{21}n_{22}}{n_{2.}} & -\frac{n_{21}n_{23}}{n_{2.}} \\ -\frac{n_{12}n_{11}}{n_{1.}} & \frac{n_{12} - n_{12}^2}{n_{1.}} & -\frac{n_{12}n_{13}}{n_{1.}} & -\frac{n_{22}n_{21}}{n_{2.}} & n_{22} - \frac{n_{22}^2}{n_{2.}} & -\frac{n_{22}n_{23}}{n_{2.}} \end{bmatrix};$$

and (e) On page 402, the $\mathbf{C}_1$ matrices for H_R^* and H_C^* should be $[\mathbf{C}_R'\mathbf{C}_C'\mathbf{C}_{R\times C}']$ and $[\mathbf{C}_C'\mathbf{C}_R'\mathbf{C}_{R\times C}']$, respectively

0033-3123/96/0300-94198$00.75/0

© 1996 The Psychometric Society

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02296967 Published online by Cambridge University Press