Federal Law Review
2020, Vol. 48(3) 432
© The Author(s) 2020
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/0067205X20940447
journals.sagepub.com/home/flr

Corrigendum to Calibrated Proportionality

Rosalind Dixon, 'Calibrated Proportionality' (2020) 48(1) *Federal Law Review* 92–122.

In Table 2 of this article, the first line in last column should be 'Factors attracting **heightened** scrutiny' instead of 'Factors attracting **close** scrutiny'. Please see the updated table below.

Table 2. Calibrating judgments about adequacy in the balance.

Reduced scrutiny ↔	Ordinary scrutiny ↔	Heightened scrutiny ↔	'Close' or the most demanding scrutiny
Non-content-based regulation	Content-based regulation	Content-based regulation	Factors attracting heightened scrutiny *and*
Minimal burden on expression	Moderate burden on expression – ie, leaves open adequate alternative channels of communication	Significant burden on expression, including via potential 'deterrent' effects	Close nexus between expression and elections
No direct nexus of expression to political matters	Some nexus of expression to political matters	Direct/close nexus of expression to political matters	*and/or* Regulation discriminates by viewpoint, targets criticism against government
	No immediate nexus between expression and elections	No immediate nexus between expression and elections	and/or against one or other political party/side of electoral politics

https://doi.org/10.1177/0067205X20940447 Published online by Cambridge University Press