Index

accountability
  carbon majors, 247–48, 319–20
attributing emissions, 248–53
  deforestation, 263
  lack of damaging narratives, 297–300
  private parties, 246–47
  supply-side accountability gap, 321–22, 334
    common but differentiated responsibilities principle, 332–33
    Gloucester Resources case, 328
    Gray case, 328
    no-harm principle, 332
    People v. *Arctic Oil*, 324–27, 334
    perfect substitution principle, 329–31
  visual evidence, importance of, 273, 284
adequacy of efforts to reduce emissions. *See* assessment of climate change policies; failure to adequately mitigate
administrative due process claims, 127
administrative law cases, 120, 122–24
airport expansion, 124
  climate litigation currents, 126–27
  coal power stations, development of, 124
  precedents, 117–29
Africa
  balancing development and environmental rights, 377–79
  failures of legal systems, 379–80
  Indigenous peoples' movements, 380–81
  inequalities and injustices, 376–77
    exacerbation through climate change, 377
  See also Kenya; South Africa
air travel
  balancing impact of emissions and rights of others, 179–80
  offset or compensation for emissions, 179, 358
  tax credits, 32
airport expansion, 2, 124, 130
  judicial deference, 309
Alaska Inter-Tribal Council (AITC)
  global warming, impact on Indigenous peoples, 282
Alston, Philip, 267–68
Amazon (corporation)
  corporate liability for climate change, 139
Amazon rainforest
  deforestation, 2, 12–13, 99, 350
  Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku case, 276–80
assessment of climate change policies
  "all appropriate measures", 171
  consistency, 173
  due diligence obligation. *See* due diligence obligation
  due process, 173
  good faith, 173
  "highest possible ambition", 171
  "maximum available resources", 172
  proportionality, 173
    attribution research, 223–24, 238
    failure-to-adapt claims, 234–38
    failure-to-mitigate claims, 231–34
    individual versus collective rights, 224–30
  source attribution, 232–34
Australia, 328–29
  administrative climate litigation
    Bushfire Survivors case. *See Bushfire Survivors for Climate Action Incorporated* v. *Environment Protection Authority*

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

Gloucester Resources Limited case, 328
Gray case, 328
Greenpeace Australia case, 124
National Environmental Policy Act, 124
Torres Strait. *See* Torres Strait islanders
wildfires, 227
visual evidence, importance of, 285–87

balancing competing priorities, 32, 257, 340, 343
Africa, 377–79
Amazon rainforest, 360
India, 364, 370–75
Bangladesh
climate change inequalities, 134–35, 144
baseline rights and duties
common ground doctrine, 25–27
bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECSS), 185
Brazil
challenges to environmental policy, 359–61
"direct" climate actions, 355
environmental and climate crisis
criminalization of environmental activism, 349
fires and deforestation, 349–50
illegal logging, 350
weakening of institutional framework, 350–52, 361–62
human rights defenders, 350–52
illegal drainage of mangrove forests, 357–58
illegal use of fire, 358
"indirect" climate actions, 355, 357–58
"isolated" court actions, 355
public civil actions, 358–59
racial inequalities
disproportionate impacts of climate change, 352–53, 363
release of carbon dioxide, 358
"structural" court actions, 355
Bushfire Survivors for Climate Action Incorporated v. *Environment Protection Authority*, 285–87

Canada
forced displacement as a result of climate change, 226
public interest standing, 316
wildfires, 227
carbon dioxide (CO_2), 240–41
oil and gas extraction, impact of, 241, 248, 320
sources, 241–44, *242*
carbon majors, 2
accountability, 319–20
attribution, 250–53, *251*
supply-side accountability gap, 321–22
advancements in climate science, 209
aims of litigation, 210
attributing source emissions, 250
attribution
accountability, 250–53, *251*
direct financial impacts of litigation
defendants, 215
direct regulatory impacts of litigation, 214
impacts of litigation, 207, 218–19
financial impacts, 215–18
regulatory impacts, 214–15
indirect financial impacts of litigation
devaluation of shares, 216–18
increasing capital costs, 216
investors, 216
liability insurance, 216
indirect regulatory impacts of litigation, 215
land lost to sea level rises, 251
negligence, 119, 211
number of cases, 209
private nuisance, 119, 211
production gap, 321
public nuisance, 211
responsibility for emissions, 239, *242*, 247–50
strategic litigation, 208
tort law, 211
causality and human rights–based climate litigation, 15, 36, 308
asbestos litigation, 314
attribution research, 224
failure-to-adapt claims, 234–38
Fairchild principle, 339–41
liability model of responsibility, 36
wildfire litigation, 227
Center for Climate Crime Analysis (CCCA), 256, 260
cooperation, 265
core principles, 261–62
illegal logging and deforestation, 265
Children's Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF), 196, 201
children's rights, 142, 224. *See also Future Generations* v. *Colombia*; *Juliana* v. *United States*; ICCPR complaint; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child; UN Convention on the Rights of the Child

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

civil law cases, 119–20
claims against corporations, 16, 35, 120, 246–47
  Amazon (corporation), 139
  corporate veil, 362
  strategic litigation, 99
ClientEarth, 201–2, 212
Climate Accountability Institute, 249
Climate Action and Low Carbon Development Act 2015 (Ireland), 305–6
Climate Action Tracker (CAT), 341
Climate Change Act 2016 (Kenya), 382
Climate Change Act 2017 (Pakistan), 393–94
Climate Change Advisory Council (Ireland), 305
climate change science, 240
  sources of greenhouse gases, 241–44
climate justice
  disproportionate impacts of global warming, 132–33
  political inequalities, 137–38, 374–75
  racial inequalities, 136–37, 352–53, 363
  wealth versus poverty, 133–35, 138–40, 371–72
  women, 135–36
    ethics and moral responsibility, 140–41
Climate Litigation Accelerator (CLX), 5
climate refugees. *See Teitiota* v. *New Zealand*
Climate Warrior Campaign (India), 374
coal mining, 2, 17, 164
  India, 364, 371, 375
  Urgenda case. *See Urgenda* v. *the Netherlands see also* oil and gas extraction
coal-fired power stations, 17, 22, 37
  Australia, 328
  Kenya, 381–82
  South Africa, 382–83
collective rights, 226–27
  Indigenous communities, 226, 280, 379
  self-determination, right to, 226–27
Colombia
  deforestation in the Amazon region, 350
  incorporation of human rights arguments, 102. *See also* deforestation; *Future Generations* v. *Colombia*
Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW)
  climate change as a human rights duty, 153–54. *See also* women,
common but differentiated responsibility (CBDR) principle, 151, 245, 332, 337
  ambiguity, 344
common ground as a baseline for human rights claims, 25–27
community rights versus individual rights, 224–30. *See also* collective rights; individual rights
construction of new airport runways. *See* airport expansion
corporate responsibility for emissions. *See* claims against corporations
corporate veil, 362
courts. *See* judicial proceedings
COVID-19 pandemic
  impact of, 180, 219, 333, 352, 359, 376, 381
criminal and corporate liability law cases, 120

dam construction
  Narmada Bachao Andolan case, 370
dam disasters, 236, 350–51
deforestation, 13, 99
  cattle ranching, impact of, 2
  Center for Climate Crime Analysis, 265
  foreign enforcement targeting illegal commodities, 263–64
  foreign enforcement, lack of information for, 264
  illegal logging, 262, 350
  Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 262
  link to other illegal activities, 263
  local enforcement, lack of, 263. *See also* illegal logging,
delay enacting national climate change law, 12, 37–38, 111, 186, 239, 247–48, 334, 337, 394
democratic legitimacy
  open standing, 315–18
  subsidiarity principle, 343
"direct" climate actions, 355
  Brazil, 355, 360
disillusionment with multilateral processes, 101
diversity of legal actions
  range of acts, policies, and practices, 98
  range of legal principles, 98
  range of parties, g98
drug dealer defense
  market substitution assumption, 329–30
Duarte Agostinho v. *Portugal*
  minimum fair share norm, 31

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

due diligence obligation
climate change policies, 173–75, 341
compliance, 175
consistency, 175–76
methodology, 176
policy gaps, 176
policy implementation/effectiveness, 176
progression, 176
targets and monitoring, 175
timelines, 176
transparency, 176
Milieudefensie case, 212
no-harm principle, 332
Notre Affaire à Tous case, 213
due process, 34, 127, 173
duty of care
Milieudefensie case, 212
Notre Affaire à Tous case, 213
Urgenda case, 128, 142
duty to take precautionary measures, 127. *See also* precautionary principle

Earthlife Africa Johannesburg v. *Minister of Environmental Affairs & Others*, 382–83
Ecuador
illegal mining operations, 274–76. *See also* *Kofan Indigenous People of Sinangoe* v. *Ecuador Ministry of Mining*
illegal oil exploration, 277–80. *See also* *Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku* v. *Ecuador*
emissions reduction plans
assessment of state human rights obligations, 178
CESCR assessment of state human rights obligations
whether avoiding regression, 182–83
whether climate plan appropriately ambitious, 181–82
whether emissions reductions consistent with human rights, 185
whether progressive increase in ambition, 182–83
whether state giving adequate priority to human rights, 181
whether state has taken/is taking all rights-respecting steps, 178–80
whether state planning to reduce emissions in line with global target, 183–85
challenges
mitigation targets, 18
rights-based challenges, 19
rulings, 22–24
specific projects and policies, 19
challenges to corporations, 16
challenges to states, 16, 166–70
Family Farmers and Greenpeace Germany case, 168
Juliana case, 166–67
Neubauer case, 9
Norwegian Constitution, 167–68
reasonable minimum obligation, 339–40
Urgenda case, 9, 167
enforcement lawsuits, 193–94
balancing competing priorities, 257
evidence, 257
inadequate enforcement, 257
lack of coordination, 257
legal competence of courts, 27–28, 255–56
technological advancements
information sharing, 258–60
environmental impact assessments
licenses granted by local planning authorities, 123, 125, 355, 381
obligations of States, 165
omission of climate impacts, 29, 123, 193, 328, 382, 384
People v. *Arctic Oil*, 326–27
strategic litigation, 374
equality-focused climate litigation, 140–41
benefits, 141–42
proliferation, 142–44
Europe
human rights–based climate litigation, 9–11
European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) claims, 305, 309–11
prohibition on inhuman or degrading treatment, 336
respect for private and family life, 236, 336, 343
right to life, 236, 336. *See also* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
Budayeva and Others v. *Russia*, 236
common ground doctrine, 25
Duarte Agostinho v. *Portugal*, 31–32
failure-to-adapt claims, 235–36
Family Farmers and Greenpeace Germany v. *Germany*, 168
Kolyadenko v. *Russia*, 236

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) (cont.)
Öneryildiz v. *Turkey*, 236
Six Portuguese Youth v. 33 Governments of Europe, 335–38, 347–48
state's positive obligations in respect of natural disasters, 236
Urgenda case. *See Urgenda* v. *the Netherlands*
European Court of Justice (CJEU)
duty to carry out environmental impact assessments, 326
European Union
mitigation targets, 29, 37
evidence
enforcement lawsuits, 257
See also visual evidence, importance of
Extinction Rebellion, 1. *See also* social justice movements
extraction and development projects
economic considerations, primacy of, 125
See also balancing competing priorities

failure to adapt
attribution science, 223, 234–38
causation analysis, 235
source attribution, 235
human rights–based climate litigation, lack of, 34
Sacchi case, 225
Shehla Zia case, 391
failure to adequately mitigate, 177
attribution science, 223, 231–34
Sacchi case, 225
Shehla Zia case, 391
Fairchild v. *Glenhaven Funeral Services*, 339–40
causation, 339–41
Family Farmers and Greenpeace Germany v. *Germany*, 168
Ferrão Carvalho v. *Europe*, 29, 37
foreseeability of climate impacts, 232, 235, 237–38
forest-dwelling communities
stewardship rights, 366–67, 369, 372–75
fossil fuel companies. *See* carbon majors
Framework for Implementation of Climate Change Policy 2014-2030 (Pakistan), 390
France
climate change inequalities, 140
failure to adequately mitigate, 226
fraud and misrepresentation
misleading public opinion and investors, 120, 208, 210–12, 217
#FridaysforFuture, 1, 205. *See also* social justice movements
Friends of the Irish Environment v. *Government of Ireland & Ors*, 168, 305, 318
deference, 308–12
judicial deference, 306
justiciability arguments, 307–8
policy discretion, 306–7
retrogressive steps, 314
right to a healthy environment, 305, 312–15
right to bodily integrity, 305, 312
separation of powers, 306–7
Future Generations v. *Colombia*, 191, 299
attribution science, 232, 237
government responsibility for adequate mitigation, 128
state and non-state collaboration, 148

Germany, 9
domestic climate litigation
incorporation of human rights arguments, 102
lawyer-activists, 200
standing, 37
See also Neubauer v. *Germany*
Global South
common but differentiated responsibility principle, 151
constitutional and human rights arguments, 147–48
development of climate litigation, 146, 189–91
enforcement of existing laws, 193–94
rights-based claims, prevalence of, 191–93
stealthy climate litigation, 194–95
implement mitigation projects, 155–56
implementation of climate law frameworks, 146–47
modes of legal action, 187–89, 195–205
remedies in climate cases, 148–49
polluter pays principle, 151
reparations for climate-related harms, 149
restitutio in integrum, 150
Gloucester Resources Limited v. *Minister for Planning*
market substitution assumption, 330
Golder v. *United Kingdom*, 344

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

governmental responsibility for adequate mitigation, 128
source attribution, 232, 238
See also states' responsibilities to guarantee protection from climate change-related harms
grassroots activism, 197–99
greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
climate change science, 241–44
Neubauer case
insufficient pledges, 9
Urgenda case
insufficient pledges, 9
Greenpeace Australia Ltd v. *Redbank Power Co*, 124
Greenpeace Germany v. *Germany*, 26, 32, 169
greenwashing, 208, 217, 239
violations of OECD guidelines, 212
Guiding Principles on Shared Responsibility
indivisible injury, 338–39

human rights–based climate change (HRCC) litigation, 1–2
baseline rights and duties. *See* baseline rights and duties
cases (2005–2021), *11–12*, 22, 40–83
failure to adapt. *See* failure to adapt
failure to adequately mitigate. *See* failure to adequately mitigate
legal mobilization theory. *See* legal mobilization theory
limitations
geographic reach, 34
not an end in and of itself, 34
"new wave"/"next generation" cases, 99–101
post-Paris Agreement, 10–18
common ground doctrine, 25–27
compatibility of government policies with climate rights and duties, 29–33
establishing baseline rights and duties, 25–27
justiciability of legal obligations, 27–29
pre-Paris Agreement, 10
procedure, 24–25
proliferation, 3, 10–14
recent key legal challenges, 2–3

ICT. *See* technological advancements
illegal logging, 262–64, 350
Center for Climate Crime Analysis, 265
import embargoes, 264, *See also* deforestation
illegal mining operations
Kofan Indigenous People of Sinangoe case, 274–76
impacts of global warming
climate litigation, 145–48
disproportionate nature, 132–33
gender inequality, 135–36
Global South, 155–56
political inequality, 137–38
poor and marginalized people, 133–35, 371–72
racial inequality, 136–37
See also climate justice
international cooperation, 152–55
remedies, 148–51
India
development policies
balancing environmental protection, 370–71
emissions, 364
exclusionary conservation, 371–73
international environmental law, 373
judicial proceedings, 365–66
environmental jurisprudence, 366–67
land acquisition, 365
non-implementation of environmental laws, 368
public trust doctrine, 365, 368, 373
standing, 364
strategic litigation
balancing development with environmental protection, 372–75
Indigenous peoples' movements, 1, 226
Baleni case, 385
constitutional obligation to manage shared natural resources, 280–83
granting mining rights, 385
illegal mining operations, 274–76
illegal oil exploration, 276–80
land grabs, 375
public international law cases, 121
rights of forest-dwellers, 372
Torres Strait islanders, 158–65
"indirect" climate actions, 355, 357–58
Brazil, 355
individual rights, 227
insufficient pledges, 2, 32, 180
greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
Neubauer case, 9
Urgenda case, 9
reducing deforestation, 12

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACtHR)
  Advisory Opinion on Human Rights and the Environment, 22, 88, 192
  failure-to-adapt claims, 237
  forms of evidence, 278
  Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayakur case, 277–80
  Velasquez Rodriguez case, 150
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 1–2, 130, 244
  common ground doctrine, 26
  GHG emissions reduction targets, 10
  impacts of global warming, 132, 320
international cooperation
  international law as persuasive authority, 153
  judicial and quasi-judicial bodies, 152–55
  teleological or purposive method of interpretation, 152
International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
  right to life, 143
  rights of Indigenous peoples
    Torres Strait islanders, 158–65
    Adaptation Claim, 162–63
    Australia's human rights obligations, 164–65
    Mitigation Claim, 163–64
International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR)
  adequacy of efforts to reduce emissions, 177
  international cooperation, 153
international treaties, importance of, 131
Ireland
  Climate Action and Low Carbon Development Act, 305–6
  National Mitigation Plan, 305–6
  See also Friends of the Irish Environment v. *Government of Ireland & Ors*
"isolated" court actions, 355, 362
  Brazil, 355
Israel
  climate change inequalities, 137–38

judicial activism, 192, 307, 311, 393–95
judicial and quasi-judicial bodies
  international cooperation, 152–55
judicial deference, 27, 32
  Friends of the Irish Environment case, 306, 308–10
judicial proceedings, 255
  enforcement concerns, 255–56
  Friends of the Irish Environment case, 305–7
    deference, 308–12
    justiciability arguments, 306–8
    right to a healthy environment, 312–15
    standing, 315–18
  India, 365–66
    balancing development with environmental protection, 370–71
    environmental jurisprudence, 366–67
    right to a healthy environment, 312–15
    technological advancements, impact of, 256
Juliana v. *United States*, 84, 99, 143, 166–67, 228, 299
justiciability
  Friends of the Irish Environment case, 306–8
justiciable right to government climate action, 27–29
  non-justiciability doctrine, 93, 194, 307

Kanuk v. *State of Alaska*
  constitutional obligation to manage shared natural resources, 280–83
  Public Trust doctrine, 280
Kenya
  coal-fired power stations, 381–82
Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku v. *Ecuador*, 276–80
Kofan Indigenous People of Sinangoe v. *Ecuador Ministry of Mining*, 274–76
Kyoto Protocol, 16, 164
  India, 373
  Netherlands, 346

land acquisition
  India, 365, 375
lawyer-activists, 199–201
legal certainty, 313–14
legal challenges, nature of
  coal mining, 2
  fossil fuel companies, 2
  high-emission economic activities, 2
  insufficient government pledges, 2
  Neubauer case, 9
  Urgenda case, 9, 32
  UN Committee on the Right of the Child, 3
  young plaintiffs and future generations, 2, 12

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

legal mobilization theory, 85–86, 93–94
group dynamics and collective mobilization, 89–92
institutional and structural incentives and disincentives, 86–89
micro-politics of disputing behaviour, 92–93
legal transplant litigation, 203–4
Leghari v. *Pakistan*, 2, 11, 99, 191, 236
attribution science, 232
Climate Change Commission, 389–91
continuing mandamus, 391
failure to implement climate change policy, 390
state and non-state collaboration, 148
links between human activity and climate impact, 1, 320–22. *See also* attribution research
locus standi. *See* standing
low-profile climate litigation, 117
administrative law cases, 120–21
civil law cases, 119–20
criminal and corporate liability law cases, 120
public international law cases, 121

major fossil fuel companies. *See* carbon majors
Manushi Sangathan v. *Government of Delhi*, 367
margin of appreciation, 28–29, 173
ECtHR, 310, 342–44
emissions reductions
choice of means, 342–43
Hatton case, 342–44
respect for private and family life, 343–44
Taşkin case, 342
Urgenda case, 346–47
use of its resources and rights obligations, 179
margin of discretion, 155, 167, 173
market incentives
illegal deforestation, 264
renewable energy projects, 125, 130
market substitution assumption, 329–30. *See also* perfect substitution argument
Massachusetts v. *EPA*, 189, 193
air pollutant, concept of, 128
minimum fair share norm, 30–33, 37
nationally determined contributions, 170–75
Urgenda case, 167, 175
modes of litigation, 205
"The Enforcer", 197, 204–5
"The Engineer", 197, 203–4
"The Farmer", 197, 201–3
"Grassroots Activist", 197–99
"Hero Litigators", 197, 199–201

Narmada Bachao Andolan v. *Union of India*, 370–71
narrative change strategies, 290–93
climate litigation, 296–97, 300–1
negative/damaging narratives, 297–300
Even it Up campaign, 294
human stories, use of, 295–96
Ley Pulpin, Peru, 293–94
National Climate Change Fund (Brazil), 356
National Climate Change Policy 2012 (Pakistan), 390
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (Australia), 124
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (USA), 124
National Green Tribunal (India), 365
National Policy on Climate Change (Brazil), 356
nationally determined contributions (NDC)
assessment of emissions reductions, *See* assessment of climate change policies; emissions reduction plans
Australia and Torres Strait, 163
Global South, 195
Paris Climate Agreement, 170–75, 178, 245
negative emissions, 184–85, 337
negligence
cases against carbon majors, 119, 211
Netherlands, 9
domestic climate litigation
incorporation of human rights arguments, 102
See also Urgenda v. *the Netherlands*
Neubauer v. *Germany*, 2, 9–10
failure to mitigate, 18
justiciability, 27
material incentives, 33
standing, 37
temporal dimensions of climate change, 38
"new wave"/"next generation" cases, 99–101
strategic litigation, 101–3
New Zealand, 13. See also *Teitiota* v. *New Zealand*
no-harm principle, 175, 332
non-justiciability doctrine, 93, 194, 307

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

non-profit organization litigants, 188, 195, 201–3, 205
Norway
  carbon emissions, 323, 333
  regulation of petroleum activities, 324
  See also People v. *Arctic Oil*

OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, 35, 212, 264
oil and gas extraction, 122–25, 212–13, 322
  CO_2 impact of, 241, 248, 320
  enforcement concerns, 255
  Norwegian Constitution, 167–68, 324
  People v. *Arctic Oil*, 324–27
  See also carbon majors; coal mining; extraction and development projects
oil exploration, 2
  Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku case, 276–80
  People v. *Arctic Oil*, 323, 324–27
  See also coal mining; *Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku* v. *Ecuador*; *People* v. *Arctic Oil*
open standing regime, 315–18
Oslo Principles on Global Climate Change (2015), 125
"others do it too" defense, 234

Pakistan, 11, 387
  environmental justice, 391
  environmental protection provisions, 387, 393–94
  identity of polluters, 391
  judicial activism, 393–95
  judicially enforceable rights, 387
  public interest litigation, 388–89
Palestine
  climate change inequalities, 137–38
Pandey v. *Union of India*, 193, 368, 373
Paris Climate Agreement (2015), 1–2, 15–30, 373
  adoption and implementation, 14, 245
  Africa, 380
  common but differentiated responsibilities, 332
  common ground doctrine, 26
  GHG emissions reduction targets, 10, 332
  human rights impacts, recognition of, 2
  international cooperation, 153, 333
  People v. *Arctic Oil*, 333
People v. *Arctic Oil*, 320
  oil drilling licenses, 324
Paris Agreement, 333
perfect substitution argument, 331
  right to a healthy environment, 324–25
  Supreme Court judgment
    supply-side accountability, 325–27
    See also market substitution assumption
Philippi Horticultural Area v. *MEC for Local Government, Environmental Affairs and Development Planning*, 383–84
Philippines' Human Rights Commission
  impact of climate change on human rights, 17, 35, 99, 101, 213, 298–99
Planning Act (UK)
  airport expansion, 309
  environmental assessments, 326
policy discretion, 27–29, 166, 168, 174, 179
  Friends of the Irish Environment case, 306–7
  People v. *Arctic Oil*, 325–27
  Urgenda case, 346
political inequalities
  disproportionate impacts of climate change, 137–38
polluter pays principle, 151, 156, 368
Portillo Cáceres v. *Paraguay*, 149–50, 171
Portugal, 31. *See also Duarte Agostinho* v. *Portugal; Six Portuguese Youth* v. *33 Governments of Europe*
precautionary principle, 90, 125, 128, 165, 174
  Kofan Indigenous People of Sinangoe case, 276
  Pandey case, 368
  Save Lamu case, 382
precedent and legal certainty, 313–15
private nuisance
  cases against carbon majors, 119, 211
  prohibition on inhuman or degrading treatment, 336
prosecutor/enforcement authority initiated litigation, 204–5
public activism, 110–11
public interest litigation (Pakistan)
  limitations
    lack of substantive action, 392
    reluctance to appoint climate change experts, 392
    statutory appeals, 392
  right to a clean and healthy environment, 389
  right to life, 388
  Shehla Zia case, 389

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

standing requirements, 388
public international law cases, 121
public nuisance
cases against carbon majors, 211
public trust doctrine, 284
India, 365, 368, 373
Kanuk case, 280–83
Punjab Environmental Protection Act 1997 (Pakistan), 394

racial inequalities
disproportionate impacts of climate change, 136–37, 143–44
Brazil, 352–53, 363
regulation-forcing litigation, 193–94
remedies
balancing competing priorities, 32
duty to cooperate as a judicial remedy, 152–55
Global South
polluter-pays principle, 150
Portillo Cáceres case, 149
reparations, 149
restitutio in integrum, 150
Teitiota case, 149
Velasquez Rodriguez case, 150
injunctive relief, 211
international law, 153–55
strategic litigation, 111–13
resource allocation
comparison to peer states, 181–82
margin of appreciation, 179
emissions reduction, 178–80
state subsidies, 181
respect for private and family life, 224
ECHR, 236, 336, 343
retrogressive steps, 182–83
Friends of Irish Environment case, 314
right to a healthy environment, 27, 319, 378
Friends of the Irish Environment case, 305–6, 312–15, 318
IACtHR, 22
Norwegian Constitution, 324–25
Pakistan, 389
People v. *Arctic Oil*, 324
right to bodily integrity, 305
Friends of the Irish Environment case, 305, 312
right to health, 224, 319
right to life, 38, 88, 165, 192, 224, 319
Africa, 378
ECHR, 236, 336
Friends of the Irish Environment case, 305, 312
IACtHR, 311
ICCPR, 143, 158
Portillo Cáceres case, 149
Shehla Zia case, 389
Subhash Kumar case, 369
Teitiota case, 149
Rio Declaration (1992), 2
risks associated with litigation, 113–15

Sacchi v. *Argentina*
children's rights, 225
failure to adapt, 225
failure to adequately mitigate, 225
UN Convention on the Rights of the Child, 225, 228–29
Save Lamu & Five Others v. *National Environmental Management Authority & Another*, 381–82
separation of powers doctrine, 28, 155, 168, 232, 307, 309, 317, 347
shared responsibility
causation, 340–41
common but differentiated responsibilities, 337 *See also* common but differentiated responsibility (CBDR) principle
ECHR obligations, 338–41
fair share, 337–38, 341
Guiding Principles on Shared Responsibility, 338–39
indivisible injury, 338–39
reasonable minimum obligation, 339–40
Urgenda case, 347
Shehla Zia and Others v. *WAPDA*, 389, 391
Six Portuguese Youth v. 33 Governments of Europe, 335–36
ECHR claims, 336–38, 347–48
social inequalities. *See* climate justice
social justice movements, 1
India, 365
South Africa
coal-fired power stations, 383
development impacts on aquifers, 383–84
international agreements, 381
See also Earthlife Africa Johannesburg v. *Minister of Environmental Affairs & Others; Philippi Horticultural Area v. MEC for Local Government, Environmental Affairs and Development Planning*

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

standing, 37
applicable standing rules, 315
Friends of the Irish Environment case, 315
India, 364
liberal approach
government decision-making, 317
rule of law, 315–16
trend, 316
rule of law, relationship with, 315–16
separation of powers argument, 317
traditional approach, 316
states' responsibilities to guarantee protection from climate change-related harms, 149–51, 156, 158–60. *See also* shared responsibility
stealthy climate litigation
Global South, 194–95
strategic ambitions of climate change litigation, 97–98
climate change in human rights terms, 101–3
Juliana case, 99
Leghari case, 99
targeting corporations, 99
Urgenda case, 99
young plaintiffs and future generations, 99
See also Juliana v. *United States*; *Leghari* v. *Pakistan*; *Urgenda* v. *the Netherlands*
Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive (EU)
airport expansion, 309
environmental assessments, 326
strategic litigation, 115–16, 386
balancing development with environmental protection, 372–75
broader plan for change, 108–10
carbon majors, 208
definition, 104–5
history, 105–6
impact assessment processes, 374
implementation challenges, 111–13
legal context, 108
political context, 108
public activism, 110–11
risk assessment, importance of, 113–15
social change, relationship with, 105–6
social context, 108, 353–55
"structural" court actions, 355, 362
Brazil, 355, 360
Subhash Kumar v. *State of Bihar*, 369
subsidiarity principle
margin of appreciation, 342
subsidies
clean enery suppliers, 19, 181, 185
fossil fuels, 181, 186, 248, 332
resource allocation, 181
supply-side accountability. *See* accountability: carbon majors

taxation and tax exemption measures, 181
air travel, 32
carbon taxes, 185
technological advancements
bioenergy with carbon capture and storage, 185
judicial proceedings, impact on, 256
law enforcement, 258–60, 266
Teitiota v. *New Zealand*, 2, 13, 143, 149
temporal dimensions of climate change, 125
temporality of human rights law, 37–39
Thunberg, Greta, 2, 13, 247
Torres Strait islanders, 158–60
ICCPR complaint, 160–65
Adaptation Claim, 162–63
Australia's human rights obligations, 164–65
Mitigation Claim, 163–64
loss of culture, 161–62
tort law
cases against carbon majors, 211
trends in climate litigation
strategic cases, 99–101

UN Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR)
adequacy of efforts to reduce emissions, 186
whether a state has taken/is taking all rights-respecting steps, 178–80
whether avoiding regression, 182–83
whether climate plan appropriately ambitious, 181–82
whether emissions reductions consistent with human rights, 185
whether progressive increase in ambition, 182–83
whether state giving adequate priority to human rights, 181
whether state planning to reduce emissions in line with global target, 183–85

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028

UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), 3, 13, 228–29, 234
  climate change as a human rights duty, 153–54
UN Convention on Biological Diversity's (CBD), 380
UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)
  Sacchi v. *Argentina*, 225, 228–29
UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP), 380
UN Declaration on the Rights of Peasants and Other People Working in Rural Areas (2018), 380
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC 1992), 30, 244–45, 332
  Africa, 380
  Brazil, 356
  good faith, 173
  India, 373
  international cooperation, 153
  Kenya, 382
  no-harm principle, 332
  South Africa, 381
  Torres Strait case, 163–64
  Urgenda case, 345
UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, 35, 213
UN Human Rights Committee
  harmonization of international law, 149–50
  physical integrity and climate harms, 2, 13
  Portillo Cáceres case, 149–50
  right to life, 311
  Teitiota case, 2, 13, 143, 149
  Torres Strait islanders, 160–65
UNEP Emissions Gap Report, 321
United Nations Charter
  international cooperation, 153
United States
  administrative climate litigation
    National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 124
  civil law cases, 119–20
  climate change inequalities, 133–37, 143
  climate forced displacement, 235
  criminal and corporate liability law cases, 120
    Juliana case. *See Juliana* v. *United States*
    Kanuk case. *See Kanuk* v. *State of Alaska*
    Urgenda v. *the Netherlands*, 2, 9, 84, 189, 191, 193, 203–4, 207, 227
  duty of care, 128, 142
  ECHR claims, 311, 345–47
  emissions reduction plans, 9, 167
  insufficient government pledges, 9, 32
  margin of appreciation, 346
  minimum fair share norm, 30, 167
  separation of powers argument, 155
  shared responsibility, 347
  strategic ambitions of climate change, 99
  temporal dimensions of climate change, 38

Velasquez Rodriguez v. *Honduras*, 150
visual evidence, importance of, 287–88
  Feather River Lumber Co. case, 273
  Kanuk case, 280–83
  Kichwa Indigenous People of Sarayaku case, 276–80
  Kofan Indigenous People of Sinangoe case, 274–76
  public opinion, impact on, 270–73, 284–85
    Bushfire Survivors case, 285–87
Volkswagen
  Dieselgate scandal, 217

We the People v. *Union of India*, 368
WildEarth Guardians v. *United States Forest Service et al.*
  perfect substitution argument, 330
wildfires
  Australia, 227, 285–87
  Canada, 227
  causality and human rights–based climate litigation, 227
  visual evidence, importance of
    Australia, 285–87
Wildlife First and Others v. *Ministry of Environment and Forests*, 369
women
  African patriarchy, 379
  disproportionate impacts of climate change, 135–36 *See also* climate justice: disproportionate impacts of global warming; Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW)

Youth Climate Movement, 299

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 16 Nov 2026 at 13:38:22, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009106214.028