International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care, **24:1** (2008), 131.
Copyright © 2008 Cambridge University Press. Printed in the U.S.A.
DOI: 10.1017/S0266462307080178

ERRATUM

Using the literature to quantify the learning curve: A case study

Jonathan A. Cook, Craig R. Ramsay
University of Aberdeen

Peter Fayers
University of Aberdeen and Norwegian University of Technology and Science

In the first paragraph in the "Description of Studies" subsection and the sole paragraph of the "Procedure Time" subsection of the 'RESULTS" section on page 257 of Volume 23 Number 2 of *International Journal of Technology Assessment in Health Care*, two tables are listed as "published on the Web site." However, no link was provided. The URL is http://www.journals.cambridge.org/jid_thc. For context, the two paragraphs with the citations of these tables follow below:

Description of Studies

The database searches produced 499 references: 338 from Medline, a further 134 from Embase, and 27 from Science Citation. No relevant reviews were found in the Cochrane Library. The number of references from each database reflected the order in which they were searched. Of the 499 references, 89 were identified for further investigation and full papers were retrieved. After assessment, thirty-nine studies were included in the review. An additional seven papers were identified from references as possibly being relevant, and of these, four were included, giving a total of forty-three included studies (published online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/jid_thc).

Procedure Time

All forty-three papers reported the time taken to perform fiberoptic intubation. A definition of how the time was recorded was given in forty-one papers with thirty-two different definitions stated (published online at http://www.journals.cambridge.org/jid_thc).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266462307080178 Published online by Cambridge University Press