Index

abstractions
  of algorithms, 27
  of data-driven systems, 37–38, 40
    for welfare fraud, 37–38
  of knowledge-driven systems, 32–33
    for welfare fraud, 33
accountability
  algorithmic rule by law and, 206, 211–13, 218–21, 226–27
    diminished accountability, 218–22
    transparency and, 218, 226–27
  non-arbitrariness principle and, 173
actio popularis, 142
actor-network theory, 51–52
administrative acts
  adoption of, 84
  algorithmic regulation and, 78–82
  automation of legalism and, 213–18
  judicial review principle and, 142, 190
    algorithmic regulation and, 190, 195–96
  opacity in, 59–61
  transparency in, 59–61, 128–29, 131–32, 145–46
administrative justice, 16, 106
agency
  algorithmic systems' impact on, 66–69
  in Milgram experiment, 67–69
    obedience to authority in, 67
AI. *See* artificial intelligence
AI Act, 14, 47–49, 264–96
AI Advisory Forum, 273
AI Office. *See* European AI Office
  algorithmic regulation under, 274–82
    high-risk requirements, 278–94
  algorithmic rule by law, 289–95
  algorithmic systems under, 264–96
    analysis of, 294–96
  conformity assessment, 271–72, 286, 293
  database of high-risk systems, 273–74, 282, 284, 287
  definition of AI under, 268–70
  discretion in, 280–84
  European AI Board, 272
  enforcement of, 272–74
  exceptions under, 270
  fundamental rights impact assessment, 274–75, 284, 287–88, 304
  future-proofness under, 295
  general purpose AI models, 276–78
  harmonisation approaches to, 288–91
  innovation under, 273, 296
  list-based approach, 271–82
  market surveillance authorities, 272, 287
  objectives of, 266–68
  public authorities' requirements under, 274–76, 287–88
  record-keeping requirements under, 284–85
  risk-based approach to, 265–66, 270–88
    disclosure requirements in, 273–78
    high-risk systems, 278–88
    minimal-risk systems, 271
    prohibited practices, 274–76
  rule of law and, 16, 265–68, 288–96
  Scientific Panel of Independent Experts, 273
  scope of, 265–72
  social scoring under, 274–75
  standards, 273, 293
  techno-supremacy in, 291–94
    in New Legislative Framework, 293
  transparency obligations, 266, 270–77, 284–85, 291–92, 303–5
    deep fakes, 276

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

AI Office. (cont.)
Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and, 267–68
Trustworthy AI, 265–66, 288
AI Advisory Forum, 273
AI Convention, Council of Europe and, 23, 290–91
AI Board. *See* European AI Board
AI effect, 45
algocracy
algorithmic regulation and, 82–87
algorithmic rule by law and, 212
algorithmic regulation, 1–18, 26–93. *See also* algorithmic rule by law; algorithmic systems; *specific topics*
under administrative law, 3–4
under AI Act, 274–88
high-risk requirements, 278–88
algocracy and, 82–87
bias and, 57–59, 68, 89, 181–84
conceptualisation of, 26–95
harms and risks of, 5–6, 151–229
hypernudge by, 50
legal certainty principle and, 164–73
correlations in, 168
in data-driven systems, 168–69
foreseeability and, 165–69
in knowledge-driven systems, 168
means-testing algorithmic systems, 169
legalism and, 157–61, 170, 213–18
non-arbitrariness principle, 173–81
discretion and, 177–81
efficiency and, 174–76
explainability reduction, 176–77
government accountability and, 180
by public authorities, 69–93
bureaucracy, 82–87
discretion by, 78–82
rationale of, 87–93
research approach to, 18–25
hypotheses and questions in, 18–19
objectives in, 18–19
relevance of, 24–25
rule of law and, 6–10, 151–225
collective harm under, 7
individual harm under, 6–7
societal harm under, 7–8
separation of powers principle and, 199–205
citizen surveillance, 202–5
executive branch and, 200–1
privatisation of legal infrastructure, 201–2
public and private power, 201–2
technical aspects of, 26–50
algorithmic rule by law, 15–18, 95, 108–9, 205–25
accountability and, 206, 218–21
diminished, 218–21
external/internal review of, 213, 219–21, 263, 294
transparency and, 218–19, 226
administrative justice and, 16
AI Act and, 291–96
algocracy and, 212
analysis of, 151–229
automation of legalism, 206, 213–18
creative compliance and, 215
discretion and, 214–16
coder supremacy and, 206, 210–13
bureaucratic justice, 211
outsourcing of discretion, 213
public authorities and, 211–12
conceptualisation of, 17
due process and, 16
harm theory and, 205–29
individual justice and, 207–9, 214
legality principle and, 152–64, 210, 227
opacity and, 176–77, 206, 215, 218, 224
primacy of techno-rationality and, 207–10
public authorities and, 211–12, 218
public participation and, 228
systemic vulnerability and, 206, 221–25
constitutional retrogression and, 224, 226
LGBTQ+ persons and, 222
threshold for deficiency, 223–24
Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and, 222–23
technological management and, 212
algorithmic systems. *See also specific topics*
abstractions of, 27–41
under AI Act, 264–91
artificial intelligence and, 2–3, 44–50
narrow, 22
benefits of, 4–5, 87
classifications of, 30
consistency of, 166–68
data dependency in, 62–66
data-driven systems, 34–43
errors and, 9, 42, 55–61, 162–64
opacity and, 60–61, 162–64
ethics guidelines for, 46–47, 265–66, 288
in France, 24, 89, 172–73, 278
harms and risks of, 6–10, 151–229
human rights violations and, 15, 22, 24, 206, 213, 220–26, 273–74, 309
knowledge-driven systems, 30–34, 41–43
'many hands' problem, 68–69, 181
means-testing, 169
opacity in, 6, 9, 50–51, 59–61, 69, 161–62, 172, 218
in Poland, 166–67, 176, 196–97, 203

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

proxies in, 62–66, 154–55, 162, 183–84, 222, 284
public authorities and, 64–65
public sector use of, 6
societal aspects of, 50–69
bias, 57–59
human error, 55–57
impact on human agency, 66–69
opacity, 59–61
as socio-technical infrastructure, 51–55, 93
affordances, 52
authoritarian technics, 51–52
democratic technics, 51–52
neutrality of, 52
STIR system, 196–97
in Sweden, 167, 172
systemic effects of, 55, 288
algorithms. *See also* algorithmic regulation; algorithmic systems
abstractions of, 27–41
classifications of, 30
data-driven systems, 34–41
decision-making by, 28–29
support systems, 29
definition of, 27
as historical concept, 27
inputs and, 27–29, 35–36, 39–40, 54
instructions for, 27–28, 31, 36, 45
knowledge-driven systems and, 30–34, 41–43
outputs and, 27–29, 35–43, 47, 49, 53–54, 62
reasoning and, 30–35, 41–43, 156
recommendation system, 29
Arendt, Hannah, 65, 67, 74–75, 217
on banalisation of evil, 67, 217
on bureaucracy, 74–75
Aristotle, on rule of law, 96–97
artificial intelligence (AI), 44–50
under AI Act, 14–15, 47–50, 264–96
AI Convention, 23, 290–91
AI effect, 45
algorithmic systems and, 2–3, 44–50
narrow AI, 22
bias and, 57–59, 68, 89, 181–84
Coordinated Plan on AI, 5, 91–92
Council of Europe, 23, 290
Council of the European Union and, 48, 264, 272, 276
Dartmouth Workshop and, 44
data-driven systems and, 46–47
definition of, 29, 45–50, 268–70
under AI Act, 268–70
by European Commission, 47–50
by OECD, 49
Delvaux Report, 45–47
ethics guidelines for, 46–47, 265–66, 288
European Commission and, 46–50, 91–92, 263–78, 290–91
European Parliament and, 44–46, 48
general purpose, 276
generative, 22, 41–42, 264, 269
under AI Act, 276–78
High-Level Expert Group on AI, 46–47, 265–66, 270
definition of AI, 46–47
ethics guidelines for trustworthy AI, 46–47, 265–66, 288
policy recommendations, 265
high-risk systems, 268–88, 290, 293
minimal-risk systems, 271
prohibited practices, 274–76
standardisation of, 293–94
traditional, 31
Trustworthy AI, 266–67, 281, 288
artificial neural networks, 37
authoritarian technics, 51–52
authoritarian tendencies
expansion of, 107
liberal democracies and, 107, 131, 220
rule of law and, 9–18
constitutional retrogression and, 12–13
authoritarianism
competitive, 107
in hybrid regimes, 107
rule by law and, 105, 107
autocratic regimes, 105, 107, 152, 292, 310
automation
bias, 68, 178, 199, 285
in General Data Protection Regulation, 259–61
in Law Enforcement Directive, 259–61
of legalism, 206, 213–18
creative compliance and, 215
discretion and, 214–16

Bard, 40–41
Bauman, Zygmunt, 75, 136
Belgium. *See also* Flanders, Belgium
administrative law in, 134, 140, 142–43, 197, 292
algorithmic regulation and, 53, 162, 172, 203–4, 221, 262
judicial review principle in, 142–43, 197
legality principle in, 142
migration law in, 81, 154
Benzécri, Jean-Paul, 35
Berlin, Isaiah, 225
bias. *See also* discrimination
artificial intelligence and, 57–59, 68, 89, 181–84
in automation, 68, 178, 199, 285
errors from, 57–59

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

bias. (cont.)
algorithmic regulation and, 57–59, 68, 89, 181–84
big data, 35
Bing Chat, 40–41
Bingham, Lord, 99, 101, 144
black-box systems, 59–61
bureaucracy
Arendt on, 74–75
Bauman on, 75, 136
development of, 75–76
digital, 84–85
limitations and pitfalls of, 73–77
procedural rationality and, 74, 77
by public authorities, 39–73
rational-legal, 75
street-level, 84
substantive rationality and, 74, 76–77
Weber on, 71–78
bureaucratic justice, 211

CAI. *See* Council of Europe's Committee on AI
CAHAI. *See* Council of Europe's Ad Hoc Committee on AI
Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (CFREU), 66, 112, 118, 127
equality before the law principle in, 138
non-arbitrariness principle and, 133–34
ChatGPT, 40–41
Child Risk Assessment System, 172–73
citizenship, rule of law and, 95
CJEU. *See* Court of Justice of the European Union
Code of Hammurabi, 96
collective harm, 7
common good, rule of law and, 103
competitive authoritarianism, 107
compliance
creative, 215
with General Data Protection Regulation, 259–60
with Law Enforcement Directive, 259–60
computers
as information highways, 54
screen-level bureaucracy and, 84
Conditionality Regulation, 237–46
contested, 238–41
against Hungary, 240–41
legal basis, 238–39
multi-annual financial framework (MFF), 239
constitutional retrogression, 12–13
algorithmic rule by law and, 224, 226
conformity assessment
under AI Act, 271–72, 286, 293
New Legislative Framework, 293
notified bodies, 272
standardisation, 273
high-risk systems, 282–86
Coordinated Plan on AI, 91–92
correlations
algorithmic regulation and, 168
in data-driven systems, 36
spurious correlations, 38, 42–43
errors and, 56–57
legal certainty principle and, 168
spurious
in data-driven systems, 38, 42–43
errors and, 56
Council of Europe, 23–24, 96, 104, 110–15, 119, 121, 290–91. *See also* European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
AI Convention, 23, 290–91
Committee of Ministers, 23, 106, 111, 128, 135, 146
rule of law and, 110–12
Venice Commission, 106–8, 110–11, 119, 127, 133, 140, 144, 160
Council of Europe's Committee on AI (CAI), 23, 290
Council of Europe's Ad Hoc Committee on AI (CAHAI), 23
feasibility study and, 195
Council of the European Union, 13, 49, 120, 223, 233–35, 238–39, 241, 243, 264, 268, 272
Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
rule of law cases, 112–13, 117–20
Les Verts case, 113
courts. *See specific courts*
creative compliance, 215
curse of dimensionality, 43

Dartmouth Workshop, AI and, 44
data
big data, 35
dataism, 62
General Data Protection Regulation, 255–63
personal, 14, 65, 146, 255–63
proxy, 184, 284
data dependency, in algorithmic systems, 62–66
data pollution, 8
Data Retention Directive, 249
data-driven methods and systems, 34–41
abstraction of, 37, 40
algorithmic bias and, 57–59
legal certainty principle and, 168–69
automated profiling and, 162
artificial neural networks and, 37

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

bias in, 58–59
big data and, 35
as black-box systems, 42, 59–61
correlations in, 36, 65, 168, 196
spurious, 38, 42–43, 56
curse of dimensionality, 43
hypernudge and, 50
Internet of Things and, 35
knowledge-driven systems compared to, 41–43
large language models, 40–41
learning, 34–35
deep, 37, 42
reinforcement, 39
semi-supervised, 38
supervised, 36–92
unsupervised, 37–38
legal certainty principle and, 165–69
legality principle and, 156
limitations of, 42–43
transparency in, 59–60
welfare fraud and, 37–38
abstraction of, 38
dataism, 62
decision-making, 58, 66
discretion and, 78–82
public authorities and, 70–73
algorithmic support systems, 29
deep fakes, 276
deep learning, 37, 42, 47, 59, 61, 177
Degrave, Elise, 141, 162–63
Delvaux Report, 45–47
democracy, democracies and
illiberal tendencies, 105–9
constitutional retrogression and, 12–13
rule of law and, 10, 12–13, 101–5
rule by law, 105–9
algorithmic rule by law, 151–205
in EU, 121–25
executive branch of power in, 8
tyranny of the majority, 106, 301
de Tocqueville on, 106–7
democratic technics, 51–52
Dicey, A. V., 97–98
digital bureaucracy, 84–85
Digital Markets Act, EU, 273
Digital Services Act, EU, 255, 267
diminished accountability, 218–21
disclosure requirements, in AI Act, 276, 277, 284, 287
discretion
in AI Act, 280–84
algorithmic regulation and, 177–81
automation of legalism and, 214–16
non-arbitrariness principle and, 177–81
by public authorities, 78–82
supremacy of coders and, 213
discrimination, 38, 58, 111, 135, 138–40, 183, 188, 192–94, 222, 251, 294
due process, 16
Dutch Childcare Allowance case
equality before the law principle and, 184
legality principle and, 159–61
Dworkin, Ronald, 102

EC. *See* European Commission
ECHR. *See* European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
ECJ. *See* European Court of Justice
Economy and Society (Weber), 71–72
ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights
EEC. *See* European Economic Community
eGovernment Action Plan 2016–2020, 91
eGovernment Action Plan 2011–2015, 91
Eichmann, Adolf, 67
EP. *See* European Parliament
EPPO. *See* European Public Prosecutor's Office
Equality Act 2010, U.K., 193
equality before the law principle, 138–40
algorithmic regulation and, 181–90
In Allegheny's child welfare system, 183, 185
comparability loss, 187–90
Dutch Childcare Allowance case and, 184
Ofqual algorithm, 188
scaled bias risks, 181–84
social inequality influenced by, 184–87
transparency and, 189–90
challenges to, 139–40
in Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 138
in European Convention on Human Rights, 139
requirements of, 138–39
in Treaty on European Union, 148
errors, 55–57
algorithmic systems and, 55
from bias, 57–59
algorithmic regulation and, 59
in data-driven systems, 58–59
correlations and, 56–57
spurious, 38, 56, 196
opacity and, 59–61
ethics
German Data Ethics Commission, 271
guidelines for Trustworthy AI, 46–47, 265–66, 288
Levinas on, 79

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

ETIAS. *See* European Travel Information and Authorisation System
EU. *See* European Union
Eubanks, Virginia, 158, 174, 185
Euclid, 27
European AI Board, 272
European AI Office, 273, 277, 288
European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF), 236, 242
European Commission (EC). *See also* AI Act
  artificial intelligence and, 264–94
    definitions of, 44
    ethics guidelines for, 46
  rule of law and, 11, 13, 146
European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR), 110–12, 115
  equality before the law principle in, 139
European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
  judicial review principle and, 140–42, 191
  rule of law case law, 112, 115, 118–20, 139, 141
European Court of Justice (ECJ). *See* CJEU
EU database of high-risk systems, 273, 282, 284, 287, 291
European Parliament (EP), 13, 49, 120, 233–34, 238–39, 244, 264
European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO), 236, 242
European Travel Information and Authorisation System (ETIAS), 308
European Union (EU). *See also* legal order; *specific countries*; *specific treaties*
  algorithmic regulation governance in, 230–97
    administrative law and, 49
    Council of Europe and, 109–12
  Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 66, 112, 118, 127, 133, 138, 146, 243, 250, 256
  Council of the European Union, 13, 49, 120, 223, 233–35, 238–39, 241, 243, 264, 268, 272
  digital agenda of the EU, 5, 15, 230, 302
  Digital Markets Act, 277
  Digital Services Act, 255, 267
  eGovernment Action Plan 2016-2020, 91
  eGovernment Action Plan 2011-2015, 91
  General Data Protection Regulation, 187, 255–63, 275, 280, 292, 305
  legality principle in, 128–29
  Malmo Declaration on eGovernment, 91
  recovery plan in, 5, 25, 92, 243
  rule by law in, 3–14, 121–25
  rule of law in, 109–25
    AI Act and, 264–94
    in CJEU cases, 112–13, 117–20
    conceptualisation of, 109–25
    under Conditionality Regulation, 120, 140, 237–46
    Copenhagen criteria for, 113–14
    as crisis, 4–10, 121, 144
    European Commission, 11, 13, 146
    European Parliament and, 13, 49, 120, 233–34, 238–39, 244, 264
    fragility of, 121–25
    horizontal dimensions of, 114–17
    as normative legal framework, 125–48
    origins of, 112–14
    promotion initiatives for, 109
    under Treaty of Amsterdam, 114
    under Treaty of Lisbon, 114
    vertical dimensions of, 114–17
    Les Verts case, 113
  Treaty on European Union, 3–14, 110, 114
  Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, 114–15, 117–18, 239
  values in, 11, 114, 117, 120–25, 266–67
evil, banality of, 67, 217
executive branch, of power
  algorithmic regulation and, 9, 69–93
  judicial branch and, 199
  in liberal democracies, 2–4, 8
  public authorities and, 3–4, 21, 70–71
  separation of powers principle and, 147–48, 200–1

facial recognition systems, 58, 193, 275
Feinberg, Joel, 5, 7
Flanders, Belgium, 53
formalism, 214
France
  algorithmic systems in, 24, 89, 173
  Council of State in, 121–22
fraud. *See* tax fraud; welfare fraud
Fuller, Lon, 99, 144
fundamental rights. *See* human rights
fundamental rights impact assessment, 274–75, 284, 287–88, 304
future-proofness, under AI Act, 295

Gallie, W. B., 103
General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 187, 255–63, 275, 280, 292, 305
  automated decision-making in, 259–61
  enforcement of, 292–93
  evaluation of, 261–63
  legal basis, 257–59

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

in the Netherlands, 257–58
profiling in, 259
general purpose AI system, 276
general purpose AI model, 269, 271, 273, 276–78
Germany, Constitutional Court in, 121–23
Glasius, Marlies, 10, 16
Grosman, Vasily, 79

Hallstein, Walter, 112
handcrafted knowledge, 34
harm, harms and
AI Act, 264–94
algorithmic rule by law, 205–25
citizen surveillance, 202–5
collective, 7
individual, 6–7
societal, 7–8
systemic, 198
Harm Assessment Risk Tool (HART), 185–87
harmonisation approaches, in AI Act, 288–91
HART. *See* Harm Assessment Risk Tool
Hart, H. L. A., 102, 136–37, 159, 214, 216
Hayek, Friedrich, 98, 132, 137
High-Level Expert Group on AI, 46–47, 265–66, 270
Hildebrandt, Mireille, 4, 150, 153, 178, 221
HMRC. *See* Revenue and Customs service
Hobbes, Thomas, 1–2
Horizon, 57. *See also* Post Office scandal
human rights. *See also* fundamental rights
AI Act and, 265–68
under Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 66, 112, 118, 127, 133, 138, 146, 243, 250, 256
European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, 110–12, 115
legality and, 126
standardisation and, 127, 273–74, 293
Human Rights Watch, 204–5
human-chosen opacity, 61
Hungary
LGBTQ+ rights in, 123–24
rule of law in, 123, 222, 234
Huq, Aziz, 122–23, 124–25, 224–26, 260
hybrid regimes, 107
Hypereides, 97

Idaho, algorithmic systems in, 55–56, 157
illiberal tendencies and practices
constitutional retrogression and, 12–13
definition of, 10
individual harm, 6–7
individual justice, 207–9, 214
infrastructure, socio-technical, 51–55
infringement actions, 246–55
under Treaty on European Union, 246–47
under Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, 246–55
innovation, under AI Act, 273, 296
intelligence. *See* artificial intelligence
Internet of Things (IoT), data-driven systems and, 35
Israel, rule of law crisis in, 12

Jasanoff, Sheila, 129
judicial branch, 21, 145, 147, 220, 228
separation of powers principle and, 145
judicial review principle, 140–44
algorithmic regulation and, 190–99
in ECtHR cases, 191
informational limitations in, 191–95
jurisdictional limitations in, 199
remedy access and, 195–98
systemic review and, 198–99
challenges to, 142–44
requirements of, 140–42
judiciary. *See* judicial branch; *specific courts*
justice. *See* administrative justice; bureaucratic justice; individual justice

al-Khwārizmī, Muḥammad ibn Mūsā, 27
knowledge manipulation, 30–31
knowledge representation, 30–31
knowledge-driven methods and systems, 30–34
abstraction of, 32
for welfare fraud, 33
data-driven systems compared to, 41–43
handcrafted knowledge, 34
reasoning and, 30–32
Krygier, Martin, 99–100

labelling, 36–39, 275
large language models (LLMs), 40–41
Law Enforcement Directive (LED), 255–63, 305
lawfulness. *See* legality
learning
through data-driven systems, 34–41
deep learning, 37, 42, 59
reinforcement learning, 39
semi-supervised learning, 38
supervised learning, 36–37
unsupervised learning, 37–38
LED. *See* Law Enforcement Directive
Lefort, Claude, 72, 75–76
legal certainty principle, 131–33

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

legal certainty principle (cont.)
algorithmic regulation and, 164–73
means-testing algorithmic systems, 169
challenges to, 132–33
requirements of, 131–32
legal order, in EU. *See also* AI Act; *specific topics*; *specific treaties*
as autonomous, 10–11, 112–16
competences in, 231–32
Conditionality Regulation and, 237–46
Article 4, 242–43, 245
Data Retention Directive, 249
equality before the law principle, 250
European Anti-Fraud Office, 236, 242
European Parliament and, 13, 49, 120, 233–34, 238–39, 244, 264
European Public Prosecutor's Office, 236, 242
infringement actions, 246–55
regulation of personal data, 255–63
rule of law in, 109–25
Treaty on European Union and,
Article 2, 11, 127, 138, 232–37, 251–52
Article 7, 223, 233–37, 239–40, 252–55
Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and, 239–40, 246–47, 254–55
legalism
algorithmic regulation and, 157–61, 170
automation of, 213–18
administrative acts and, 217–18
creative compliance and, 215
discretion and, 214–16
definition of, 158
legality principle and, 157–61
legality, principle of, 126–31
algorithmic regulation and, 152–64
in Belgium, 162
codification of legal rules, 153–57
data-driven systems and, 156
Dutch child allowance case, 159–61
legalism and, 157–61
proportionality tests, 160
transparency and, 161–64, 171–73
in U.K., 163–64
Council of Europe and, 128–29
public participation in, 129
rule of law and, 126–31
challenges to, 129–31
requirements of, 126–29
transparency and, 128–29
Treaty on European Union and, 127
legislative branch, of power
algorithmic regulation and, 220
public authorities and, 70, 145–47
separation of powers principle and, 145, 147–48
Lessig, Lawrence, 3
Leviathan
administrative state and, 98
definition of, 2
symbolic meaning of, 1–2
Leviathan (Hobbes), 1–6
characterisation of, 2
social contract in, 1–2
Levinas, Emmanuel, 79, 214, 225
LGBTQ+ populations
algorithmic rule by law and, 222
rights for, 123–24
Life and Fate (Grosman), 79
Llama, 40–41
LLMs. *See* large language models
Loughlin, Martin, 97, 103, 109
Lovelace, Ada, 27

Malmo Declaration on eGovernment, 91
manipulation, 274
'many hands' problem, 68–69, 181
means-testing algorithmic systems, 169
market surveillance authorities in AI Act, 272, 287
Milgram, Stanley, 67–69, 180
obedience to authority in, 67
mistakes. *See* errors
Modernity and the Holocaust (Bauman), 75
The Morality of Law (Fuller), 99
Moses, Robert, 52
Mumford, Lewis, 51–52. *See also* infrastructure
mutual influencing processes, in algorithmic systems, 51

naturalism, rule of law and, 101–2
the Netherlands
General Data Protection Regulation violation in, 257–58
welfare fraud in, 187
Dutch Childcare Allowance case, 108, 159, 184, 198, 262
New Legislative Framework (NLF), 293
New Public Management movement (NPM), 90–91, 179
NLF. *See* New Legislative Framework
non-arbitrariness principle, 133–38
algorithmic regulation and, 173–81
discretion and, 177–81
efficiency of, 174–76
explainability reduction, 176–77
government accountability and, 180
challenges to, 135–38
Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and, 133–34
notifying authorities, in AI Act, 272

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

public authorities and, 133–38
public interest and, 137–38
requirements of, 133–35
NPM. *See* New Public Management movement

OECD. *See* Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OLAF. *See* European Anti-Fraud Office
opacity
algorithmic rule by law and, 218–21
errors and, 59–61
in algorithmic systems, 59–62
human-chosen opacity, 60
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
artificial intelligence defined by, 49

personal data. *See also* General Data Protection Regulation
definition of, 256
EU regulation of, 255–63
under Law Enforcement Directive, 255–63
plurality of values, 76
Poland
algorithmic systems in, 166–67, 196
Constitutional Court in, 121–23
LGBTQ+ rights in, 123–24
STIR system in, 196–97
policy recommendations, 302–9
for algorithmic rule by law, 225–29, 302–4
for future research, 307–9
High-Level Expert Group on AI, 265
legal safeguards, 304–6
Politics (Aristotle), 96
positivism, 101–2
Post Office scandal, in U.K., 57, 198
power. *See also* separation of powers principle
algorithmic regulation and, 199–204
public and private, 146–47, 201–2
principle of lawfulness. *See* legality
principle of legality. *See* legality
procedural rationality, 74–80, 136, 207–9
profiling, in General Data Protection Regulation, 259
proportionality tests, legality principle and, 160
proxies, in algorithmic systems, 62–66, 283
public authorities
accountability and, 218–21
AI Act and, 274–88
definition and scope of, 21
systemic vulnerability and, 221–25
supremacy of coders and, 210–13
public interest, non-arbitrariness principle and, 133–38
public participation, in legality principle, 128–29
public sector
algorithmic regulation in, 69–93
administrative acts, 78–82
algocracy, 82–87, 212
bureaucracy and, 70, 82–87
Public Sector Equality Duty, 193–94

rationality. *See also* techno-rationality
procedural, 74–80, 136, 207–9
substantive, 74–80, 136–37, 149, 207, 211
rational-legal bureaucracy, 75
Raz, Joseph, 98, 102–3, 171
reasoning
algorithms and, 30
knowledge-driven systems and, 30–32
reinforcement learning, 39
representation. *See* knowledge representation
Revenue and Customs service (HMRC), U.K., 219
Road to Serfdom (Hayek), 137
Romania, LGBTQ+ rights in, 123–24, 222
rule by law. *See also* algorithmic rule by law
authoritarianism and, 105, 107
rule of law and, 105–9
formal notions of law, 105–6
rule of law. *See also* equality before the law; European Union; legal certainty; legality; non-arbitrariness; separation of powers
AI Act and, 16, 265–80, 295
analysis of, 95–150
Aristotle on, 96–97
aspirational quality of, 103–4
authoritarian tendencies and, 9, 12, 17, 105–9, 121–25
constitutional retrogression and, 12–13, 224–26
in British constitutional theory, 97–98
citizenship and, 95
Code of Hammurabi and, 96
common good and, 103, 137–38
conceptualisation of, 95–109
Anglo-American, 97–98
in EU, 109–25
history of, 96
contestation of, 103, 109
Council of Europe and, 106–8, 110–12
crisis
in EU, 121–25, 144
in Israel, 12
critiques of, 102–3
definitions of, 96–109
Dicey on, 97–98
EU legal order and, 104, 112–25, 232–55
formal notions of, 101–5
as thin notion, 101

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

rule of law. (cont.)
Fuller on, 99, 144
human rights protections under, 9, 11, 102
in hybrid regimes, 107
illiberal tendencies and, 16, 121–25
constitutional retrogression and, 12–13
judicial review principle, 140–44
for administrative acts, 142
in Belgium, 142–43
challenges to, 142–44
requirements of, 140–42
list-based approaches to, 99–100
naturalism and, 101–2
as normative legal framework, 125–48
positivism and, 101–2
Raz on, 98, 102–3, 171
rule by law and, 105–50
spirit of, 96, 99–101
substantive notions of, 101–5
as thick notion, 101
teleological approach to, 99–101
as theatre, 103
theoretical approaches to, 96–109

Schmitt, Carl, 102–3
Scientific Panel of Independent Experts, 273
self-assessment,
in AI Act, 272, 281–82, 292
separation of powers principle, 144–49
algorithmic regulation and, 199–205
citizen surveillance, 202–5
executive branch and, 200–1
privatisation of legal infrastructure, 201–2
public power, 201–2
media and, 145–46
trias politica doctrine, 145, 201
Shklar, Judith, 98, 103, 158, 170
legalism defined by, 158
societal harm, 7–8, 15, 50, 142, 220, 228
socio-technical infrastructure. *See* infrastructure
speech acts, 153
spurious correlations, 38, 42–43, 56, 196
errors and, 56
standardisation
in AI Act, 273, 293
organisations, 273
Stalinism, 79, 225
Star, Susan, 54
STIR system, in Poland, 196–97
storage limitation principle, 256–58
Strasbourg case law, 118, 127–29, 139, 141, 144, 191
substantive rationality, 74–80, 136–37, 149, 207, 211
supervised learning, 36
supremacy of coders, 210–13, 222, 294
bureaucratic justice, 211
outsourcing of discretion, 213, 283
surveillance, 66, 202–5
Sweden, algorithmic systems in, 167, 172
symbolic rules, 31–32, 41–43, 46
systemic harm, 198

tax fraud, 196–97, 242–45
knowledge-driven systems and, 32–34
techno-rationality, primacy of, 207–10, 294
interpretation of legal rules and, 208
testing phase, of data-driven systems, 36, 62, 277
TEU. *See* Treaty on European Union
TFEU. *See* Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
Tocqueville, Alexis de, 106–7
traditional AI, 31
training phase, of data-driven systems, 62, 183, 277, 283
transparency. *See also* opacity
accountability and, 218–19
in administrative acts, 60, 163, 172
under AI Act, 266, 270–77, 284–85, 291–92, 303–5
deep fakes, 276
in data-driven systems, 59–60
judicial review principle and, 191–92
legality principle and, 128–29, 161–64
Treaty on European Union (TEU), 11–14, 114–19, 148, 231–32, 247
Article 2, 11, 127, 138, 232–37, 251–52
Article 7, 223, 233–37, 239–40, 252–55
conferral principle in, 115, 231
national identity in, 148
legality principle and, 127
Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), 239–40, 244–55
AI Act and, 267–68
infringement actions under, 13, 246–55
trias politica doctrine, 145, 201
Trustworthy AI, 266–67, 288

United Kingdom (UK)
British constitutional theory, 97–98
Equality Act 2010, 193
legality principle in, 163–64
Post Office scandal in, 57, 198
Public Sector Equality Duty in, 193–94
Revenue and Customs services in, 219
welfare benefits in, 169
unsupervised learning, 37–38, 42

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

United States (US)
algorithmic regulation in, 55, 157–58, 174, 183, 185, 200

value-added tax (VAT), 244–45
values
in European Union, 11, 114, 117, 120–25
plurality of, 76
VAT. *See* value-added tax
Venice Commission, Council of Europe and, 108, 110–11, 119, 127, 133, 141, 144, 160

Weber, Max, 71–78, 208
Weiler, Joseph, 106
welfare benefit allocation, 158, 174
knowledge-driven systems and, 32
in U.K., 169
welfare fraud
data-driven systems and, 37–38
in Indiana, 158
knowledge-driven systems and, 33
in the Netherlands, 187
Dutch Childcare Allowance case, 108, 159–61, 184, 198, 262
welfare state, development of, 136. *See also* welfare benefit allocation

Yeung, Karen, 180, 212

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 12 Nov 2026 at 10:21:44, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009427500.011