COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Analytic Hardy fields

Matthias Aschenbrenner and Lou van den Dries

Compositio Math. **161** (2025), 3338–3379.

doi: 10.1017/S0010437X26102929

FOUNDATION
COMPOSITIO
MATHEMATICA



LONDON
MATHEMATICAL
SOCIETY
EST. 1865



https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Compositio Math. 161 (2025) 3338–3379
doi:10.1017/S0010437X26102929

Analytic Hardy fields

Matthias Aschenbrenner and Lou van den Dries

Abstract

We show that maximal analytic Hardy fields are η_1 in the sense of Hausdorff. We also prove various embedding theorems about analytic Hardy fields. For example, the ordered differential field $\mathbb{T}$ of transseries is shown to be isomorphic to an analytic Hardy field.

1. Introduction

This article is a follow-up to [AvdDvdH24a], where the main result is that for any Hardy field H and countable subsets $A < B$ of H, there exists y in a Hardy field extension of H such that $A < y < B$. Equivalently, (the underlying ordered set of) any maximal Hardy field is η_1 in the sense of Hausdorff. In this result we do not require $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\omega$, and the glueing constructions in [AvdDvdH24a] do not give $y \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$, even if $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\omega$; see § 1.1 for the notation used here. We call a Hardy field H *smooth* if $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty$ and *analytic* if $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\omega$. By [AvdDvdH24d, Corollary 11.20] and [AvdDvdH17, 16.0.3 and 16.6.3], maximal Hardy fields, maximal smooth Hardy fields, and maximal analytic Hardy fields are all elementarily equivalent to the ordered differential field $\mathbb{T}$ of transseries, and have no proper d-algebraic H-field extension with constant field $\mathbb{R}$. We shall tacitly use these facts throughout.

Some may view non-analytic Hardy fields as artificial, since most Hardy fields that occur 'in nature' are analytic. (But see [Gok97, Gre08] for Hardy fields $H \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty$, and [RSW03] for Hardy fields $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty$, $H \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}^\omega$.) To conciliate this view and answer an obvious question, we prove in § 5 the analytic version of [AvdDvdH24a].

Theorem A. *If H is an analytic Hardy field with countable subsets $A < B$, then there exists $y \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ in a Hardy field extension of H such that $A < y < B$.*

Equivalently, all maximal analytic Hardy fields are η_1. The theorem goes through for smooth Hardy fields with $y \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ in the conclusion; this can be obtained by refining the glueing constructions from [AvdDvdH24a] (as was actually done in an early version of that paper, at the cost of three extra pages). Here we take care of the smooth and analytic versions simultaneously. Compared with [AvdDvdH24a], the new tool we use is a powerful theorem due to Whitney on approximating any $\mathcal{C}^n$-function or $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-function by an analytic function, where the approximation also takes derivatives into account. From that we obtain an analogue for germs, namely

Received 4 December 2023, accepted in final form 9 September 2025, published online 21 April 2026.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification 26A12, 41A28 (primary), 03E04, 34E05 (secondary).
Keywords: Hardy fields; transseries; Whitney approximation theorem

© The Author(s), 2026. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original article is properly cited. *Compositio Mathematica* is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Corollary 2.8, which in turn we use to derive Theorem A from various results in the non-analytic setting of [AvdDvdH24a].

In the course of establishing Theorem A in § 4 and 5, we revisit results on pc-sequences and on extensions of type (b) from [AvdDvdH24a]. In § 5 (see Theorem 5.15), this also leads to the following result.

Theorem B. *If H is a maximal analytic Hardy field, then H is dense in any Hardy field extension of H.*

If all maximal analytic Hardy fields are maximal Hardy fields, which seems to us implausible, then of course the theorems above would be trivially true. Can a maximal analytic Hardy field ever be a maximal Hardy field? For all we know, answering questions of this kind might involve set-theoretic assumptions such as the continuum hypothesis. In [AvdDvdH24a] and the present paper, we ran into other set-theoretic issues of this kind, and in § 9 we state some problems that arose this way.

Sections 6–8 prove embedding theorems about (not necessarily maximal) analytic Hardy fields. This is a special case of a result in § 8: the ordered differential field $\mathbb{T}$ is isomorphic over $\mathbb{R}$ to an analytic Hardy field extension of $\mathbb{R}$.

1.1 Notation and conventions

We take our notation and conventions from [AvdDvdH24a, end of introduction], but for the convenience of the reader we list here what is most needed.

We let i, j, k, l, m, n range over $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. We let $\mathcal{C}$ be the ring of germs at $+\infty$ of continuous functions $(a, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Let f, g range over $\mathcal{C}$, with representatives $(a, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ $(a \in \mathbb{R})$ of f, g also denoted by f, g. Then on $\mathcal{C}$ we have binary relations $\leqslant$, $<_{\mathrm{e}}$ given by $f \leqslant g :\Leftrightarrow f(t) \leqslant g(t)$, eventually, and $f <_{\mathrm{e}} g :\Leftrightarrow f(t) < g(t)$, eventually, as well as $\preccurlyeq$, $\prec$, $\asymp$, $\sim$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f \preccurlyeq g &:\Longleftrightarrow |f| \leqslant c|g| \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{R}^{>}, \\ f \prec g &:\Longleftrightarrow g \in \mathcal{C}^{\times} \text{ and } |f| \leqslant c|g| \text{ for all } c \in \mathbb{R}^{>}, \\ f \asymp g &:\Longleftrightarrow f \preccurlyeq g \text{ and } g \preccurlyeq f, \\ f \sim g &:\Longleftrightarrow f - g \prec g. \end{aligned}$$

For $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ we let $\mathcal{C}^r$ be the subring of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of the germs of r times continuously differentiable functions $(a, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}^{<\infty} := \bigcap_n \mathcal{C}^n$ is a differential ring with the obvious derivation, and has $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ as a differential subring. We let $\mathcal{C}^{\omega}$ be the differential subring of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ consisting of the germs of real analytic functions $(a, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$. A *Hausdorff field* is a subfield H of $\mathcal{C}$; it is naturally also an ordered and valued field (see [AvdDvdH24b, Section 2]), with the relations $\leqslant$, $\preccurlyeq$ on $\mathcal{C}$ restricting to the ordering of H and the dominance relation associated to the valuation of H, respectively. A *Hardy field* is a differential subfield of $\mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$. (Thus, every Hardy field is a Hausdorff field.)

The prefix 'd' abbreviates 'differentially', for example, 'd-algebraic' means 'differentially algebraic', and we use *pc-sequence* and *c-sequence* to abbreviate 'pseudocauchy sequence' and 'cauchy sequence', respectively.

2. Whitney's approximation theorem

In this section we let $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. We use the one-variable case of an approximation theorem due to Whitney [Whi34, Lemma 6] to upgrade various constructions of smooth functions

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

to analytic functions. To formulate this theorem we introduce some notation. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be open. Then $\mathcal{C}^m(U)$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of $\mathcal{C}^m$-functions $U \to \mathbb{R}$, with $\mathcal{C}(U) := \mathcal{C}^0(U)$ and $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U) := \bigcap_m \mathcal{C}^m(U)$, and $\mathcal{C}^\omega(U)$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of analytic functions $U \to \mathbb{R}$, so $\mathcal{C}^\omega(U) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$. Let $S \subseteq U$ be nonempty. For f in $\mathcal{C}(U)$ we set

$$\|f\|_S := \sup\{|f(s)| : s \in S\} \in [0, \infty],$$

so for $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (and the convention $0 \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot 0 = 0$), we have

$$\|f + g\|_S \leqslant \|f\|_S + \|g\|_S, \quad \|\lambda f\|_S = |\lambda| \cdot \|f\|_S, \quad \text{and} \quad \|fg\|_S \leqslant \|f\|_S \|g\|_S.$$

If $\emptyset \neq S' \subseteq S$, then $\|f\|_{S'} \leqslant \|f\|_S$. Next, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^m(U)$. We then put

$$\|f\|_{S;\,m} := \max\{\|f\|_S, \ldots, \|f^{(m)}\|_S\} \in [0, \infty].$$

Then again for $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\|f + g\|_{S;m} \leqslant \|f\|_{S;m} + \|g\|_{S;m}, \quad \|\lambda f\|_{S;m} = |\lambda| \cdot \|f\|_{S;m},$$

and

$$\|fg\|_{S;\,m} \;\leqslant\; 2^m \|f\|_{S;\,m} \|g\|_{S;\,m}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(U)$. For $U = \mathbb{R}$ we set $\|f\|_m := \|f\|_{\mathbb{R};\,m}$. For $k \leqslant m$ and $\emptyset \neq S' \subseteq S \subseteq U$ we have $\|f\|_{S';\,k} \leqslant \|f\|_{S;\,m}$. Moreover, $\|f\|_{S;\,m}$ does not change if S is replaced by its closure in U.

Theorem 2.1 (Whitney). *Let (a_n), (b_n), and (ε_n) be sequences in $\mathbb{R}$ and (r_n) in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $a_0 = b_0$, (a_n) is strictly decreasing, (b_n) is strictly increasing, and $\varepsilon_n > 0$, $r_n \leqslant r$ for all n. Set $I := \bigcup_n K_n$, where $K_n := [a_n, b_n]$. Then, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}^r(I)$, there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(I)$ such that for all n we have $\|f - g\|_{K_{n+1} \setminus K_n;\, r_n} < \varepsilon_n$.*

For a self-contained proof of Theorem 2.1, see the appendix to this paper.

We let $\mathcal{C}^m_a$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of functions $f : [a, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ which extend to a function in $\mathcal{C}^m(U)$ for some open neighborhood $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ of $[a, +\infty)$. Likewise, we define $\mathcal{C}^\infty_a$ and $\mathcal{C}^\omega_a$, and $\mathcal{C}_a := \mathcal{C}^0_a$; see [AvdDvdH24b, Section 3]. For $f \in \mathcal{C}^m_a$ and nonempty $S \subseteq [a, +\infty)$, we put $\|f\|_{S;\,m} := \|g\|_{S;\,m}$ where $g \in \mathcal{C}^m(U)$ is any extension of f to an open neighborhood $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ of $[a, +\infty)$. We shall use the following special case of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^r_b$, and let (b_n) be a strictly increasing sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $b_0 = b$ and $b_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and let (ε_n) be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^>$ and (r_n) be a sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ with $r_n \leqslant r$ for all n. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}^\omega_b$ such that for all n we have $\|f - g\|_{[b_n, b_{n+1}];\, r_n} < \varepsilon_n$.*

Proof. Extend f to a function in $\mathcal{C}^r(I)$, also denoted by f, where $I := (a, +\infty)$, $a < b$, and take a strictly decreasing sequence (a_n) in $\mathbb{R}$ with $a_0 = b_0$ and $a_n \to a$ as $n \to +\infty$. Now apply Theorem 2.1. □

The following is a useful reformulation of Corollary 2.2.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^r_b$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_b$ be such that $\varepsilon > 0$ on $[b, +\infty)$. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}^\omega_b$ such that $|(f - g)^{(k)}(t)| < \varepsilon(t)$ for all $t \geqslant b$ and $k \leqslant \min\{r, 1/\varepsilon(t)\}$.*

Proof. Take a strictly increasing sequence (b_n) in $\mathbb{R}$ with $b_0 = b$ and $b_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and for each n, set

$$\varepsilon_n := \min\{\varepsilon(t) : t \in [b_n, b_{n+1}]\} \in \mathbb{R}^>, \quad r_n := \min\{r, \lfloor \|1/\varepsilon\|_{[b_n, b_{n+1}]} \rfloor\} \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Corollary 2.2 yields $g \in \mathcal{C}^\omega_b$ such that $\|f - g\|_{[b_n, b_{n+1}];\, r_n} < \varepsilon_n$ for all n. Then, for $t \in [b_n, b_{n+1}]$ and $k \leqslant \min\{r, 1/\varepsilon(t)\}$, we have $k \leqslant r_n$ and so

$$|(f - g)^{(k)}(t)| \leqslant \|f - g\|_{[b_n, b_{n+1}];\, r_n} < \varepsilon_n \leqslant \varepsilon(t).$$ □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

This leads to an improved version of [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 2.5] as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_b$ be such that $f < g$ on $[b, +\infty)$. Then there exists $y \in \mathcal{C}_b^\omega$ such that $f < y < g$ on $[b, +\infty)$.*

Proof. Let $z := 1/2(f+g) \in \mathcal{C}_b$ and $\varepsilon := 1/2(g-f) \in \mathcal{C}_b$. Corollary 2.3 (with $r = 0$) then yields $y \in \mathcal{C}_b^\omega$ such that $|y - z| < \varepsilon$ on $[b, +\infty)$, so $f < y < g$ on $[b, +\infty)$. □

Thus, we can replace '$\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$' by '$\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$' in the statements of Lemma 2.7 and Corollary 2.8 in [AvdDvdH24a]. We now present another consequence of Corollary 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^r$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}$, $\varepsilon >_{\mathrm{e}} 0$. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ such that for all $k \leqslant r$ we have $|(f-g)^{(k)}| <_{\mathrm{e}} \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Pick a and representatives of f in $\mathcal{C}_a^r$ and of ε in $\mathcal{C}_a$, also denoted by f, ε, with $\varepsilon > 0$ on $[a, +\infty)$. Take $\varepsilon^* \in \mathcal{C}_a$ with $0 < \varepsilon^* \leqslant \varepsilon$ on $[a, +\infty)$ and $\varepsilon^* \prec 1$. Corollary 2.3 applied to ε^* in place of ε yields $g \in \mathcal{C}_a^\omega$ such that $|(f-g)^{(k)}(t)| < \varepsilon^*(t)$ for all $t \geqslant a$ and $k \leqslant \min\{r, 1/\varepsilon^*(t)\}$. Given $k \leqslant r$, take $b \geqslant a$ such that $k \leqslant 1/\varepsilon^*(t)$ for all $t \geqslant b$; then $|(f-g)^{(k)}(t)| < \varepsilon(t)$ for such t. □

Our next goal is to prove a version of Corollary 2.5 for approximating germs in $\mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ by germs in $\mathcal{C}^\omega$: see Corollary 2.8. First we give a lemma about glueing two approximations g_- and g_+ to a function f to make a single approximation g to f that combines properties of g_- and g_+.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{a_0}$ and $a_0 \leqslant a < b$. Suppose f is of class $\mathcal{C}^n$ on $[a, +\infty)$ and of class $\mathcal{C}^{n+1}$ on $[b, +\infty)$. In addition, let functions $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_{a_0}$ and $g_-, g_+ \in \mathcal{C}_{a_0}^\infty$ be given such that:*

- *$\varepsilon > 0$ on $[a_0, +\infty)$;*
- *$|(f-g_-)^{(j)}| < \varepsilon$ on $[a, +\infty)$ for $j = 0, \dots, n$; and*
- *$|(f-g_+)^{(j)}| < \varepsilon$ on $[b, +\infty)$ for $j = 0, \dots, n+1$.*

Then, for any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^>$, there is a function $g \in \mathcal{C}_{a_0}^\infty$ and a $b' > b$ such that:

(i) *$g = g_-$ on $[a_0, b]$ and $g = g_+$ on $[b', +\infty)$;*
(ii) *$|(f-g)^{(j)}| < (1+\delta)\varepsilon$ on $[a, +\infty)$ for $j = 0, \dots, n$; and*
(iii) *$|(f-g)^{(j)}| < \varepsilon$ on $[b', +\infty)$ for $j = 0, \dots, n+1$.*

Proof. Let $b' > b$, set $\beta := \alpha_{b,b'}$ as in [AvdDvdH24a, (3.4)], and $g := (1-\beta)g_- + \beta g_+$ on $[a_0, +\infty)$, so $g \in \mathcal{C}_{a_0}^\infty$. Let $\delta > 0$; we show that if $b' - b$ is sufficiently large, then g satisfies conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). It is clear that condition (i) holds, and so condition (iii) holds as well. Then the inequality in condition (ii) holds on $[a, b]$ and on $[b', +\infty)$, so it suffices to consider what happens on $[b, b']$. There we have, for $j = 0, \dots, n$,

$$(f-g)^{(j)} = f^{(j)} - ((1-\beta)g_-^{(j)} + \beta g_+^{(j)}) - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{j}{i} \beta^{(j-i)}(g_+^{(i)} - g_-^{(i)}),$$

and

$$f^{(j)} - ((1-\beta)g_-^{(j)} + \beta g_+^{(j)}) = (1-\beta)(f-g_-)^{(j)} + \beta(f-g_+)^{(j)},$$

so

$$|f^{(j)} - ((1-\beta)g_-^{(j)} + \beta g_+^{(j)})| \leqslant \max\{|(f-g_-)^{(j)}|, |(f-g_+)^{(j)}|\} < \varepsilon \text{ on } [b, b'].$$

By [AvdDvdH24a, (3.5)] we have reals $C_m \geqslant 1$ (independent of b') with $|\beta^{(m)}| \leqslant C_m/(b'-b)^m$. Hence, for $j = 0, \dots, n$, we have on $[b, b']$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure 1. Constructing g from g_-, g_+.

$$\left|\sum_{i=0}^{j-1}\binom{j}{i}\beta^{(j-i)}(g_+^{(i)}-g_-^{(i)})\right| \;\leqslant\; \sum_{i=0}^{j-1}\binom{j}{i}\frac{C_{j-i}}{(b'-b)^{j-i}}\,|g_+^{(i)}-g_-^{(i)}|$$

and $|g_+^{(i)}-g_-^{(i)}|<2\varepsilon$ for $i=0,\dots,n$. Thus, for $b'-b$ so large that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j-1}\binom{j}{i}\frac{C_{j-i}}{(b'-b)^{j-i}}<\delta/2,$$

condition (ii) is satisfied. (See also Figure 1.) □

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose $f\in\mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ and $\varepsilon\in\mathcal{C}$, $\varepsilon>_{\mathrm{e}}0$. Then there exists $g\in\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ such that $|(f-g)^{(n)}|<_{\mathrm{e}}\varepsilon$ for all n.*

Proof. Represent f and ε by continuous functions $[a_0,+\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ ($a_0\in\mathbb{R}$), also denoted by f and ε, such that $\varepsilon>0$ on $[a_0,+\infty)$. Next, take a strictly increasing sequence (a_n) of real numbers starting with the already given a_0, such that $a_n\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$, and f is of class $\mathcal{C}^n$ on $[a_n,+\infty)$, for each n. Then Corollary 2.3 gives, for each n, a function $g_n\in\mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{a_0}$ such that $|(f-g_n)^{(j)}|<\varepsilon/2$ on $[a_n,+\infty)$ for $j=0,\dots,n$. All this remains true when increasing each a_n while keeping a_0 fixed and maintaining that (a_n) is strictly increasing. Now use the lemma above to construct g as required: first glue g_0 and g_1 and increase the a_n for $n\geqslant 1$, then glue the resulting function with g_2 and increase the a_n for $n\geqslant 2$, and so on, and arrange the product of the $(1+\delta)$-factors to be <2. □

Now Corollary 2.5 (for $r=\infty$) and Proposition 2.7 yield the following.

Corollary 2.8. *For any germs $f\in\mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ and $\varepsilon\in\mathcal{C}$ with $\varepsilon>_{\mathrm{e}}0$, there exists a germ $g\in\mathcal{C}^{\omega}$ such that $|(f-g)^{(n)}|<_{\mathrm{e}}\varepsilon$ for all n.*

In the next section we apply Corollary 2.8 to bounded Hardy fields.

3. Bounded Hardy fields

As in [AvdDvdH24b, Section 5], a set $H\subseteq\mathcal{C}$ is called *bounded* if for some $\phi\in\mathcal{C}$ we have $h\leqslant\phi$ for all $h\in H$, and *unbounded* otherwise. Every countable subset of $\mathcal{C}$ is bounded, cf. [AvdDvdH24b,

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

remarks after Lemma 5.17]. As a consequence, the union of countably many bounded subsets of $\mathcal{C}$ is also bounded.

In this section we first establish a few general facts about the class of bounded Hardy fields, notably an 'analytification' result (Corollary 3.4) needed for the proof of Proposition 4.5. We then focus on the subclass of Hardy fields with countable cofinality, and show it to be closed under natural differential-algebraic Hardy field extensions (Theorem 3.13). Some auxiliary results from this section (e.g. Lemmas 3.8, 3.15, and 3.16) are also used later, notably in § 6, where we continue our study of Hardy fields of countable cofinality.

3.1 Observations on bounded Hardy fields

In the rest of this section, H is a Hardy field. If H is bounded, then there is a $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\phi >_{\mathrm{e}} 0$ and $g \prec \phi$ for all $g \in H$, so $\varepsilon := 1/\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\times$ satisfies $\varepsilon >_{\mathrm{e}} 0$ and $\varepsilon \prec h$ for all $h \in H^\times$. A germ $y \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be *H-hardian* if it lies in a Hardy field extension of H, and *hardian* if it lies in some Hardy field (equivalently, it is $\mathbb{Q}$-hardian). For $r \in \{\infty, \omega\}$, if $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}^r$ and $y \in \mathcal{C}^r$ is H-hardian, then $H\langle y\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}^r$; see [AvdDvdH24b, Section 4]. By [AvdDvdH24b, Lemmas 5.18, 5.19] we have the following.

Lemma 3.1. *If H is bounded, then any* d*-algebraic Hardy field extension of H is bounded, and for any H-hardian $f \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$, the Hardy field $H\langle f\rangle$ is bounded.*

Corollary 3.2. *If H is bounded and F is a Hardy field extension of H and* d*-algebraic over $H\langle S\rangle$ for some countable $S \subseteq F$, then F is bounded.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$, where f is H-hardian,* d*-transcendental over H, and $(f-g)^{(n)} \prec h$ for all $h \in H\langle f\rangle^\times$ and all n. Then the germ g is H-hardian, and there is a unique isomorphism $H\langle f\rangle \to H\langle g\rangle$ of Hardy fields over H sending f to g.*

Proof. Let $P \in H\{Y\}^{\neq}$, so $P(f) \in H\langle f\rangle^\times$. It suffices to show that then $P(f) \sim P(g)$. By Taylor expansion [AvdDvdH17, p. 210], with $r := \operatorname{order} P$ and $\boldsymbol{i}$ ranging over $\mathbb{N}^{1+r}$:

$$P(g) - P(f) = \sum_{|\boldsymbol{i}| \geqslant 1} P_{(\boldsymbol{i})}(f)(g-f)^{\boldsymbol{i}} \quad \text{where } P_{(\boldsymbol{i})} = \frac{P^{(\boldsymbol{i})}}{\boldsymbol{i}!} \in H\{Y\}.$$

If $|\boldsymbol{i}| \geqslant 1$, then $(g-f)^{\boldsymbol{i}} \prec h$ for all $h \in H\langle f\rangle^\times$, and hence $P_{(\boldsymbol{i})}(f)(g-f)^{\boldsymbol{i}} \prec P(f)$. Therefore, $P(g) - P(f) \prec P(f)$ as required. □

With Corollary 2.8 we now obtain analytic 'copies' of certain H-hardian germs.

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose H is bounded and f in a Hardy field extension of H is* d*-transcendental over H. Then there is an H-hardian $g \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ and an isomorphism $H\langle f\rangle \to H\langle g\rangle$ of Hardy fields over H sending f to g.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, the Hardy field $H\langle f\rangle$ is bounded, so we can take $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}^\times$ with $\varepsilon >_{\mathrm{e}} 0$ and $\varepsilon \prec h$ for all $h \in H\langle f\rangle^\times$. Corollary 2.8 yields a $g \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ such that $|(f-g)^{(n)}| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for all n, and so it remains to appeal to Lemma 3.3. □

Recall from [AvdDvdH17, 10.6] that an H-field L is said to be *Liouville closed* if it is real closed and for all $f, g \in L$ there exists $y \in L^\times$ with $y' + fy = g$. If $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}$, then our Hardy field H is an H-field, and H has a smallest Liouville closed Hardy field extension $\mathrm{Li}(H)$. (See [AvdDvdH24b, Section 4].) We can now also strengthen [AvdDvdH24a, Theorem 5.1] as follows.

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}$ is Liouville closed, and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$, $\phi >_{\mathrm{e}} H$. Then there is an H-hardian $z \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ with $z >_{\mathrm{e}} \phi$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. By [AvdDvdH24a, Theorem 5.1] we have an H-hardian $y \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ with $y >_{\mathrm{e}} \phi + 1$. Then y is d-transcendental over H and $H\langle y\rangle$ is bounded, by [AvdDvdH24b, Lemma 5.1] and Lemma 3.1. This yields $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\varepsilon >_{\mathrm{e}} 0$ and $\varepsilon \prec h$ for all $h \in H\langle y\rangle^\times$. Now Corollary 2.8 gives $z \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ with $|y^{(n)} - z^{(n)}| <_{\mathrm{e}} \varepsilon$ for all n. Then z is H-hardian by Lemma 3.3, and $z = y + (z - y) >_{\mathrm{e}} \phi$. □

Thus, maximal Hardy fields, maximal $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-Hardy fields, and maximal $\mathcal{C}^\omega$-Hardy fields are unbounded. (See also [AvdDvdH24b, Corollary 5.23 and succeeding remarks].) The *cofinality* of a totally ordered set S (that is, the smallest ordinal isomorphic to a cofinal subset of S) is denoted by $\mathrm{cf}(S)$; likewise, $\mathrm{ci}(S)$ denotes the *coinitiality* of S; cf. [AvdDvdH17, 2.1]. As [AvdDvdH24a, Theorem 5.1] gave rise to [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 5.2], so Corollary 3.5 yields the following.

Corollary 3.6. *If H is a maximal analytic Hardy field, then $\mathrm{cf}(H) > \omega$, and thus*

$$\mathrm{ci}(H) = \mathrm{cf}(H^{<a}) = \mathrm{ci}(H^{>a}) > \omega \quad \textit{for all } a \in H.$$

Likewise with 'smooth' in place of 'analytic'.

Call a subset F of $\mathcal{C}$ *cofinal* if, for each $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists $f \in F$ with $\phi \leqslant f$. If $F_1, F_2 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and for all $f_1 \in F_1$ there is an $f_2 \in F_2$ with $f_1 \leqslant f_2$, and F_1 is cofinal, then F_2 is cofinal. Clearly each cofinal subset of $\mathcal{C}$ is unbounded. The following strengthens [Sjö70, Theorem 7].

Corollary 3.7. *Assume the continuum hypothesis: $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$. Then there is a cofinal analytic Hardy field.*

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{c} := 2^{\aleph_0}$, and let α, α', β range over ordinals $< \mathfrak{c}$. Choose an enumeration $(\phi_\alpha)_{\alpha<\mathfrak{c}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose $((H_\alpha, h_\alpha))_{\alpha<\beta}$ is a family of bounded analytic Hardy fields H_α, each with an element $h_\alpha \in H_\alpha$, such that

$$\alpha < \alpha' < \beta \;\Rightarrow\; H_\alpha \subseteq H_{\alpha'} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha < \beta \;\Rightarrow\; \phi_\alpha <_{\mathrm{e}} h_\alpha. \tag{3.1}$$

Then $H := \bigcup_{\alpha<\beta} H_\alpha$ is an analytic Hardy field, and H is bounded, as the union of countably many bounded subsets of $\mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 3.1, $H^* := \mathrm{Li}(H(\mathbb{R}))$ is also bounded. Take $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ with $\phi >_{\mathrm{e}} H^*$ and $\phi \geqslant \phi_\beta$. Corollary 3.5 yields an H^*-hardian $h_\beta \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ with $h_\beta >_{\mathrm{e}} \phi$. Then the analytic Hardy field $H_\beta := H^*\langle h_\beta\rangle$ is bounded by Lemma 3.1, contains H_α for all $\alpha < \beta$, and $\phi_\beta <_{\mathrm{e}} h_\beta$.

Now, transfinite recursion yields a family $((H_\alpha, h_\alpha))_{\alpha<\mathfrak{c}}$, where H_α is a bounded analytic Hardy field and $h_\alpha \in H_\alpha$ such that (3.1) holds with $\mathfrak{c}$ in place of β. Then $\bigcup_{\alpha<\mathfrak{c}} H_\alpha$ is a cofinal analytic Hardy field. □

See Corollary 5.14 for a strengthening of Corollary 3.7.

Remark. Vera Fischer suggested replacing the continuum hypothesis in Corollary 3.7 with $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}$, which is strictly weaker than the continuum hypothesis (provided, of course, that our base theory ZFC is consistent). Here, $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{d}$ are so-called *cardinal characteristics of the continuum*. See [Bla10, 2.1, 2.2] for their definitions, and [Bla10, 2.4] for the inequalities $\aleph_1 \leqslant \mathfrak{b} \leqslant \mathfrak{d} \leqslant \mathfrak{c}$. *Martin's axiom* (MA) implies $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}$; see [Bla10, 6.8, 6.9] and [Rud77, Corollary 8]. If ZFC is consistent, then MA is strictly weaker than the continuum hypothesis by [ST71]. It is easy to check from their definitions that $\mathfrak{b}$ is the least cardinality of an unbounded subset of $\mathcal{C}$, and $\mathfrak{d}$ is the least cardinality of a cofinal subset of $\mathcal{C}$. Replacing $\mathfrak{c}$ by $\mathfrak{d}$ in the proof above and taking $(\phi_\alpha)_{\alpha<\mathfrak{d}}$ to enumerate a cofinal subset of $\mathcal{C}$, the proof does indeed go through with $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}$ instead of the continuum hypothesis.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

3.2 Hardy fields of countable cofinality

Hardy fields of countable cofinality are bounded. For later use we study here such Hardy fields in more detail. Given a valued differential field K, let C denote its constant field and Γ its value group.

Lemma 3.8. *Let K be a pre-H-field with $\Gamma \neq \{0\}$. Then $\operatorname{cf}(K) = \operatorname{cf}(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Apply [AvdDvdH17, 2.1.4] to the increasing surjection $f \mapsto -vf \colon K^> \to \Gamma$. □

In the next two lemmas, Γ is an ordered abelian group. We recall from [AvdDvdH17, 2.4] that the archimedean class of $\alpha \in \Gamma$ is

$$[\alpha] := \{\beta \in \Gamma : \ |\alpha| \leqslant n|\beta| \text{ and } |\beta| \leqslant n|\alpha| \text{ for some } n \geqslant 1\}.$$

We write $[\alpha]_\Gamma$ instead of $[\alpha]$ if we want to stress the dependence on Γ. We equip the set $[\Gamma] = \{[\alpha] : \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ with the ordering satisfying $[\alpha] \leqslant [\beta]$ if and only if $|\alpha| \leqslant n|\beta|$ for some $n \geqslant 1$. If Δ is an ordered subgroup of Γ, then for each $\delta \in \Delta$ we have $[\delta]_\Delta = [\delta]_\Gamma \cap \Delta$, and we have an embedding $[\delta]_\Delta \mapsto [\delta]_\Gamma \colon [\Delta] \to [\Gamma]$ of ordered sets via which we identify $[\Delta]$ with an ordered subset of $[\Gamma]$.

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose $\Gamma \neq \{0\}$. If $[\Gamma]$ has no largest element, then $\operatorname{cf}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{cf}([\Gamma])$; otherwise, $\operatorname{cf}(\Gamma) = \omega$.*

Proof. If $[\Gamma]$ has no largest element, then [AvdDvdH17, 2.1.4] applied to the increasing surjection $\gamma \mapsto [\gamma] \colon \Gamma^{\geqslant} \to [\Gamma]$ yields $\operatorname{cf}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{cf}([\Gamma])$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma^>$ is such that $[\gamma]$ is the largest element of $[\Gamma]$, then $\mathbb{N}\gamma$ is cofinal in Γ. □

Let G be an abelian group, with divisible hull $\mathbb{Q}G = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G$. Then $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} G := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}G$ (a cardinal) is the *rational rank* of G. (Note, in [AvdDvdH17, 1.7] we defined the rational rank of G to be ∞ if the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space $\mathbb{Q}G$ is not finitely generated.)

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\Delta \neq \{0\}$ be an ordered subgroup of Γ with $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma/\Delta) \leqslant \aleph_0$. Then $\operatorname{cf}(\Gamma) \leqslant \operatorname{cf}(\Delta)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.9 we may assume that $[\Gamma]$ has no maximum. Let S be a well-ordered cofinal subset of $[\Delta]$ of order type $\operatorname{cf}([\Delta])$, so $|S| = \operatorname{cf}([\Delta])$. Then $\widetilde{S} := S \cup ([\Gamma] \setminus [\Delta])$ is cofinal in $[\Gamma]$, so $\operatorname{cf}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{cf}([\Gamma]) = \operatorname{cf}(\widetilde{S}) \leqslant |\widetilde{S}|$ by Lemma 3.9 and [AvdDvdH17, 2.1.2]. Since $[\Gamma] \setminus [\Delta]$ is countable by [AvdDvdH17, 2.3.9], we have $|\widetilde{S}| \leqslant \max\{|S|, \omega\} = \max\{\operatorname{cf}([\Delta]), \omega\}$. Now apply Lemma 3.9 to Δ in place of Γ. □

A valued differential field K has *small derivation* if, for all $f \in K$: $f \prec 1 \Rightarrow f' \prec 1$, and *very small derivation* if for all $f \in K$: $f \preccurlyeq 1 \Rightarrow f' \prec 1$. For more on this, see [AvdDvdH17, 4.4] and [AvdDvdH24d, Section 13], respectively.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $K \subseteq L$ be an extension of pre-H-fields where $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_L/\Gamma)$ is countable, and suppose $\Gamma \neq \{0\}$ or L has very small derivation and archimedean residue field. Then $\operatorname{cf}(L) \leqslant \operatorname{cf}(K)$.*

Proof. If $\Gamma \neq \{0\}$, then by Lemmas 3.8 and 3.10 we have $\operatorname{cf}(L) = \operatorname{cf}(\Gamma_L) \leqslant \operatorname{cf}(\Gamma) = \operatorname{cf}(K)$. Suppose $\Gamma = \{0\}$, so L has very small derivation and archimedean residue field. Then K is archimedean, so $\operatorname{cf}(K) = \omega$, and Γ_L is countable, hence $\operatorname{cf}(\Gamma_L) \leqslant \omega$. Therefore, if $\Gamma_L \neq \{0\}$, then $\operatorname{cf}(L) = \operatorname{cf}(\Gamma_L) \leqslant \omega = \operatorname{cf}(K)$ by Lemma 3.8 applied to L in place of K, and if $\Gamma_L = \{0\}$, then $\operatorname{cf}(L) = \omega = \operatorname{cf}(K)$. □

By [AvdDvdH17, 3.1.10] the hypothesis on $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_L/\Gamma)$ in Lemma 3.11 is satisfied if $\operatorname{trdeg}(L|K)$ is countable. Hence this lemma yields the following result.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Corollary 3.12. *If F is a Hardy field extension of H such that* $\operatorname{trdeg}(F|H)$ *is countable, then* $\operatorname{cf}(F) \leqslant \operatorname{cf}(H)$. *Hence, if* $\operatorname{trdeg}(H|C_H)$ *is countable, then* $\operatorname{cf}(H) = \omega$ *(and so H is bounded).*

In [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 3.13] we showed that if $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $H^{>\mathbb{R}}$ has countable coinitiality, and H^{da} is the d-closure of H in a maximal Hardy field extension of H, then $(H^{\mathrm{da}})^{>\mathbb{R}}$ also has countable coinitiality. The property of H having countable cofinality is equally robust.

Theorem 3.13. *Let E be a differentially algebraic Hardy field extension of H with* $\exp(E(x)) \subseteq E(x)$. *Then* $\operatorname{cf}(E) \leqslant \operatorname{cf}(H)$, *with equality if* $\exp(H(x)) \subseteq H(x)$.

There is an immediate consequence as follows.

Corollary 3.14. *If H has countable cofinality, then so does* $\mathrm{Li}(H(\mathbb{R}))$ *as well as the* d*-closure of H in any maximal Hardy field extension of H.*

We precede the proof of Theorem 3.13 by a few lemmas. In Lemmas 3.15 and 3.16 we let K be a pre-d-valued field of H-type with asymptotic couple (Γ, ψ), where $\Gamma \neq \{0\}$. By [AvdDvdH17, 10.3.1], K has a d-valued extension $\operatorname{dv}(K)$ of H-type, the d-*valued hull* of K, such that any embedding of K into any d-valued field L of H-type extends uniquely to an embedding $\operatorname{dv}(K) \to L$.

Lemma 3.15. *The value group Γ is cofinal in $\Gamma_{\operatorname{dv}(K)}$.*

Proof. This is clear if $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\operatorname{dv}(K)}$. Otherwise, $\Gamma_{\operatorname{dv}(K)} = \Gamma + \mathbb{Z}\alpha$, where $0 < n\alpha < \Gamma^{>}$ for all $n \geqslant 1$, by [AvdDvdH17, 10.3.2], so Γ is cofinal in $\Gamma_{\operatorname{dv}(K)}$. □

For the proof of the next lemma we recall that $|\Gamma \setminus (\Gamma^{\neq})'| \leqslant 1$, and for $\beta \in \Gamma$ we have $\beta \in \Gamma \setminus (\Gamma^{\neq})'$ if and only if $\beta = \max \Psi$ or $\Psi < \beta < (\Gamma^{>})'$, by [AvdDvdH17, 9.2.1 and 9.2.16]. We say that K has *asymptotic integration* if $\Gamma = (\Gamma^{\neq})'$ and K is *grounded* if Ψ has a largest element. A *gap* in K is a $\beta \in \Gamma$ such that $\Psi < \beta < (\Gamma^{>})'$. (Thus, there is at most one gap in K, and K has asymptotic integration or is grounded if and only if it has no gap.) For all this, see [AvdDvdH17, 9.1 and 9.2].

Lemma 3.16. *Let $s \in K$ and $y' = s$, y in a pre-*d*-valued extension of K of H-type. Then Γ is cofinal in $\Gamma_{K(y)}$.*

Proof. Set $L := K(y)$ and $M := \operatorname{dv}(L)$. Lemma 3.15 allows us to replace K by its d-valued hull inside M to arrange that K is d-valued. Using [AvdDvdH17, 10.5.15 and the remark preceding 4.6.16], we replace K by $K(C_M)$ to arrange L to also be d-valued with $C = C_L$. Finally, replacing K by its algebraic closure inside an algebraic closure of L, we arrange K to be algebraically closed. We may assume $y \notin K$, so y is transcendental over K. Then

$$S := \{v(s - a') : a \in K\} \subseteq \Gamma.$$

Assume for now that S has a maximum β. Then $\beta \notin (\Gamma^{\neq})'$ by [AvdDvdH17, 10.2.5(i)], so $\beta = \max \Psi$ or β is a gap in K. If $\beta = \max \Psi$, then $\Gamma_L = \Gamma + \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ with $\Gamma^{<} < n\alpha < 0$ for all $n \geqslant 1$, so Γ is cofinal in Γ_L. Suppose β is a gap in K. Take $a \in K$ with $\beta = v(s - a')$ and set $z := y - a$, so $z' = s - a'$. We arrange $z \not\asymp 1$ by replacing a with $a + c$ for suitable $c \in C_L = C$. If $z \prec 1$, then [AvdDvdH17, 10.2.1 and its proof] gives $\Gamma_L = \Gamma + \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ with $0 < n\alpha < \Gamma^{>}$ for all $n \geqslant 1$, so Γ is cofinal in Γ_L. If $z \succ 1$, then [AvdDvdH17, 10.2.2 and its proof] gives likewise that Γ is cofinal in Γ_L.

If S does not have a largest element, then L is an immediate extension of K: this holds by [AvdDvdH17, 10.2.6] if $S < (\Gamma^{>})'$; otherwise, take $a \in K$ with $v(s - a') \in (\Gamma^{>})'$ and $y - a \not\asymp 1$, and apply [AvdDvdH17, 10.2.4 and 10.2.5(iii)] to $s - a'$, $y - a$ in place of s, y, respectively. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Lemmas 3.8 and 3.16 and [AvdDvdH24b, Proposition 4.2(iv)] yield the following.

Lemma 3.17. *If $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then $x^{\mathbb{N}}$ is cofinal in $H(x)$, and if $H \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then H is cofinal in $H(x)$. Hence,* $\operatorname{cf}(H) = \operatorname{cf}(H(x))$.

We can now give the proof of Theorem 3.13. First, replacing E, H by $E(x)$, $H(x)$, respectively, and using the last lemma, we arrange $x \in H$. Let S be a well-ordered cofinal subset of H of order type $\operatorname{cf}(H)$. For $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$, define $\exp_n(\phi) \in \mathcal{C}$ by recursion: $\exp_0(\phi) := \phi$ and $\exp_{n+1}(\phi) := \mathrm{e}^{\exp_n(\phi)}$. Then $|S| = \operatorname{cf}(H)$, and $\widetilde{S} := \bigcup_n \exp_n(S)$ is a cofinal subset of E, by [AvdDvdH24b, Lemma 5.1]. Thus, $\operatorname{cf}(E) = \operatorname{cf}(\widetilde{S}) \leqslant |\widetilde{S}| = |S| = \operatorname{cf}(H)$ as claimed. If $\exp(H) \subseteq H$, then $\widetilde{S} \subseteq H$, hence $\operatorname{cf}(E) = \operatorname{cf}(H)$.

The following corollary of Lemma 3.15 is not used later. If K is a pre-H-field, then by [AvdDvdH17, 10.5.13] there is a unique field ordering on $\operatorname{dv}(K)$ making it a pre-H-field extension of K. Equipped with this ordering, $\operatorname{dv}(K)$ is an H-field, the *H-field hull* of K, which embeds uniquely over K into any H-field extension of K, notation: $H(K)$ (not to be confused with the Hardy field $H(\mathbb{R})$ generated over the Hardy field H by $\mathbb{R}$).

Corollary 3.18. *The Hardy field H is cofinal in $H(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. This is clear if $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}$; assume $H \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let E be the H-field hull of H, taken as an H-subfield of the Hardy field extension $H(\mathbb{R})$ of H. Then H is cofinal in E (Lemma 3.15), so replacing H by E we arrange that H is an H-field. Now use that $\Gamma_{H(\mathbb{R})} = \Gamma_H \neq \{0\}$ by [AvdDvdH17, 10.5.15 and the remark preceding 4.6.16]. □

For use in § 6 we include the following cofinality result, which is immediate from Lemma 3.9 and [AvdDvdH17, 10.4.5(i)].

Lemma 3.19. *Let K be a d-valued field of H-type with divisible asymptotic couple (Γ, ψ), $\Gamma \neq \{0\}$, and let $s \in K$ be such that*

$$S := \{v(s - a^\dagger) : a \in K^\times\} < (\Gamma^>)'$$

and S has no largest element. Let f be an element of an H-asymptotic field extension of K, transcendental over K, with $f^\dagger = s$. Then $[\Gamma] = [\Gamma_{K(f)}]$, so Γ is cofinal in $\Gamma_{K(f)}$.

4. Pseudoconvergence in analytic Hardy fields

We complement the material on pc-sequences from [AvdDvdH24a, Sections 3 and 4] by criteria for germs in $\mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ to be pseudolimits of pc-sequences in Hardy fields, and then use this to show that each pc-sequence of countable length in an analytic Hardy field has an analytic pseudolimit. The main results to this effect are Propositions 4.3, 4.4, and 4.5. In this section H is a Hardy field.

4.1 Revisiting pseudoconvergence in Hardy fields

Let $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}(x)$ be real closed with asymptotic integration and (f_ρ) a pc-sequence in H of d-transcendental type over H (cf. [AvdDvdH17, 4.4]) with pseudolimit f in a Hardy field extension of H. Then the valued field extension $H\langle f\rangle \supseteq H$ is immediate by [AvdDvdH17, 11.4.7 and 11.4.13]. In [AvdDvdH24a] we only considered pc-sequences of countable length, but here we do not assume (f_ρ) has countable length (to be exploited in the proof of Theorem B). We begin by deriving a sufficient condition on $y \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ to be H-hardian with $f_\rho \rightsquigarrow y$. This will enable us to find such y in $\mathcal{C}^\omega$. (Another possible use is to find such y with oscillating $y - f$, so that $H\langle y\rangle$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and $H\langle f\rangle$ are 'incompatible' Hardy field extensions of H.) To simplify notation, set $t := x^{-1}$. Let $\phi \in H^\times$. Recall from [AvdDvdH17, 11.1] that ϕ is said to be *active* in H if $\phi \succcurlyeq h^\dagger$ for some $h \in H^\times$, $h \not\asymp 1$. Denoting by ∂ the derivation of the differential ring $\mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$, we let $(\mathcal{C}^{<\infty})^\phi$ be the ring $\mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ equipped with the derivation $\delta := \phi^{-1}\partial$ and H^ϕ be the ordered valued field H equipped with the restriction of δ to H; we then have a ring isomorphism $P \mapsto P^\phi : \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}\{Y\} \to (\mathcal{C}^{<\infty})^\phi\{Y\}$ with $P(y) = P^\phi(y)$ for each $y \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$. We first observe the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let ϕ be active in H, $0 < \phi \prec 1$, let $\delta := \phi^{-1}\partial$ be the derivation of $(\mathcal{C}^{<\infty})^\phi$, and let $z \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ satisfy $z^{(i)} \prec t^j$ for all i, j. Then $\delta^k(z) \prec 1$ for all k.*

Proof. This is clear for $k = 0$. Suppose $k \geqslant 1$. The identity (3.1) in [AvdDvdH24a] gives

$$\delta^k(z) = \phi^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} R_j^k(-\phi^\dagger) z^{(j)} \quad \text{where } R_j^k(Z) \in \mathbb{Q}\{Z\} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

This yields $\delta^k(z) \prec 1$ in view of $\phi^\dagger \preccurlyeq 1$ and $z^{(j)} \prec t^{2k} \prec \phi^k$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. □

The proof of the next result uses various items from [AvdDvdH17]: for P_{+h}, $P_{\times h}$ see [AvdDvdH17, 4.3]; for $\operatorname{ddeg}_{\prec\mathfrak{v}} P$, see [AvdDvdH17, 6.6]; for $\operatorname{ndeg}_{\prec\mathfrak{v}} P$, see [AvdDvdH17, 11.1]; and for $Z(H, f)$, see [AvdDvdH17, 11.4].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $y \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ be such that for all $h \in H$, $\mathfrak{m} \in H^\times$ with $f - h \preccurlyeq \mathfrak{m}$ and all n there is an active ϕ_0 in H such that for all active $\phi > 0$ in H with $\phi \preccurlyeq \phi_0$ we have $\delta^n((y-h)/\mathfrak{m}) \preccurlyeq 1$ for $\delta = \phi^{-1}\partial$. Then y is H-hardian and there is a Hardy field isomorphism $H\langle y\rangle \to H\langle f\rangle$ over H sending y to f.*

Proof. First, $Z(H, f) = \emptyset$ by [AvdDvdH17, 11.4.13], since (f_ρ) is of d-transcendental type over H and $f_\rho \rightsquigarrow f$. It is enough to show that $Q(y) \sim Q(f)$ for all $Q \in H\{Y\} \setminus H$. Let $Q \in H\{Y\} \setminus H$. Then $Q \notin Z(H, f)$, so we have $h \in H$ and $\mathfrak{v} \in H^\times$ such that $h - f \prec \mathfrak{v}$ and $\operatorname{ndeg}_{\prec\mathfrak{v}} Q_{+h} = 0$. Since $H\langle f\rangle \supseteq H$ is immediate, we have $\mathfrak{m} \in H^\times$ with $f - h \asymp \mathfrak{m}$. Let $r := \operatorname{order} Q$ and choose active ϕ_0 in H such that for all active $\phi > 0$ in H with $\phi \preccurlyeq \phi_0$ we have $\delta^j(y - h/\mathfrak{m}) \preccurlyeq 1$ for $\delta = \phi^{-1}\partial$ and $j = 0, \dots, r$. Now take any $\mathfrak{w} \in H^\times$ with $\mathfrak{m} \prec \mathfrak{w} \prec \mathfrak{v}$. Then $\operatorname{ndeg} Q_{+h,\times\mathfrak{w}} = 0$, so we can choose an active $\phi > 0$ in H with $\phi \preccurlyeq \phi_0$ and $\operatorname{ddeg} Q^\phi_{+h,\times\mathfrak{w}} = 0$. Then $\operatorname{ddeg}_{\prec\mathfrak{w}} Q^\phi_{+h} = 0$, so renaming $\mathfrak{w}$ as $\mathfrak{v}$ we arrange $\operatorname{ddeg}_{\prec\mathfrak{v}} Q^\phi_{+h} = 0$.

Using $(\ )^\circ$ as explained in [AvdDvdH24d, Section 8], we have the Hardy field $H\langle f\rangle^\circ$ and the H-field isomorphism $h \mapsto h^\circ : H\langle f\rangle^\phi \to H\langle f\rangle^\circ$. Put $u := (y-h)/\mathfrak{m} \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$. Then $\operatorname{ddeg}_{\prec\mathfrak{v}^\circ} Q^{\phi\circ}_{+h^\circ} = 0$ and $(u^\circ)^{(j)} \preccurlyeq 1$ for $j = 0, \dots, r$, hence $Q^{\phi\circ}(y^\circ) \sim Q^{\phi\circ}(f^\circ)$ by [AvdDvdH24d, Lemma 11.7] with H°, $H\langle f\rangle^\circ$, h°, f°, $\mathfrak{m}^\circ$, $Q^{\phi\circ}$, $\mathfrak{v}^\circ$, and y° in place of H, $\widehat{H}$, h, $\widehat{h}$, $\mathfrak{m}$, Q, $\mathfrak{v}$, and y, respectively. This yields $Q(y) \sim Q(f)$, since $Q^{\phi\circ}(g^\circ) = Q(g)^\circ$ for $g \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$. □

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose $0 \in v(f - H)$ and $y \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ is such that for all $\mathfrak{m} \in H^\times$ with $v\mathfrak{m} \in v(f - H)$ and all i, j, k we have*

$$y^{(i)} - f^{(i)} \prec \mathfrak{m}^j t^k \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}.$$

Then y is H-hardian and there is an isomorphism $H\langle y\rangle \to H\langle f\rangle$ of Hardy fields over H sending y to f (and thus $f_\rho \rightsquigarrow y$).

Proof. Let ϕ be active in H, $0 < \phi \prec 1$, and $\delta = \phi^{-1}\partial$ the derivation of $(\mathcal{C}^{<\infty})^\phi$. In addition, let $h \in H$ and $\mathfrak{m} \in H^\times$ with $f - h \preccurlyeq \mathfrak{m}$, and put $z := (y - f)/\mathfrak{m}$. By Lemma 4.2 it suffices to show that then $\delta^k((y-h)/\mathfrak{m}) \preccurlyeq 1$ for all k; equivalently, $\delta^k(z) \preccurlyeq 1$ for all k (thanks to $(y-h)/\mathfrak{m} - z = (f-h)/\mathfrak{m} \preccurlyeq 1$ and the smallness of the derivation of $H\langle f\rangle^\phi$).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Claim 1. Suppose $\mathfrak{m} \preccurlyeq 1$. Then $z^{(n)} \prec \mathfrak{m}^j t^k$ for all n, j, k.

This holds for $n = 0$ because $\mathfrak{m}z \prec \mathfrak{m}^{j+1}t^k$ for all j, k. Let $n \geqslant 1$ and assume inductively that $z^{(i)} \prec \mathfrak{m}^j t^k$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ and all j, k. Now $(\mathfrak{m}z)^{(n)} = y^{(n)} - f^{(n)} \prec \mathfrak{m}^j t^k$ for all j, k, and

$$(\mathfrak{m}z)^{(n)} \;=\; \mathfrak{m}^{(n)}z + \cdots + \mathfrak{m}z^{(n)}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{m} \preccurlyeq 1$, the smallness of the derivation of H and the inductive assumption gives $\mathfrak{m}^{(n-i)}z^{(i)} \preccurlyeq z^{(i)} \prec \mathfrak{m}^j t^k$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ and all j, k, so $\mathfrak{m}z^{(n)} \prec \mathfrak{m}^j t^k$ for all j, k, and thus $z^{(n)} \prec \mathfrak{m}^j t^k$ for all j, k.

Claim 2. We claim that $\delta^k(z) \prec 1$ for all k.

If $\mathfrak{m} \preccurlyeq 1$, then this holds by Claim 1 and Lemma 4.1. In general, take $h_1 \in H$ with $f - h_1 \prec f - h$ and $f - h_1 \preccurlyeq 1$, and then $\mathfrak{m}_1 \in H^\times$ with $f - h_1 \asymp \mathfrak{m}_1$. By the special case just proved, with h_1, $\mathfrak{m}_1$ in place of h, $\mathfrak{m}$, we have $\delta^k((y-f)/\mathfrak{m}_1) \prec 1$ for all k. Now $z = ((y-f)/\mathfrak{m}_1)(\mathfrak{m}_1/\mathfrak{m})$ and $\mathfrak{m}_1/\mathfrak{m} \prec 1$ (in H), so the claim follows using the product rule for the derivation of $(\mathcal{C}^{<\infty})^\phi$ and the smallness of the derivation of H^ϕ. □

The following is a more useful variant for the case $0 \notin v(f - H)$.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose $0 \notin v(f - H)$, and $y \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ is such that*

$$y^{(i)} - f^{(i)} \;\prec\; t^k \text{ for all } i, k.$$

Then y is H-hardian and there is an isomorphism $H\langle y\rangle \to H\langle f\rangle$ of Hardy fields over H sending y to f.

Proof. Let ϕ, h, $\mathfrak{m}$, z be as in the proof of Proposition 4.3; as in that proof, it suffices to show that $\delta^k(z) \preccurlyeq 1$ for all k. Now $v(f - H)$ is downward closed, so $v(f - H) < 0$, which gives $1 \prec f - h \preccurlyeq \mathfrak{m}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{m} \succ 1$, hence with $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{m}^{-1} \in H^\times$ we have $z = \mathfrak{n}(y - f)$ and $\mathfrak{n} \prec 1$, so in view of $z^{(i)} = \mathfrak{n}^{(i)}(y-f) + \cdots + \mathfrak{n}(y-f)^{(i)}$ we obtain $z^{(i)} \prec t^j$ for all i, j, and Lemma 4.1 then yields $\delta^k(z) \prec 1$ for each k. □

Multiplicative conjugation gives a reduction to Proposition 4.4, except when (f_ρ) is a cauchy sequence, not just a pc-sequence. We shall exploit this several times.

4.2 Constructing analytic pseudolimits in Hardy field extensions

Let (f_ρ) be a pc-sequence in H. Corollary 3.2 from [AvdDvdH24a] says that if (f_ρ) has countable length, then (f_ρ) pseudoconverges in some Hardy field extension of H. Using Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 4.4 we now deduce smooth and analytic versions of this key fact. We say that an H-field with real closed constant field is *closed* if it has no proper d-algebraic H-field extension with the same constant field. (This is not how 'closed' was introduced in [AvdDvdH24a], but it is equivalent to it in view of [AvdDvdH17, 16.0.3 and the proof of 16.4.8].) By [AvdDvdH24d, Corollary 11.20], every maximal Hardy field is a closed H-field; likewise with 'maximal smooth' or 'maximal analytic' in place of 'maximal'. Every closed H-field is Liouville closed, by [AvdDvdH17, 10.6.13 and 10.6.14], and every divergent pc-sequence in a closed H-field is of d-transcendental type over it, by [AvdDvdH17, 11.4.8 and 11.4.13].

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose H is an analytic Hardy field and (f_ρ) pseudoconverges in some Hardy field extension of H. Suppose also that H is bounded or (f_ρ) does not have width $\{\infty\}$ in the valued field H. Then (f_ρ) pseudoconverges in an analytic Hardy field extension of H. Likewise with 'smooth' in place of 'analytic'.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Assume H is analytic; the smooth case goes the same way. As in [AvdDvdH24a], we can pass from H to an extension of H and reduce to the case that $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}$, H is closed, and (f_ρ) has no pseudolimit in H. Take f in a Hardy field extension of H such that $f_\rho \rightsquigarrow f$. Then $f \notin H$, so f is d-transcendental over H. If H is bounded, then Corollary 3.4 yields an H-hardian $y \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ with $f_\rho \rightsquigarrow y$.

Suppose (f_ρ) does not have width $\{\infty\}$. Then take $h \in H^\times$ with $v(hf - hf_\rho) < 0$ for all ρ. Take $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\varepsilon >_{\mathrm{e}} 0$ and $\varepsilon \prec t^k$ for all k, for example, $\varepsilon = \mathrm{e}^{-x}$. Now Corollary 2.8 gives $y \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ such that $(hf)^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \prec t^k$ for all k. Then y is H-hardian and $hf_\rho \rightsquigarrow y$ by Proposition 4.4, hence $h^{-1}y \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ is H-hardian and $f_\rho \rightsquigarrow h^{-1}y$. □

Corollary 4.6. *If H is an analytic Hardy field, then every pc-sequence in H of countable length pseudoconverges in an analytic Hardy field extension of H. Likewise with 'smooth' in place of 'analytic'.*

Proof. Suppose (f_ρ) has countable length. Then (f_ρ) pseudoconverges in a Hardy field extension of H, by [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 3.2]. Moreover, if (f_ρ) has width $\{\infty\}$, then $(v(f - f_\rho))$ is cofinal in Γ_H, so $\mathrm{cf}(H) = \mathrm{cf}(\Gamma_H) = \omega$ by Lemma 3.8, hence H is bounded. Now use Proposition 4.5. □

Arguing as in the proof of [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 4.8], using Corollary 4.6 instead of [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 3.2], yields the following

Corollary 4.7. *If H is a maximal analytic or maximal smooth Hardy field, then* $\mathrm{ci}(H^{>\mathbb{R}}) > \omega$.

Recall from [AvdDvdH24a, § 6] that the H-couple (Γ, ψ) of H is said to be *countably spherically complete* if in the valued abelian group (Γ, ψ), every pc-sequence of length ω in it pseudoconverges in it. In view of [AvdDvdH24a, remark preceding Corollary 8.1], Corollaries 3.6, 4.6, and 4.7 yield a version of [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 8.1] for maximal analytic Hardy fields as follows.

Corollary 4.8. *If H is a maximal analytic or maximal smooth Hardy field, then its H-couple (Γ, ψ) is countably spherically complete and*

$$\mathrm{cf}(\Gamma^<) = \mathrm{ci}(\Gamma^>) \ > \ \omega, \quad \mathrm{ci}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{cf}(\Gamma) \ > \ \omega.$$

5. Proofs of Theorems A and B

We begin with revisiting case (b) extensions, then prove Theorem A and use it to characterize the possible gaps in maximal analytic Hardy fields. We also determine the number of maximal analytic Hardy fields. Next we prove Theorem B, and finish this section with two subsections on dense pairs of closed H-fields.

5.1 Case (b) extensions

Let $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a Liouville closed Hardy field with H-couple (Γ, ψ) over $\mathbb{R}$. Suppose β in an H-couple (Γ^*, ψ^*) over $\mathbb{R}$ extending (Γ, ψ) falls under case (b), that is, with $(\Gamma\langle\beta\rangle, \psi_\beta)$ the H-couple over $\mathbb{R}$ generated by β over (Γ, ψ) in (Γ^*, ψ^*).

(b) We have a sequence (α_i) in Γ and a sequence (β_i) in Γ^* that is $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent over Γ, such that $\beta_0 = \beta - \alpha_0$ and $\beta_{i+1} = \beta_i^\dagger - \alpha_{i+1}$ for all i, and such that $\Gamma\langle\beta\rangle = \Gamma \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \mathbb{R}\beta_i$.

Unlike in key parts of [AvdDvdH24a, Section 9], we do not assume β is of countable type over Γ, and this will be exploited in the proof of Theorem B. (Recall from [AvdDvdH24a,

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Section 8] that an element γ of an ordered vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ extending Γ has *countable type over* Γ if $\gamma \notin \Gamma$ and $\operatorname{cf}(\Gamma^{<\gamma}), \operatorname{ci}(\Gamma^{>\gamma}) \leqslant \omega$.) By [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 8.15], β also falls under case (b) with the same sequences (α_i), (β_i) when (Γ, ψ) and (Γ^*, ψ^*) are viewed as H-couples over $\mathbb{Q}$; see [AvdDvdH24a, Section 8] for the relevant definitions.

In the next proposition and its corollary we assume $y \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ is H-hardian, $y > 0$, and vy realizes the same cut in Γ as β. Then by [AvdDvdH24a, Remark 8.21] we have a unique isomorphism over Γ of the H-couple over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $\Gamma \cup \{\beta\}$ in (Γ^*, ψ^*) with the H-couple of the Hardy field $H\langle y\rangle^{\mathrm{rc}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ sending β to vy. Moreover, if $z \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ is also H-hardian with $z > 0$ and vz realizes the same cut in Γ as β, then we have a unique Hardy field isomorphism $H\langle y\rangle \to H\langle z\rangle$ over H sending y to z, by [AvdDvdH24a, Proposition 8.20]. The problem here is to find such z in $\mathcal{C}^{\omega}$ (in which case $H\langle z\rangle$ is smooth, respectively analytic, if H is). This can always be done, in view of Corollary 2.8 and the following.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\varepsilon >_{\mathrm{e}} 0$ and for all $z \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$, if $(z-y)^{(i)} \prec \varepsilon$ for all i, then z is H-hardian and vz realizes the same cut in Γ as β.*

Proof. Let the sequences (α_i), (β_i) be as in case (b). Take $f_i \in H^{>}$ with $vf_i = \alpha_i$, and recursively we set $y_0 := y/f_0$, $y_{i+1} := y_i^{\dagger}/f_{i+1}$. Then vy_i realizes the same cut in Γ as β_i, and $H\langle y\rangle = H(y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots)$, by [AvdDvdH24a, proof of Proposition 8.20]. Next set $K := \mathbb{R}\langle f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots\rangle$ and note that $K\langle y\rangle = K(y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots)$, using that the right-hand side contains all y_n'. Suppose $z \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ is such that $(z-y)^{(i)} \prec f$ for all i and all $f \in K\langle y\rangle^{\times}$. Then z is K-hardian and d-transcendental over K by Lemma 3.3, and the proof of that lemma also shows that $P(y) \sim P(z)$ for every $P \in K\{Y\}^{\neq}$. Thus, we have elements $z_i \in K\langle z\rangle$ defined recursively by $z_0 := z/f_0$, $z_{i+1} := z_i^{\dagger}/f_{i+1}$, and then $y_i \sim z_i$ for all i. For the Hausdorff field $H_n := H(y_0, \dots, y_n)$ we have $v(H_n^{\times}) = \Gamma \oplus \mathbb{Z}vy_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}vy_n$ by [AvdDvdH24a, proof of Proposition 8.20], and for $h \in H^{\times}$ and $i_0, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $hy_0^{i_0} \cdots y_n^{i_n} \sim hz_0^{i_0} \cdots z_n^{i_n}$ (in $\mathcal{C}$). Hence, $z_0, \dots, z_n$ generate a Hausdorff field over H with an isomorphism $H_n \to H(z_0, \dots, z_n)$ over H sending y_i to z_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$. These isomorphisms therefore have a common extension to an isomorphism $H\langle y\rangle \to H\langle z\rangle$ of Hardy fields over H. In particular, z is H-hardian, and vz realizes the same cut in Γ as β. Now, by Lemma 3.2 and the remarks preceding it, there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\varepsilon >_{\mathrm{e}} 0$ and $\varepsilon \prec f$ for all $f \in K\langle y\rangle^{\times}$, so any such ε has the desired property. □

Combining Corollary 2.8 with Proposition 5.1 yields the following.

Corollary 5.2. *There exists an H-hardian $z \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega}$ such that $z > 0$ and vz realizes the same cut in Γ as β.*

We can now use [AvdDvdH24a, Theorem 9.2] to obtain an analytic strengthening of this result as follows.

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose β is of countable type over Γ and $\beta_i^{\dagger} < 0$ for all i. Then for some H-hardian $z \in \mathcal{C}^{\omega}$: $z > 0$ and vz realizes the same cut in Γ as β.*

Proof. Theorem 9.2 of [AvdDvdH24a] gives H-hardian $y > 0$ such that vy realizes the same cut in Γ as β. Then Corollary 5.2 gives a z as required. □

5.2 Proof of Theorem A

First we give an analytic/smooth version of [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 9.1].

Lemma 5.4. *Let H be a maximal analytic or maximal smooth Hardy field with H-couple (Γ, ψ) over $\mathbb{R}$. Then no element in any H-couple over $\mathbb{R}$ extending (Γ, ψ) has countable type over Γ.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. By Corollary 4.8, (Γ, ψ) is countably spherically complete, and both Γ and $\Gamma^<$ have uncountable cofinality. By [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 8.11], any element of any H-couple over $\mathbb{R}$ extending (Γ, ψ) and of countable type over Γ falls under case (b). Now argue as in the proof of [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 9.1], using Corollary 5.3 in place of [AvdDvdH24a, Theorem 9.2], that there are no such elements. □

Theorem A from the introduction and its smooth version follow from Corollary 4.6 and Lemma 5.4, just as the main theorem in [AvdDvdH24a] is derived in the beginning of [AvdDvdH24a, Section 9] from the non-smooth analogues of that corollary and lemma. We now use this to characterize gaps in maximal analytic Hardy fields: see Corollary 5.9.

5.3 Characters of gaps in maximal Hardy fields

Let S be an ordered set (as in [AvdDvdH24a] this means *linearly ordered set*) and C a cut in S, that is a downward closed subset of S. We define the *character* of C (in S) to be the pair (α, β^*) where $\alpha := \mathrm{cf}(C)$ and β^* is the set $\beta := \mathrm{ci}(S \setminus C)$ equipped with the reversed ordering. We then also call C an (α, β^*)-*cut* (in S); see [Har05, § 3.2]. The characters of the cuts $\emptyset$ and S in S are $(0, \mathrm{ci}(S)^*)$ and $(\mathrm{cf}(S), 0)$, respectively. Note that S is η_1 if and only if no cut in S has character (α, β^*) with $\alpha, \beta \leqslant \omega$. A *gap* $A < B$ *in* S is a pair (A, B) of subsets of S such that $A < B$ and there is no $s \in S$ with $A < s < B$. The character of such a gap $A < B$ is defined to be the character (α, β^*) of the cut $A^\downarrow = S \setminus B^\uparrow$ in S, and then $A < B$ is also called an (α, β^*)-gap in S.

Let G be an ordered abelian group. If $v\colon G \to S_\infty$ is a surjective convex valuation on G (see [AvdDvdH17, p. 99]) and $A < B$ is an (α, β^*)-gap in S where $\alpha, \beta \geqslant \omega$, then $(v^{-1}(A) \cap G^<, v^{-1}(B) \cap G^<)$ is a (α, β^*)-gap in G. If H is an ordered field, then $\mathrm{cf}(H^<) = \mathrm{ci}(H^>) = \mathrm{cf}(H)$, and the cuts $H^{<h}$ and $H^{\leqslant h}$ $(h \in H)$ in H have character $(\mathrm{cf}(H), 1)$ and $(1, \mathrm{cf}(H)^*)$, respectively.

Corollary 5.5. *Let H be a maximal Hardy field, or a maximal analytic Hardy field, or a maximal smooth Hardy field. Set $\kappa := \mathrm{ci}(H^{>\mathbb{R}})$. Then $\omega < \kappa \leqslant \mathfrak{c}$, and H has gaps of character (ω, κ^*), (κ, ω^*), and (κ, κ^*).*

Proof. Corollary 4.7 and [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 4.8] give $\omega < \kappa \leqslant \mathfrak{c}$. The gaps $\mathbb{R} < H^{>\mathbb{R}}$ and $H^{<\mathbb{R}} < \mathbb{R}$ in H have character (ω, κ^*) and (κ, ω^*), respectively. To obtain a (κ, κ^*)-gap in H, take a coinitial sequence $(\ell_\rho)_{\rho<\kappa}$ in $H^{>\mathbb{R}}$ with $\ell_\rho \succ \ell_{\rho'}$ for all $\rho < \rho' < \kappa$. Put $\gamma_\rho := \ell_\rho^\dagger > 0$, so $(1/\ell_\rho)' = (1/\ell_\rho)^\dagger/\ell_\rho = -\gamma_\rho/\ell_\rho < 0$. Set $A := \{\gamma_\rho/\ell_\rho : \rho < \kappa\}$, $B := \{\gamma_\rho : \rho < \kappa\}$. With (Γ, ψ) the asymptotic couple of H, $v(A)$ is coinitial in $(\Gamma^>)'$ and has no smallest element, and $v(B)$ is cofinal in $\Psi = (\Gamma^{\neq})^\dagger$ and has no largest element. Now H has asymptotic integration, so there is no $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\Psi < \gamma < (\Gamma^>)'$. Hence, $A < B$ is a (κ, κ^*)-gap in H. □

Now let G be an ordered abelian group. Assume $G \neq \{0\}$ and $G^>$ has no smallest element, so $\mathrm{ci}(G^>) \geqslant \omega$. A gap $A < B$ in G is said to be *cauchy* if $A, B \neq \emptyset$, A has no largest element, B has no smallest element, and for each $\varepsilon \in G^>$ there are $a \in A$, $b \in B$ with $b - a < \varepsilon$. If $A < B$ is a cauchy gap in G, then so is $-B < -A$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $A < B$ be a cauchy gap in G and (a_ρ) be an increasing cofinal well-indexed sequence in A. Then (a_ρ) is a divergent c-sequence in G.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in G^>$ and take $a \in A$, $b \in B$ with $b - a < \varepsilon$. Take ρ_0 such that $a \leqslant a_\rho$ for all $\rho > \rho_0$. Then $0 < a_{\rho'} - a_\rho < b - a < \varepsilon$ for $\rho_0 < \rho < \rho'$. Hence (a_ρ) is a c-sequence in G, and there is no $a \in G$ with $a_\rho \to a$. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Lemma 5.7. *Every cauchy gap in G has character $(\mathrm{cf}(G^<), \mathrm{cf}(G^<)^*)$. Moreover, G is complete if and only if G has no cauchy gap.*

Proof. The first claim follows from Lemma 5.6 and [AvdDvdH17, 2.4.11]. It also follows from this lemma that if G is complete, then G has no cauchy gap. Conversely, suppose G has no cauchy gap. Let (a_ρ) be a c-sequence in G. For each $\varepsilon \in G^>$, take ρ_ε such that $|a_\rho - a_{\rho'}| < \varepsilon$ for all $\rho, \rho' \geqslant \rho_\varepsilon$, and set

$$A := \{a_{\rho_\varepsilon} - \varepsilon : \ \varepsilon \in G^>\}, \quad B := \{a_{\rho_\delta} + \delta : \ \delta \in G^>\}.$$

Then $A < B$ and for all $\varepsilon \in G^>$ there are $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ with $b - a < \varepsilon$. However, $A < B$ is no cauchy gap, so we have $g \in G$ with $A \leqslant g \leqslant B$. Then $a_\rho \to g$. □

Corollary 5.8. *Let H be a maximal, or maximal analytic, or maximal smooth Hardy field, and $\lambda := \mathrm{cf}(H)$. Then $\omega < \lambda \leqslant \mathfrak{c}$, and H has gaps of character $(0, \lambda^*)$, $(\lambda, 0)$, $(1, \lambda^*)$, $(\lambda, 1)$, and if H is not complete, then H has a (λ, λ^*)-gap.*

Proof. Lemma 3.8 yields $\lambda = \mathrm{cf}(\Gamma)$, so $\omega < \lambda \leqslant \mathfrak{c}$ by [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 8.1] and Corollary 4.8. For the rest, use the remarks before Corollary 5.5 and Lemma 5.7. □

The main result of [AvdDvdH24a], Theorem A, and Corollaries 5.5 and 5.8 now gives us the following.

Corollary 5.9. *Assume that the continuum hypothesis holds. If H is a maximal Hardy field, a maximal analytic Hardy field, or a maximal smooth Hardy field, then the characters of gaps in H are*

$$(0, \omega_1^*), \ (\omega_1, 0), \ (1, \omega_1^*), \ (\omega_1, 1), \ (\omega, \omega_1^*), \ (\omega_1, \omega^*), \ \text{and} \ (\omega_1, \omega_1^*).$$

5.4 The number of maximal analytic Hardy fields

We recall some definitions from [AvdDvdH24a]. A germ $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be *overhardian* if ϕ is hardian and $\phi >_{\mathrm{e}} \exp_n(x)$ for all n; see [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 5.11]. Let $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a Hardy field. Then

$$H^{\mathrm{te}} := \{f \in H : f > \exp_n(x) \text{ for each } n\}$$

denotes the set of overhardian (or transexponential) elements of H. We let $*H^{\mathrm{te}}$ be the set of equivalence classes of the equivalence relation $\sim_{\exp}$ on H^{te} given by

$$f \sim_{\exp} g \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad f \leqslant \exp_n(g) \text{ and } g \leqslant \exp_n(f) \text{ for some } n \quad (f, g \in H^{\mathrm{te}}).$$

Denoting the equivalence class of $f \in H^{\mathrm{te}}$ by $*f$, we linearly order H^{te} by

$$*f < *g \quad :\Longleftrightarrow \quad \exp_n(f) < g \text{ for all } n \quad (f, g \in H^{\mathrm{te}}).$$

We now establish analytic and smooth versions of Theorem 7.1 from [AvdDvdH24a].

Corollary 5.10. *The number of maximal analytic Hardy fields is $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, where $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{\aleph_0}$. Likewise with 'smooth' in place of 'analytic'.*

Proof. We treat the number of maximal analytic Hardy fields; the smooth case is similar, using the smooth version of Theorem A. In the argument following the statement of [AvdDvdH24a, Proposition 7.4], we replace $\mathcal{H}$ by the set of all analytic Hardy fields $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $|*H^{\mathrm{te}}| < \mathfrak{c}$. Thus modified, this argument shows that it is enough to prove that in [AvdDvdH24a, Proposition 7.4] we can choose f_0, f_1 to be analytic whenever the Hardy field H is analytic. For this we first note that if H in [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 7.7] is analytic, then we can take y there to be analytic, by

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

appealing to Theorem A instead of [AvdDvdH24a, Section 5 and Corollary 6.7]. Now argue as in the remarks following [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 7.10] using this analytic version of [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 7.7]. □

Corollary 7.8 of [AvdDvdH24a] has an analytic version as follows with a similar proof.

Corollary 5.11. *If H is a maximal analytic Hardy field, then the ordered set $*H^{\mathrm{te}}$ is η_1, and $|*H^{\mathrm{te}}| = \mathfrak{c}$.*

We now improve Corollary 3.7: assuming that the continuum hypothesis holds, there are as many cofinal maximal analytic Hardy fields as there are maximal analytic Hardy fields, by Corollary 5.14.

Lemma 5.12. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ be overhardian. Then there is a set $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ of analytic Hardy field extensions of $\mathbb{R}\langle\phi\rangle$ with $|\mathcal{H}_\phi| = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ such that for each $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi$, $*\phi$ is the largest element of $*H^{\mathrm{te}}$, and each Hardy field contains at most one $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi$.*

Proof. By [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 7.7] we have $*\mathbb{R}\langle\phi\rangle^{\mathrm{te}} = \{*\phi\}$. Let $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}\langle\phi\rangle$ be an analytic Hardy field with $*\phi = \max *H^{\mathrm{te}}$, and let $P < Q$ be a countable gap in $*H^{\mathrm{te}}$ with $Q < *\phi$. Then [AvdDvdH24a, Proposition 7.4] and the argument in the proof of Corollary 5.10 yields analytic Hardy fields $H_0 = H\langle f_0\rangle$ and $H_1 = H\langle f_1\rangle$ without a common Hardy field extension such that for $j = 0, 1$, we have $f_j \in H_j^{\mathrm{te}}$, $P < *f_j < Q \cup \{*\phi\}$, and $*H_j^{\mathrm{te}} = *H^{\mathrm{te}} \cup \{*f_j\}$ (thus, $*\phi = \max *H_j^{\mathrm{te}}$).

We now follow the argument after the statement of [AvdDvdH24a, Proposition 7.4], with $\mathcal{H}$ now the set of all analytic Hardy fields $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}\langle\phi\rangle$ such that $|*H^{\mathrm{te}}| < \mathfrak{c}$ and $*\phi = \max *H^{\mathrm{te}}$. For an ordinal λ we let 2^λ be the set of functions $\lambda \to \{0, 1\}$. With s ranging over $\bigcup_{\lambda<\mathfrak{c}} 2^\lambda$, we construct a tree (H_s) in $\mathcal{H}$ with $|*H^{\mathrm{te}}| \leqslant |\lambda + 1|$ for $s \in 2^\lambda$, as follows. For $\lambda = 0$ the function s has empty domain and we take $H_s = \mathbb{R}\langle\phi\rangle$. If $s \in 2^\lambda$ $(\lambda < \mathfrak{c})$ and $H_s \in \mathcal{H}$ are given with $|*H_s^{\mathrm{te}}| \leqslant |\lambda + 1|$, then [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 7.2] provides a countable gap P, Q in $*H_s^{\mathrm{te}} \setminus \{*\phi\}$, and we let $H_{s0}, H_{s1} \in \mathcal{H}$ be obtained from H_s as H_0, H_1 are obtained from H in the remark above. Suppose $\lambda < \mathfrak{c}$ is an infinite limit ordinal, $s \in 2^\lambda$, and that for every $\alpha < \lambda$ we are given $H_{s|\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}$ with $H_{s|\alpha} \subseteq H_{s|\beta}$ whenever $\alpha \leqslant \beta < \lambda$. Then we set $H_s := \bigcup_{\alpha<\lambda} H_{s|\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}$. Assuming also inductively that $|*H_{s|\alpha}^{\mathrm{te}}| \leqslant |\alpha + 1|$ for all $\alpha < \lambda$, we have $|*H_s^{\mathrm{te}}| \leqslant |\lambda| \cdot |\lambda + 1| = |\lambda + 1|$, as desired. This finishes the construction of our tree. Then for each $s \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ we have an analytic Hardy field $H_s := \bigcup_{\lambda<\mathfrak{c}} H_{s|\lambda}$ such that if $s, s' \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ are different, then H_s, $H_{s'}$ have no common Hardy field extension. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_\phi := \{H_s : s \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$ has the required properties. □

Lemma 5.13. *Assume that the continuum hypothesis holds. Let H be a bounded analytic Hardy field. Then H extends to a cofinal analytic Hardy field.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we can replace H by $\mathrm{Li}(H(\mathbb{R}))$ to arrange that $H \supseteq \mathbb{R}$ and H is Liouville closed. Next, take an enumeration $(\phi_\alpha)_{\alpha<\mathfrak{c}}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ with $\phi_0 >_{\mathrm{e}} H$. Corollary 3.5 yields an H-hardian $h_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ with $h_0 >_{\mathrm{e}} \phi_0$, and then the analytic Hardy field $H_0 := H\langle h_0\rangle$ is bounded by Lemma 3.1. Now a transfinite recursion as in the proof of Corollary 3.7, beginning with (H_0, h_0), yields a cofinal analytic Hardy field extension of H_0 and thus of H. □

Corollary 3.5 gives an overhardian $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$. For such ϕ and $\mathcal{H}_\phi$ as in Lemma 5.12, all $H \in \mathcal{H}_\phi$ are bounded. With Lemma 5.13 we can now improve Corollary 3.7 as follows.

Corollary 5.14. *Assuming that the continuum hypothesis holds, there are $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ cofinal maximal analytic Hardy fields.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

5.5 Maximal analytic Hardy fields approximate maximal Hardy fields

A maximal analytic Hardy field is an $\infty\omega$-elementary substructure of any maximal Hardy field extension, by Corollary 8.5. Maximal analytic Hardy fields are also very close to maximal Hardy fields in the following way.

Theorem 5.15. *Let H be a maximal analytic Hardy field or a maximal smooth Hardy field. Then H is dense in any Hardy field extension of H.*

Proof. We establish two claims.

Claim 1. If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ is H-hardian and $H\langle f\rangle$ is an immediate extension of H, then H is dense in $H\langle f\rangle$.

To prove this, assume $f \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ is H-hardian, (f_ρ) is a divergent pc-sequence in H, and $f_\rho \rightsquigarrow f$. By [AvdDvdH17, 16.0.3 and Section 11.4], (f_ρ) is of d-transcendental type over H. If the sequence $(v(f-f_\rho))$ is cofinal in $\Gamma := v(H^\times)$, then (f_ρ) is a cauchy sequence, and so H is indeed dense in $H\langle f\rangle$, by [AvdDvdH24c, Corollary 4.1.6]. Suppose $(v(f-f_\rho))$ is not cofinal in Γ. Then we have $h \in H^\times$ such that $0 \notin v(hf-H)$, so Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 4.4 yield an H-hardian pseudolimit of (hf_ρ) in $\mathcal{C}^\omega$, contradicting the maximality of H. This proves Claim 1.

Claim 2. For any Hardy field extension K of H, we have $\Gamma_K = \Gamma$.

Towards a contradiction, suppose K is a Hardy field extension of H and $\beta \in \Gamma_K \setminus \Gamma$. We arrange that K is Liouville closed. Let (Γ, ψ) and (Γ_K, ψ_K) be the H-couples of H and K over $\mathbb{R}$, respectively, and let $(\Gamma\langle\beta\rangle, \psi_\beta)$ be the H-couple over $\mathbb{R}$ generated by β over (Γ, ψ) in (Γ_K, ψ_K). There are several cases to consider, and we show that each is impossible. For *closed H-couples* and *H-couples of Hahn type* mentioned in the following, see [AvdDvdH22, p. 536].

First the case that $(\Gamma\langle\beta\rangle, \psi_\beta)$ is an immediate extension of (Γ, ψ). Then we have a divergent pc-sequence (γ_ρ) in (Γ, ψ) with $\gamma_\rho \rightsquigarrow \beta$. As in the beginning of [AvdDvdH24a, Section 8], we take $g_\rho \in H$ with $vg_\rho = \gamma_\rho$ so that $(g_\rho^\dagger)$ is a pc-sequence in H, and arguing as in [AvdDvdH24a, Section 8] (using $H^\dagger = H$) we see that $(g_\rho^\dagger)$ has no pseudolimit in H (because then (γ_ρ) would have one in Γ). Now take $g \in K$ with $vg = \beta$. Then $v(g^\dagger - g_\rho^\dagger) = (\beta - \gamma_\rho)^\dagger$, and the latter is eventually strictly increasing as a function of ρ, and so $g_\rho^\dagger \rightsquigarrow g^\dagger$. Moreover, $(v(g^\dagger - g_\rho^\dagger))$ is not cofinal in Γ. As at the end of the proof of Claim 1, with $g^\dagger$ and $(g_\rho^\dagger)$ in the role of f and (f_ρ), this contradicts the maximality assumption on H.

Since the H-field H is Liouville closed with constant field $\mathbb{R}$, its H-couple (Γ, ψ) over $\mathbb{R}$ is closed. Hence, by [AvdDvdH22, Proposition 4.1] and the remark following its proof, the vector β falls under case (a), case (b), or case $(c)_n$ for a certain n. In case (a) we have $(\Gamma + \mathbb{R}\beta)^\dagger = \Gamma^\dagger$ and so $\Gamma\langle\beta\rangle = \Gamma + \mathbb{R}\beta$; but (Γ_K, ψ_K) is of Hahn type, an immediate extension of (Γ, ψ), and we have just excluded that possibility. Case $(c)_n$ gives an element $\beta_n \in \Gamma\langle\beta\rangle$ with $\beta_n^\dagger \notin \Gamma$ and $\beta_n^\dagger$ falling under case (a), and so this is also impossible.

Finally, suppose β falls under case (b). Take $y \in K^>$ with $vy = \beta$. Then Corollary 5.2 gives an H-hardian $z \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ with vz realizing the same cut in Γ as β, contradicting the maximality assumption on H. This finishes the proof of Claim 2.

To finish the proof of the theorem, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{<\infty}$ be H-hardian; it suffices to show that H is then dense in $H\langle f\rangle$. Now, by Claim 2, $H\langle f\rangle$ is an immediate extension of H, and hence H is indeed dense in $H\langle f\rangle$ by Claim 1. □

Question. Is every maximal Hardy field dense in every Hausdorff field extension?

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

5.6 Dense pairs of closed H-fields

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{0, 1, -, +, \cdot, \partial, \leqslant, \preccurlyeq\}$ be the language of ordered valued differential rings; cf. [AvdDvdH17, p. 678]. We view each ordered valued differential field as an $\mathcal{L}$-structure in the natural way. We let $\mathcal{L}^2$ extend $\mathcal{L}$ by a new unary predicate symbol U. The $\mathcal{L}^2$-structures are presented as pairs (K, F), where K is an $\mathcal{L}$-structure and U names the subset F of K. Let T be the $\mathcal{L}$-theory of closed H-fields with small derivation. Recall from [AvdDvdH17] that T is complete and model-complete. We announce the following result here.

Theorem 5.16. *The following requirements on $\mathcal{L}^2$-structures (K, F) axiomatize a complete $\mathcal{L}^2$-theory T^{d}:*

(1) *$K \models T$, that is, K is a closed H-field with small derivation;*
(2) *F is the underlying set of a closed H-subfield of K; and*
(3) *$F \neq K$ and F is dense in the ordered field K.*

Moreover, each $\mathcal{L}^2$-formula $\varphi(x)$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, is T^{d}-equivalent to a boolean combination of formulas of the form

$$\exists y_1 \cdots \exists y_n (U(y_1) \ \& \ \cdots \ \& \ U(y_n) \ \& \ \psi(x, y)), \tag{5.1}$$

where $\psi(x, y)$ with $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-formula.

This follows from Fornasiero [For11, Theorems 8.3 and 8.5], with details of how it follows to appear in future work (by Aschenbrenner et al., *More on dimension in transseries*, in preparation). Note that by this theorem the $\mathcal{L}^2$-theory T^{d} is decidable. Moreover, no pair $(K, F) \models T^{\mathrm{d}}$ induces 'new structure' on F.

Corollary 5.17. *Let $(K, F) \models T^{\mathrm{d}}$, and let $S \subseteq K^m$ be A-definable in (K, F), where $A \subseteq F$. Then $S \cap F^m$ is A-definable in the $\mathcal{L}$-substructure F of K.*

Proof. By the theorem this reduces to the case where S is defined in (K, F) by a formula as in (5.1), where, however, $\psi(x, y)$ is now an $\mathcal{L}_A$-formula. Then $S \cap F^m$ is defined in F by the $\mathcal{L}_A$-formula $\exists y \psi(x, y)$. □

Note that if M is a maximal analytic or maximal smooth Hardy field and N a maximal Hardy field with $M \subseteq N$, $M \neq N$, then $(N, M) \models T^{\mathrm{d}}$ by Theorem 5.15. However, strictly speaking, we do not know whether there exist such M, N.

To secure a model of the complete theory T^{d}, we proceed as follows. Let F be an H-field. Then the completion F^{c} of the ordered valued differential field F is an H-field extension of F, and F is dense in F^{c}; see [AvdDvdH17, 10.5.9]. If F is closed and of countable cofinality, then F^{c} is closed, by [AvdDvdH17, 14.1.6], so if, in addition, F has small derivation and $F \neq F^{\mathrm{c}}$, then $(F^{\mathrm{c}}, F) \models T^{\mathrm{d}}$. Now $\mathbb{T}$ is not complete: set $\mathrm{e}_0 = x$ and $\mathrm{e}_{i+1} = \exp \mathrm{e}_i$ for all i; then $(\sum_{i=0}^{n} 1/\mathrm{e}_i)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{T}$ but has no limit in $\mathbb{T}$. Therefore, $(\mathbb{T}^{\mathrm{c}}, \mathbb{T}) \models T^{\mathrm{d}}$.

6. Analytic Hardy fields of countable cofinality

Generalizing the terminology introduced in [AvdDvdH24a, Section 8], call a valued abelian group *countably spherically complete* if every pc-sequence in it of length ω pseudoconverges in it. Any η_1-ordered abelian group with a convex valuation is countably spherically complete, by [AvdDvdH17, 2.4.2]. Thus, maximal analytic and maximal smooth Hardy fields are countably spherically complete. In the first subsection we use this fact to realize the completion

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

of an analytic Hardy field of countable cofinality as an analytic Hardy field: Corollary 6.10. Another main result of this section is a realization of the H-field $\mathbb{T}_{\log}$ of *logarithmic transseries* from [AvdDvdH17, Appendix A] as an analytic Hardy field. This is obtained in Corollary 6.25, preceded by some observations on short ordered sets.

6.1 Completing analytic Hardy fields of countable cofinality

Lemma 6.1 concerns H-asymptotic fields, and we recall from [AvdDvdH17, Chapter 9] the definition: an *asymptotic field* is a valued differential field K such that for all $f, g \in K^\times$ with $f, g \prec 1$ we have: $f \prec g \Leftrightarrow f' \prec g'$; an *$H$-asymptotic field* is an asymptotic field K such that for all $f, g \in K^\times$ with $f, g \prec 1$ we have $f \prec g \Rightarrow f^\dagger \succcurlyeq g^\dagger$. Every pre-$H$-field is an H-asymptotic field, by [AvdDvdH17, 9.1 and 10.5]. We shall also mention certain properties an H-asymptotic field may have: being, respectively, *λ-free*, *ω-free*, *newtonian*, and *asymptotically* d*-algebraically maximal*. For these, see Sections 11.6 and 11.7 and Chapter 14 of [AvdDvdH17], or the summary in the introduction of [AvdDvdH24c]. For H-fields, being Liouville closed, ω-free, and newtonian is equivalent to being closed.

Now let K be an asymptotic field. Equip the completion K^{c} of the valued field K with the unique extension of the derivation of K to a continuous derivation on K^{c}; cf. [AvdDvdH17, 4.4.11 and 9.1.5]. Then K^{c} is asymptotic by [AvdDvdH17, 9.1.6], and if K is a pre-H-field (H-field, respectively), then so is K^{c} by [AvdDvdH17, 10.5.9]. Let L be an asymptotic field extension of K such that Γ is cofinal in Γ_L. By [AvdDvdH17, 3.2.20], the natural inclusion $K \to L$ extends uniquely to an embedding $K^{\mathrm{c}} \to L^{\mathrm{c}}$ of valued fields, and it is easily checked that this is an embedding of valued *differential* fields. If K is dense in L, then there is a unique valued field embedding $L \to K^{\mathrm{c}}$ over K, by [AvdDvdH17, 3.2.13], and this is also an embedding of valued differential fields.

Whenever in §§ 5–7 we are given valued differential fields K and L (e.g. asymptotic fields), an *embedding* $K \to L$ means: an embedding of valued differential fields. If, in addition, K and L are given as pre-H-fields (e.g. Hardy fields), such an embedding should also preserve the ordering, that is, be an embedding of ordered valued differential fields.

Lemma 6.1. *Let K be an ω-free H-asymptotic field whose value group Γ_K has countable cofinality. Let M be a newtonian H-asymptotic field with asymptotic integration, and suppose M is countably spherically complete. Then any embedding $K \to M$ extends to an embedding $K^{\mathrm{c}} \to M$.*

Proof. Let $\iota\colon K \to M$ be an embedding; we need to extend ι to an embedding $K^{\mathrm{c}} \to M$. The d-valued hull $L := \mathrm{dv}(K)$ of K is ω-free by [AvdDvdH17, remark after 13.6.1], and $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_K$ by [AvdDvdH17, 10.3.2(i)]. By [AvdDvdH17, 14.2.5], M is d-valued; let ι_L be the extension of ι to an embedding $L \to M$. Using a remark before the lemma, we see that it is enough to show that ι_L extends to an embedding $L^{\mathrm{c}} \to M$. Hence, replacing K, ι by L, ι_L, we arrange that K is d-valued. Take an immediate asymptotically d-algebraically maximal d-algebraic extension L of K; by a remark following the statement of [AvdDvdH17, Theorem 14.0.1], such L exists and is ω-free and newtonian. Then, by [PC19, Theorem 3.5], L is a newtonization of K (as defined in [AvdDvdH17, p. 643]), so embeds into M over K. Passing to this newtonization we arrange that K is newtonian. Then K^{c} is ω-free by [AvdDvdH17, 11.7.20] and newtonian by [AvdDvdH17, 14.1.5].

Suppose $f \in K^{\mathrm{c}} \setminus K$. It suffices to show that ι then extends to an embedding $\iota_f\colon K\langle f\rangle \to M$. Here is why: $K\langle f\rangle$ is ω-free by the remark before [AvdDvdH17, 11.7.20], so $K\langle f\rangle$ has a newtonization E in K^{c} by [PC19, Theorem B]; by the same remark, E is ω-free; moreover, ι_f extends

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

to an embedding $E \to M$. Hence, we can transfinitely iterate this extension process to obtain an embedding $K^{\mathrm{c}} \to M$ extending ι.

To construct ι_f, take a c-sequence (f_ρ) in K with $f_\rho \to f$ (in K^{c}). By [AvdDvdH17, 2.2.25], the index set of (f_ρ) has cofinality ω, so by passing to a cofinal subsequence we arrange that (f_ρ) is a divergent pc-sequence in K of length ω and width $\{\infty\}$ such that $f_\rho \rightsquigarrow f$. Take $g \in M$ such that $\iota(f_\rho) \rightsquigarrow g$. Now, K is asymptotically d-algebraically maximal by [PC19, Theorem A], so (f_ρ) is of d-transcendental type over K by [AvdDvdH17, 11.4.8 and 11.4.13], hence [AvdDvdH17, 11.4.7] yields an embedding $K\langle f\rangle \to M$ extending ι and sending f to g. □

Lemma 6.1 yields the following pre-H-field version of it without the ω-free hypothesis on K.

Proposition 6.2. *Let K be a pre-H-field with $\mathrm{cf}(\Gamma_K) = \omega$ and M a countably spherically complete closed H-field. Then every embedding $K \to M$ extends to an embedding $K^{\mathrm{c}} \to M$.*

Before we begin the proof, from [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.21] we recall that a *pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field* $\boldsymbol{K} = (K, I, \Lambda, \Omega)$ is a pre-H-field K equipped with a *$\Lambda\Omega$-cut* (I, Λ, Ω) of K as defined in [AvdDvdH17, p. 691]. A *$\Lambda\Omega$-field* is a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{K} = (K; \dots)$, where K is an H-field. If $\boldsymbol{M} = (M; \dots)$ is a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field and K is a pre-H-subfield of M, then K has a unique expansion to a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{K}$ such that $\boldsymbol{K} \subseteq \boldsymbol{M}$. Given a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{K} = (K, \dots)$, we denote the value group and residue field of K by $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{K}}$, $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}$, and $\boldsymbol{K}$ is said to have some given property of pre-H-fields if its underlying pre-H-field K does. Given pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-fields $\boldsymbol{K}$ and $\boldsymbol{L}$, an *embedding* $\boldsymbol{K} \to \boldsymbol{L}$ is an embedding in the usual model-theoretic sense.

To show Proposition 6.2, let K, M be as in the proposition. We arrange that M extends K and then have to find an embedding $K^{\mathrm{c}} \to M$ over K. Take any expansion $\boldsymbol{M}$ of M to a $\Lambda\Omega$-field and expand K to a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{K}$ such that $\boldsymbol{K} \subseteq \boldsymbol{M}$. Then the following proposition applied to $\boldsymbol{M}$ in place of $\boldsymbol{L}$ yields an ω-free H-field extension K^* of K such that K is cofinal in K^* and an embedding $\iota^*\colon K^* \to M$ over K. Lemma 6.1 gives an extension of ι^* to an embedding $(K^*)^{\mathrm{c}} \to M$, and by a remark before that lemma, this yields an embedding $K^{\mathrm{c}} \to M$ as required.

It remains to establish the following 'cofinality' refinement of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.1]. Here we recall that a Liouville closed H-field K is said to be *Schwarz closed* if, for all $a \in K$, the linear differential operator $\partial^2 - a$ splits over the algebraic closure $K[i]$ of K, and for all $a, b \in K$, if $a \leqslant b$, and $\partial^2 - a$ splits over K, then so does $\partial^2 - b$; cf. [AvdDvdH17, 5.2 and 11.8]. Every closed H-field is Schwarz closed [AvdDvdH17, 14.2.20].

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\boldsymbol{K}$ be a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field with $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{K}} \neq \{0\}$. Then there exists an ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-field extension $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ of $\boldsymbol{K}$ such that:*

(i) $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}^*$ *is algebraic over* $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}$;

(ii) $\boldsymbol{K}$ *is cofinal in* $\boldsymbol{K}^*$; *and*

(iii) *any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a Schwarz closed $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

We revisit the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.1], which consists of several lemmas and a corollary. Recall that a differential field F is said to be *closed under logarithms* if, for all $f \in F$, there is a $y \in F^\times$ such that $y^\dagger = f'$, and F is *closed under integration* if, for all $g \in F$, there is a $z \in F$ such that $z' = g$. Let $\boldsymbol{K} = (K, I, \Lambda, \Omega)$ be a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field with $\Gamma := \Gamma_K \neq \{0\}$.

Lemma 6.4. *Suppose K is grounded, or there exists $b \asymp 1$ in K such that $v(b')$ is a gap in K. Then $\boldsymbol{K}$ has an ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-field extension $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ such that $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K} = \operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}^*$, $\boldsymbol{K}$ is cofinal in $\boldsymbol{K}^*$, and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ closed under logarithms extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. By Lemma 3.15, K is cofinal in the H-field hull $F := H(K)$ of K, and hence by Lemma 3.16, K is also cofinal in the H-field extension F_ω of F constructed in [AvdDvdH17, 11.7]. Thus the lemma follows from the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.2]. □

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose K has gap β and $v(b') \neq \beta$ for all $b \asymp 1$ in K. Then there exists a grounded pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field extension $\boldsymbol{K}_1$ of $\boldsymbol{K}$ such that $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K} = \operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}^*$, $\boldsymbol{K}$ is cofinal in $\boldsymbol{K}_1$, and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ closed under integration extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}_1 \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

Proof. Take $s \in K$ such that $vs = \beta$. Recall from [AvdDvdH17, 14.2] that $\mathrm{I}(K)$ denotes the $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of K generated by $\partial\mathcal{O}$. Following the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.3], suppose $s \notin \mathrm{I}(K)$, and take K_1 as in case 1 of that proof, so $K_1 = H(K)(y)$ where $y' = s$. Now use that $\Gamma_{H(K)} = \Gamma$, and that $\Gamma_{H(K)}$ is cofinal in Γ_{H_1} by Lemma 3.16. If $s \in \mathrm{I}(K)$ and K_1 is as in case 2, then $K_1 = K(y)$ where $y' = s$, so again K is cofinal in K_1 by Lemma 3.16. □

These two lemmas yield the following 'cofinality' refinement of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.4].

Corollary 6.6. *Suppose K does not have asymptotic integration. Then $\boldsymbol{K}$ has an ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-field extension $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ such that $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}^* = \operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}$, $\boldsymbol{K}$ is cofinal in $\boldsymbol{K}^*$, and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ closed under integration extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

The next three lemmas are 'cofinality' refinements of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.5, 16.4.6, and 16.4.7] and take care of the case where K has asymptotic integration.

Lemma 6.7. *Assume K has asymptotic integration and is not λ-free. Then $\boldsymbol{K}$ extends to an ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ such that $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}^* = (\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K})^{\mathrm{rc}}$, $\boldsymbol{K}$ is cofinal in $\boldsymbol{K}^*$, and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a Liouville closed $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.5], it is enough, by Corollary 6.6, to show that $\boldsymbol{K}$ has a $\Lambda\Omega$-field extension $\boldsymbol{K}_1 = (K_1, \dots)$ with a gap such that $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}_1 = (\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K})^{\mathrm{rc}}$, K is cofinal in K_1, and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a Liouville closed $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}_1 \to \boldsymbol{L}$. Take $\boldsymbol{K}_1$ as in the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.5]. Put $E := H(K)^{\mathrm{rc}}$. Then $\Gamma_E = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$, so K is cofinal in E. If E has a gap, then $K_1 = E$, and we are done. Suppose E has no gap. Then $K_1 = E(f)$ where $f \in K_1^\times$ and $\lambda := -f^\dagger \in K$, and $s := -\lambda$ creates a gap over E (as defined in [AvdDvdH17, p. 503]). By the proof of case 2 in [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.5], the hypothesis of Lemma 3.19 holds for E in place of K, so E is cofinal in K_1, and hence so is K. □

Lemma 6.8. *Suppose K is λ-free but not ω-free. Then $\boldsymbol{K}$ has an ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-field extension $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ such that $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}^*$ is algebraic over $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}$, $\boldsymbol{K}$ is cofinal in $\boldsymbol{K}^*$, and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a Schwarz-closed $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ into $\boldsymbol{L}$.*

Proof. For the definition of the pc-sequence (ω_ρ) in K and the d-rational functions ω, σ and their role in ω-freeness as used in this proof, see [AvdDvdH17, 11.7 and 11.8]. Take $\omega \in K$ with $\omega_\rho \rightsquigarrow \omega$. Let $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ be as in the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.6]. That proof shows that $\Omega = \omega(K)^\downarrow$ or $\Omega = K \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(K))^\uparrow$. Suppose first that $\Omega = \omega(K)^\downarrow$. With $\boldsymbol{K}_\gamma = (K_\gamma, \dots)$ as in case 1 of that proof, we have $K_\gamma = K\langle\gamma\rangle$ where $\gamma \neq 0$, $\sigma(\gamma) = \omega$, and $v\gamma$ is a gap in K_γ. The remarks before [AvdDvdH17, 13.7.7] give $[\Gamma] = [\Gamma_{K_\gamma}]$, so K is cofinal in K_γ. Now follow the argument in case 1 of [AvdDvdH17], using Corollary 6.6 instead of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.4]. If $\Omega = K \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(K))^\uparrow$, then we argue as in case 2 of [AvdDvdH17], using Lemma 6.7 instead of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.5]. □

Lemma 6.9. *Suppose K is ω-free. Then $\boldsymbol{K}$ has an ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-field extension $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ such that $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}^* = \operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}$, $\boldsymbol{K}$ is cofinal in $\boldsymbol{K}^*$, and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ into $\boldsymbol{L}$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Take $\boldsymbol{K}^* = (K^*, \dots)$ as in the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.7]. Then $K^* = H(K)$, and by Lemma 3.15, K is cofinal in $H(K)$. □

This concludes the proof of Propositions 6.3 and 6.2. Combining the latter with [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 3.2] and Corollary 4.6 yields the following.

Corollary 6.10. *Let H be a Hardy field of countable cofinality and $M \supseteq H$ a maximal Hardy field. Then there is an embedding $H^c \to M$ over H. Likewise, if $M \supseteq H$ is a maximal analytic Hardy field or a maximal smooth Hardy field.*

As a consequence of Corollary 6.10, with $t := x^{-1}$, each maximal analytic Hardy field contains a Hardy field extending $\mathbb{R}(t)$ and isomorphic over $\mathbb{R}(t)$ to the ordered field $\mathbb{R}((t))$ of Laurent series over $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with the continuous $\mathbb{R}$-linear derivation given by $t' = -t^2$. (This may be viewed as a Hardy field version of Besicovitch's strengthening [Bes24] of Borel's theorem on $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-functions with prescribed Taylor series [Bor95].) In Corollary 8.10 we show that even the ordered differential field $\mathbb{T}$ of transseries, which vastly extends $\mathbb{R}((t))$, embeds into any given maximal analytic Hardy field. As a first step, we accomplish this in the following for the H-subfield $\mathbb{T}_{\log}$ of $\mathbb{T}$ of logarithmic transseries (cf. [AvdDvdH17, p. 722]). For this it is useful to have available some facts about short ordered sets, also needed in § 7.

6.2 Short ordered sets

Let S be an ordered set. (As in [AvdDvdH24a], this means 'linearly ordered set'.) Let S^* denote S equipped with the reverse ordering. Then the following are equivalent:

(S1) all well-ordered subsets of S and of S^* are countable;

(S2) there are no embeddings of ω_1 into S or S^*;

(S3) $\operatorname{cf}(A), \operatorname{ci}(A) \leqslant \omega$ for all ordered subsets A of S.

Call S *short* if any of the equivalent conditions (S1)–(S3) holds; cf. [DW96, 1.7(i)] and [Ros82, pp. 88, 170–171]. If S is short, then so are S^* and every ordered subset of S. If S is countable, then it is short; more generally, if S is a union of countably many short ordered subsets, then S is short. If $S \to S'$ is a surjective increasing map between ordered sets and S is short, then so is S'; similarly with 'decreasing' instead of 'increasing'. Shortness enters our story via the following observation.

Lemma 6.11. *Let (G, S, v) be a valued abelian group where S is short, and let (a_ρ) be a pc-sequence in (G, S, v). Then some final segment of (a_ρ) has countable length.*

Proof. Put $s_\rho := v(a_{\rho+1} - a_\rho)$, where $\rho + 1$ is the successor of ρ. After deleting an initial segment of (a_ρ), we arrange that the sequence (s_ρ) in S is strictly increasing. Then the image of the index set of (a_ρ) under the embedding $\rho \mapsto s_\rho$ of ordered sets is a well-ordered subset of S and hence countable. □

Lemma 6.12. *If the order topology of S is second countable, then S is short.*

Proof. Suppose $i\colon \omega_1 \to S$ is strictly increasing. With λ ranging over the limit ordinals $< \omega_1$, we then have uncountably many nonempty pairwise disjoint open intervals $(i(\lambda), i(\lambda + 2))$ in S, so S is not second countable. An embedding $\omega_1 \to S^*$ yields the same conclusion. □

In particular, the real line (the ordered set of real numbers) is short. (In fact, by [HS82, Theorem 2], each Borel ordered set is short.) The following observation is due to Hausdorff [Hau07, p. 133] and Urysohn [Ury24].

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Lemma 6.13. *Suppose S is short and T is an η_1-ordered set. Then any embedding of an ordered subset of S into T extends to an embedding $S \to T$. In particular, there exists an embedding $S \to T$.*

Proof. Let A be an ordered subset of S and $i\colon A \to T$ an embedding. Suppose $s \in S \setminus A$. Then $\operatorname{cf}(A^{<s}), \operatorname{ci}(A^{>s}) \leqslant \omega$, so we have $t \in T$ with $i(A^{<s}) < t < i(A^{>s})$. Thus, i extends to an embedding $A \cup \{s\} \to T$ sending s to t. Zorn does the rest. □

Corollary 6.14. *Every η_1-ordered set has cardinality $\geqslant \mathfrak{c}$. There is an η_1-ordered set of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. For the first claim, apply Lemma 6.13 to $S =$ the real line. The second claim follows from the first together with [AvdDvdH17, B.9.6]. □

Combining Lemma 6.13 and Corollary 6.14, we obtain the following.

Corollary 6.15 (Urysohn [Ury23, Ury24]). *Every short ordered set has cardinality $\leqslant \mathfrak{c}$.*

For (ordered) Hahn products, see [AvdDvdH17, 2.2 and 2.4]. Shortness of $\mathbb{R}$ is at the root of the following result due to Esterle [Est77, Lemme 2.2 and the remark after it].

Lemma 6.16. *If S is short, then so is the Hahn product $H[S, \mathbb{R}]$.*

From Lemma 6.16 and the Hahn embedding theorem [AvdDvdH17, 2.4.19], we obtain a characterization of short ordered abelian groups as follows.

Lemma 6.17. *For an ordered abelian group Γ, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *Γ is short;*
(ii) *the ordered set $[\Gamma]$ is short;*
(iii) *Γ embeds into $H[S, \mathbb{R}]$ for some short S.*

Corollary 6.18. *Let $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ be an extension of ordered abelian groups. Then*

$$\Gamma \text{ is short} \iff \Delta \text{ and } [\Gamma] \setminus [\Delta] \text{ are short.}$$

In particular, if $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma/\Delta) \leqslant \aleph_0$, then Γ is short if and only if Δ is short, and if Δ is convex, then Γ is short if and only if Δ and Γ/Δ are short.

Proof. The direction $\Rightarrow$ is clear from Lemma 6.17. For the converse, note that if Δ and $[\Gamma] \setminus [\Delta]$ are short, then so is $[\Gamma]$, and hence Γ as well by Lemma 6.17. Next, use that if $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma/\Delta) \leqslant \aleph_0$, then $[\Gamma] \setminus [\Delta]$ is countable by [AvdDvdH17, 2.3.9]. For convex Δ, see [AvdDvdH17, p. 102]. □

Lemma 6.19. *Let K be an ordered field equipped with a convex valuation whose residue field is archimedean. Then K is short if and only if its value group Γ is short.*

Proof. Suppose Γ is short. Then $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ is also short, by the previous corollary, and the real closure of $\operatorname{res} K$ remains archimedean; hence, to show that K is short we may replace K by its real closure to arrange that K is real closed. Using [AvdDvdH17, 3.3.32, 3.3.42, 3.5.1, and 3.5.12], we obtain an ordered field embedding of K into the ordered Hahn field $\mathbb{R}((t^{\Gamma}))$. The underlying ordered additive group of $\mathbb{R}((t^{\Gamma}))$ is isomorphic with the ordered Hahn product $H[t^{\Gamma}, \mathbb{R}]$; see [AvdDvdH17, p. 114]. Hence, K is short by Lemma 6.17. Conversely, if K is short, then so is its ordered subset $K^{>}$, and then so is the image Γ of $K^{>}$ under the decreasing map $f \mapsto vf\colon K^{>} \to \Gamma$. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Hence, if an ordered field is short, then so is its real closure. If K as in Lemma 6.19 is short and L is an ordered field extension of K with a convex valuation that makes it an immediate extension of K, then L is short. (Note that the ordered fraction field of a short ordered integral domain may fail to be short [Cie88, Cie91].) The following is from [DMM01, § 2.10].

Corollary 6.20. *The ordered differential field $\mathbb{T}$ is short.*

Proof. We recall some features of the construction of $\mathbb{T}$ from [AvdDvdH17, Appendix A]. We have the ordered subfield $\mathbb{T}_{\exp} = \bigcup_m E_m$ of $\mathbb{T}$, where $E_m = \mathbb{R}[[G_m]]$ for certain ordered subgroups G_m of $\mathbb{T}^>$, with $G_0 = x^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $G_{m+1} = G_m \exp(A_m)$ for some subgroup A_m of the additive group of E_m, with G_m a convex subgroup of G_{m+1}. An easy induction on m shows that each E_m is short, and thus $\mathbb{T}_{\exp}$ is short. Now $\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_n (\mathbb{T}_{\exp})\downarrow^n$, where $f \mapsto f\downarrow^n$ is the nth compositional iterate of the automorphism $f \mapsto f\downarrow = f \circ \log x$ of the ordered field $\mathbb{T}$, hence $\mathbb{T}$ is also short. □

Question. Are d-algebraic Hardy field extensions of short Hardy fields also short?

Next we give two algebraic variants of Lemma 6.13, attributed to Esterle in [DW96, 2.37].

Lemma 6.21. *Let Δ be a short ordered abelian group and Γ a divisible η_1-ordered abelian group. Then any embedding of an ordered subgroup of Δ into Γ extends to an embedding $\Delta \to \Gamma$.*

Proof. Let Δ_0 be an ordered subgroup of Δ and $i\colon \Delta_0 \to \Gamma$ an embedding. The divisible hull $\mathbb{Q}\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ of Δ is short, by Corollary 6.18. Replace Δ_0, Δ by $\mathbb{Q}\Delta_0$, $\mathbb{Q}\Delta$ (and i accordingly) to arrange Δ_0, Δ to be divisible. Given $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_0$, Lemma 6.13 yields $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $i(\Delta_0^{<\delta}) < \gamma < i(\Delta_0^{>\delta})$, and then i extends to an embedding of the ordered subgroup $\Delta_0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}\delta$ of Δ into Γ sending δ to γ. Zorn does the rest. □

In the same way, taking real closures instead of divisible hulls in the proof, we have the following.

Lemma 6.22. *Any embedding of an ordered subfield of a short ordered field K into a real closed η_1-ordered field L extends to an embedding $K \to L$.*

Combining Corollary 6.20 and the previous lemma yields the following.

Corollary 6.23. *The ordered field $\mathbb{T}$ embeds into each real closed η_1-ordered field.*

Lemma 8.8 is an analogue of Lemma 6.22 for H-fields with small derivation.

6.3 Realizing $\mathbb{T}_{\log}$ as an analytic Hardy field

This uses the following variant of Lemma 6.1 for embedding ω-free immediate extensions.

Lemma 6.24. *Let K be an H-asymptotic field with short value group, L an ω-free immediate extension of K, and M a newtonian H-asymptotic field with asymptotic integration. Suppose M is countably spherically complete. Then any embedding $K \to M$ extends to an embedding $L \to M$.*

Proof. Let $\iota\colon K \to M$ be an embedding; we shall extend ι to an embedding $L \to M$. Now L is pre-d-valued by [AvdDvdH17, 10.1.3], and as $\mathrm{dv}(L)$ is ω-free by [AvdDvdH17, remark after 13.6.1] and $\Gamma_{\mathrm{dv}(L)} = \Gamma$ by [AvdDvdH17, 10.3.2(i)], we can replace L by $\mathrm{dv}(L)$ to arrange that L is d-valued. Then L has an immediate d-algebraic newtonian ω-free extension by [AvdDvdH17, the remark after 14.0.1], which is then a newtonization of L by [PC19, Theorem 3.5]. Replacing L by this newtonization, we also arrange that L is newtonian. Using Zorn, we further arrange that ι does not extend to any embedding into M of any valued differential subfield of L properly

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

containing K. Note that K is ω-free by [AvdDvdH17, the remark preceding 11.7.20]. Now M is d-valued by [AvdDvdH17, 14.2.5], hence so is K by the universal property of $\mathrm{dv}(K)$. Likewise, K is newtonian, by the semiuniversal property of the newtonization of K (which exists by the same arguments as we used for L). Hence, K is asymptotically d-algebraically maximal by [PC19, Theorem A]. It remains to show that $K = L$. Suppose towards a contradiction that $f \in L \setminus K$. Take a divergent pc-sequence (f_ρ) in K with pseudolimit f. By Lemma 6.11 we arrange that (f_ρ) has length ω, and hence we can take $g \in M$ with $\iota(f_\rho) \rightsquigarrow g$. As in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we then obtain an embedding $K\langle f\rangle \to M$ extending ι and sending f to g, a contradiction. □

Set $\ell_0 := x \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\ell_{n+1} := \log \ell_n$. Recall that $\mathbb{T}_{\log} = \bigcup_n \mathbb{R}[[\mathfrak{L}_n]]$, where $\mathfrak{L}_n := \ell_0^{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \ell_n^{\mathbb{R}}$ is the subgroup of the monomial group G^{LE} of $\mathbb{T}$ generated by the real powers of the ℓ_i $(i = 0, \dots, n)$. The ordered subgroup $\mathfrak{L} := \bigcup_n \mathfrak{L}_n$ of G^{LE} is divisible and short, $\mathbb{T}_{\log}$ is real closed, ω-free, and an immediate H-field extension of its H-subfield $\mathbb{R}(\mathfrak{L})$. Identify $\mathbb{R}(\mathfrak{L})$ with an H-subfield of the analytic Hardy field $\mathrm{Li}(\mathbb{R}(x))$ in the obvious way. From [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 3.2], Corollary 4.6, and Lemma 6.24, we obtain the following.

Corollary 6.25. *The H-field $\mathbb{T}_{\log}$ embeds over $\mathbb{R}(\mathfrak{L})$ into any maximal Hardy field. Likewise with maximal analytic and with maximal smooth in place of maximal.*

By Corollary 6.10, every embedding $i\colon \mathbb{T}_{\log} \to M$ as in Corollary 6.25 extends to an embedding of the completion of $\mathbb{T}_{\log}$ into M. In the next section we show that i even extends to an embedding of *every* immediate H-field extension of $\mathbb{T}_{\log}$ into M.

6.4 Implications between 'short', 'countable cofinality', and 'bounded'

The first two notions are defined for ordered sets, and 'bounded' is defined for subsets of $\mathcal{C}$. It is clear that for ordered sets,

$$\text{short} \implies \text{countable cofinality},$$

and that for Hausdorff fields,

$$\text{countable cofinality} \implies \text{bounded}.$$

These implications cannot be reversed for analytic Hardy fields. Let H be a maximal analytic Hardy field. Corollary 5.11 gives a sequence (h_λ) in H, indexed by the ordinals $\lambda \leqslant \omega_1$, such that all h_λ are transexponential and $*h_\lambda < *h_\mu$ for all $\lambda < \mu \leqslant \omega_1$. It follows that $\mathbb{R}\langle h_\lambda : \lambda < \omega_1\rangle$ is a bounded analytic Hardy field (bounded by h_{ω_1}) with cofinality ω_1, and so $\mathbb{R}\langle h_\lambda : \lambda \leqslant \omega_1\rangle$ is an analytic Hardy field of cofinality ω that is not short. (We thank Philip Ehrlich and Elliot Kaplan for a useful email discussion on this topic.)

7. Embeddings of immediate extensions

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem, which partly generalizes Lemma 6.24 beyond the ω-free setting.

Theorem 7.1. *Let K be a short pre-H-field with archimedean residue field, and suppose K is ω-free or not λ-free. Let $\widehat{K}$ be an immediate pre-H-field extension of K and let M be a countably spherically complete closed H-field. Then every embedding $K \to M$ extends to an embedding $\widehat{K} \to M$.*

Using also [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 3.2] and Corollary 4.6, this yields the following.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Corollary 7.2. *Let K be a short Hardy field which is ω-free or not λ-free, and let M be a maximal Hardy field extending K. Then every immediate Hardy field extension of K embeds into M over K. Likewise with 'maximal analytic' as well as with 'maximal smooth' in place of 'maximal'.*

The main steps towards the proof of Theorem 7.1 are Propositions 7.3 and 7.10. This requires us to revisit the topic of pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-fields once again.

We note also that by [AvdDvdH18] and [AvdDvdH17, 10.5.8], each pre-H-field has an immediate strict pre-H-field extension that is spherically complete.

7.1 Immediate pairs of pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-fields

Here we generalize [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.1] to certain pairs of pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-fields. A *pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-pair* is a pair $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ of pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-fields with $\boldsymbol{K} \subseteq \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}$. Let $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ be a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-pair, with $\boldsymbol{K} = (K, \dots)$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}} = (\widehat{K}, \dots)$. We call $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ a *$\Lambda\Omega$-pair* if both $\boldsymbol{K}$, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}$ are $\Lambda\Omega$-fields, and we say that $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ is *immediate* if the valued field extension $K \subseteq \widehat{K}$ is immediate. We also call $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ *ω-free* if both K, $\widehat{K}$ are ω-free, and similarly for other properties of pre-H-fields. A pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ *extends* $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ if $\boldsymbol{K} \subseteq \boldsymbol{K}^*$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}} \subseteq \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*$.

Proposition 7.3. *Suppose $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ is an immediate pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-pair such that if K is ω-free (λ-free, respectively), then so is $\widehat{K}$. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ extends to an immediate ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ such that $\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}^*$ is algebraic over $\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}$ and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a Schwarz closed $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boldsymbol{K}^* & \xrightarrow[\text{immediate}]{\subseteq} & \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^* \\ \uparrow \subseteq & & \subseteq \uparrow \\ \boldsymbol{K} & \xrightarrow[\text{immediate}]{\subseteq} & \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}} \end{array}$$

Moreover, if $\boldsymbol{K}$ is short and $\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}$ is archimedean, then we can choose such a pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ where $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ is also short.

As with Proposition 6.3, we adapt the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.1]. We assume $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ is an immediate pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-pair and $\boldsymbol{K} = (K, I, \Lambda, \Omega)$, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}} = (\widehat{K}, \widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$. We identify $H(K)$ in the usual way with an H-subfield of $H(\widehat{K})$, and for ungrounded K we tacitly use that the sequences (λ_ρ), (ω_ρ) in K also serve for $\widehat{K}$. (See [AvdDvdH17, 11.5–11.7] for the definition and basic properties of (λ_ρ), (ω_ρ).)

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose K is grounded, or there exists $b \asymp 1$ in K such that $v(b')$ is a gap in K. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ extends to an immediate ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ such that $\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}^* = \operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}$ and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ closed under logarithms extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

Proof. Note that if K is grounded, then so is $\widehat{K}$, and any gap in K remains a gap in $\widehat{K}$. Put $E := H(K)$ and $F := H(\widehat{K})$, and note that the H-field extension $E \subseteq F$ is immediate by [AvdDvdH17, 10.3.2 and the remark preceding it]. Next, take $e \in E$ with $e \succ 1$ and $v(e^\dagger) = \max \Psi_E = \max \Psi_F$. We now construct $K^* := E_\omega$ and $\widehat{K}^* := F_\omega$ as in [AvdDvdH17, 11.7], with E, e and F, e in the role of F, f there, so $E_\omega = \bigcup_n E_n$, $F_\omega = \bigcup_n F_n$, $E_0 = E$, $F_0 = F$. We take care to do that in such a way that, by induction on n using [AvdDvdH17, 10.2.3 and its proof], we have for all n an immediate extension $E_n \subseteq F_n$ of grounded H-fields with a distinguished element $e_n \in E_n^\times$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

such that $e_0 = e$, $e_n \succ 1$, $v(e_n^\dagger) = \max \Psi_{E_n} = \max \Psi_{F_n}$, and

$$E_{n+1} = E_n(e_{n+1}), \quad F_{n+1} = F_n(e_{n+1}), \quad e'_{n+1} = e_n^\dagger.$$

This yields an immediate extension $E_\omega \subseteq F_\omega$ of ω-free H-fields. Expanding $K^*, \widehat{K}^*$ uniquely to $\Lambda\Omega$-fields gives a pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ with the required properties. □

Lemma 7.5. *Suppose K has gap β and $v(b') \neq \beta$ for all $b \asymp 1$ in K. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ extends to an immediate grounded $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}_1, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1)$ such that $\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}_1 = \operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}$ and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ closed under integration extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}_1 \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

Proof. Note that β is a gap in $\widehat{K}$, and $v(b') \neq \beta$ for all $b \asymp 1$ in $\widehat{K}$. By [AvdDvdH17, 10.3.2 and the remark preceding it], $H(K)$ is an immediate extension of K, and $H(\widehat{K})$ of $\widehat{K}$, so $H(\widehat{K})$ is an immediate extension of $H(K)$.

Take $s \in K$ with $vs = \beta$ and follow the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.3]. Suppose $s \notin I$. Then $s \notin \widehat{I}$ also. Take $\widehat{K}_1 = H(\widehat{K})(y)$ as in case 1 of that proof applied to $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}$ in place of $\boldsymbol{K}$. We have the H-subfield $K_1 := H(K)(y)$ of $\widehat{K}_1$, and $\widehat{K}_1$ is an immediate extension of K_1 by [AvdDvdH17, 10.2.2 and its proof]. Expanding K_1, $\widehat{K}_1$ uniquely to pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-fields gives a pair $(\boldsymbol{K}_1, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1)$ with the required property. If $s \in I$, proceed as before, but following instead case 2 of the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.3] and with $H(K)$ and $H(\widehat{K})$ instead of K and $\widehat{K}$, using [AvdDvdH17, 10.2.1 and its proof]. □

Corollary 7.6. *Suppose K does not have asymptotic integration. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ extends to an immediate ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ such that $\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}^* = \operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}$, and any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ closed under integration extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

In the next three lemmas we treat the case where K has asymptotic integration. For the first we adapt the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.5] and use parts of it.

Lemma 7.7. *Assume K has asymptotic integration and is not λ-free. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ extends to an immediate ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ such that $\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}^* = (\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K})^{\mathrm{rc}}$, and every embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a Liouville closed $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

Proof. By Corollary 7.6 it is enough to show that the pair $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ extends to an immediate $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}_1, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1)$ with a gap such that $\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K}_1 = (\operatorname{res} \boldsymbol{K})^{\mathrm{rc}}$ and every embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a Liouville closed $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}_1 \to \boldsymbol{L}$. Let

$$E := H(K)^{\mathrm{rc}} \subseteq F := H(\widehat{K})^{\mathrm{rc}}.$$

Then $\Gamma_E = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$, and F is an immediate H-field extension of E. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1 (E has a gap). Take $s \in E^\times$ and $n \geqslant 1$ such that vs is a gap in E and $s^n \in K$. Then E has exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts $(I_1, \Lambda_1, \Omega_1)$ and $(I_2, \Lambda_2, \Omega_2)$, where $I_1 = \{y \in E : y \prec s\}$, $I_2 = \{y \in E : y \preccurlyeq s\}$, and F has exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts $(\widehat{I}_1, \widehat{\Lambda}_1, \widehat{\Omega}_1)$ and $(\widehat{I}_2, \widehat{\Lambda}_2, \widehat{\Omega}_2)$, with $\widehat{I}_1 = \{y \in F : y \prec s\}$, $\widehat{I}_2 = \{y \in F : y \preccurlyeq s\}$ (so $I_j = \widehat{I}_j \cap E$ for $j = 1, 2$). Take $\boldsymbol{K}_1$ as in Case 1 of the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.5]: if $-s^\dagger \in \Lambda$, then $\boldsymbol{K}_1 := (E, I_1, \Lambda_1, \Omega_1)$, and if $-s^\dagger \notin \Lambda$, then $\boldsymbol{K}_1 := (E, I_2, \Lambda_2, \Omega_2)$. Similarly, if $-s^\dagger \in \Lambda$, then $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1 := (F, \widehat{I}_1, \widehat{\Lambda}_1, \widehat{\Omega}_1)$, and if $-s^\dagger \notin \Lambda$, then $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1 := (F, \widehat{I}_2, \widehat{\Lambda}_2, \widehat{\Omega}_2)$. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}_1, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1)$ is an immediate $\Lambda\Omega$-pair with the desired property.

Case 2 (E has no gap). Then E, F have asymptotic integration, and the sequence (λ_ρ) for K also serves for E and for F. Take $\lambda \in K$ such that $\lambda_\rho \rightsquigarrow \lambda$. Then $-\lambda$ creates a gap over E and over F by [AvdDvdH17, 11.5.14]. Take an element $f \neq 0$ in some Liouville closed H-field extension of F such that $f^\dagger = -\lambda$. Then $F(f)$ is an H-field and $E(f)$ is an H-subfield of $F(f)$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

with $\operatorname{res} E(f) = \operatorname{res} E = \operatorname{res} F = \operatorname{res} F(f)$. Moreover, vf is a gap in $F(f)$ and in $E(f)$, and $F(f)$ is an immediate extension of $E(f)$, by the remark after [AvdDvdH17, 11.5.14] and the uniqueness part of [AvdDvdH17, 10.4.5]. Now $E(f)$ has exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts $(I_1, \Lambda_1, \Omega_1)$ and $(I_2, \Lambda_2, \Omega_2)$, where

$$I_1 = \{y \in E(f) : y \prec f\}, \quad I_2 = \{y \in E(f) : y \preccurlyeq f\},$$

and $F(f)$ has exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts $(\widehat{I}_1, \widehat{\Lambda}_1, \widehat{\Omega}_1)$ and $(\widehat{I}_2, \widehat{\Lambda}_2, \widehat{\Omega}_2)$, with

$$\widehat{I}_1 = \{y \in F(f) : y \prec f\}, \quad \widehat{I}_2 = \{y \in F(f) : y \preccurlyeq f\}.$$

Therefore, $I_j = \widehat{I}_j \cap E(f)$ for $j = 1, 2$. We set $\boldsymbol{K}_1 := (E(f), I_1, \Lambda_1, \Omega_1)$, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1 := (F(f), \widehat{I}_1, \widehat{\Lambda}_1, \widehat{\Omega}_1)$ if $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $\boldsymbol{K}_1 := (E(f), I_2, \Lambda_2, \Omega_2)$, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1 := (F(f), \widehat{I}_2, \widehat{\Lambda}_2, \widehat{\Omega}_2)$ if $\lambda \notin \Lambda$. Then $\boldsymbol{K}_1 \subseteq \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1$, and the immediate $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}_1, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_1)$ is as required. □

Lemma 7.8. *Suppose K is not ω-free and $\widehat{K}$ is λ-free. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ extends to an immediate ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ such that $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}^*$ is algebraic over $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}$ and any embedding $\boldsymbol{K} \to \boldsymbol{L}$ into a Schwarz closed $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding $\boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{L}$.*

Proof. We adapt and use the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.6]. Take $\omega \in K$ with $\omega_\rho \rightsquigarrow \omega$. Then we have $\omega(\Lambda(K))^\downarrow < \omega < \sigma(\Gamma(K))^\uparrow$ and either $\Omega = \omega(K)^\downarrow$ or $\Omega = K \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(K))^\uparrow$. Likewise with $\widehat{K}$ in place of K. In addition, $\omega \notin \omega(K)^\downarrow$, $\omega \notin \omega(\widehat{K})^\downarrow$. There are two cases.

Case 1 ($\Omega = \omega(K)^\downarrow$). Then $\omega \notin \widehat{\Omega}$ and so $\widehat{\Omega} = \omega(\widehat{K})^\downarrow$. Take a pre-$H$-field extension $\widehat{K}_\gamma$ of $\widehat{K}$ as in Case 1 of the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.6] with $\widehat{K}$ in place of K. Then $\operatorname{res}\widehat{K}_\gamma = \operatorname{res}\widehat{K} = \operatorname{res} K$. Put $K_\gamma := K\langle\gamma\rangle$, a pre-$H$-subfield of $\widehat{K}_\gamma$ with $\operatorname{res} K_\gamma = \operatorname{res} K$. Then $v\gamma$ is a gap in K_γ and in $\widehat{K}_\gamma$, so by [AvdDvdH17, 13.7.6], $\widehat{K}_\gamma$ is an immediate extension of K_γ. Expanding K_γ to a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{K}_\gamma$ as in case 1 of the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.6], and similarly expanding $\widehat{K}_\gamma$ to a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\gamma$, we thus obtain the immediate pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}_\gamma, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\gamma)$ extending $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$. Take an immediate ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ extending $(\boldsymbol{K}_\gamma, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\gamma)$ as in Corollary 7.6 applied to $(\boldsymbol{K}_\gamma, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\gamma)$ in place of $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ has the required property.

Case 2 ($\Omega = K \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(K))^\uparrow$). Then $\omega \in \Omega \subseteq \widehat{\Omega}$, so $\widehat{\Omega} = \widehat{K} \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(\widehat{K}))^\uparrow$. As in the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.6], we obtain an immediate pre-H-field extension $\widehat{K}_\lambda := \widehat{K}(\lambda)$ of $\widehat{K}$ with $\lambda_\rho \rightsquigarrow \lambda$ and $\omega(\lambda) = \omega$. Put $K_\lambda := K(\lambda)$, an immediate pre-H-field extension of K. Expand K_λ to a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{K}_\lambda$ as in case 2 of the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.6], and similarly expand $\widehat{K}_\lambda$ to a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\lambda$. Then $\boldsymbol{K}_\lambda \supseteq \boldsymbol{K}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\lambda \supseteq \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}$, and from $\lambda \notin \Lambda(K_\lambda)^\downarrow$ and $\lambda \in (\widehat{K}_\lambda \setminus \Delta(\widehat{K}_\lambda)^\uparrow) \cap K_\lambda$ we obtain $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\lambda \supseteq \boldsymbol{K}_\lambda$. Thus, $(\boldsymbol{K}_\lambda, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\lambda)$ is an immediate pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-pair and extends $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$. Take an immediate ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ extending $(\boldsymbol{K}_\lambda, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\lambda)$ obtained from Lemma 7.7 applied to $(\boldsymbol{K}_\lambda, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}_\lambda)$ in place of $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ has the required property. □

Lemma 7.9. *Suppose $\widehat{K}$ is ω-free. Then the pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ extends to an immediate ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ such that any embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}$ into a $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{L}$ extends to an embedding of $\boldsymbol{K}^*$ into $\boldsymbol{L}$.*

Proof. As $\widehat{K}$ is ω-free, so is K. By [AvdDvdH17, 13.6.1], $H(K)$ is ω-free, and by [AvdDvdH17, 10.3.2 and the remark (a) before it], $H(K)$ is an immediate extension of K, and likewise with $\widehat{K}$ in place of K. Let $\boldsymbol{K}^*$, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*$ be the unique expansions of $H(K)$, $H(\widehat{K})$, respectively, to $\Lambda\Omega$-fields. Then $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ has the required properties, by the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.7]. □

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

The first claim of Proposition 7.3 now follows. As to the shortness part, one checks that for the pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ as constructed previously, we have $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma_{K^*}/\Gamma_K) \leqslant \aleph_0$, hence if K is short and $\operatorname{res} K$ is archimedean, then K^* is short by Corollary 6.18 and Lemma 6.19.

7.2 Immediate extensions and $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts

Let $K \subseteq \widehat{K}$ be an extension of pre-H-fields. Given a $\Lambda\Omega$-cut $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$, we obtain the $\Lambda\Omega$-cut

$$(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K := (\widehat{I} \cap K, \widehat{\Lambda} \cap K, \widehat{\Omega} \cap K)$$

in K. Recall from [AvdDvdH17, the remark before 16.3.19] that a pre-H-field has at least one and at most two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts. In the rest of this subsection we assume that $K \subseteq \widehat{K}$ is immediate and (I, Λ, Ω) is a $\Lambda\Omega$-cut in K, and we ask when there is a $\Lambda\Omega$-cut $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$ such that $(I, \Lambda, \Omega) = (\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K$.

Proposition 7.10. *The following are equivalent:*

(i) *there is a $\Lambda\Omega$-cut $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$ with $(I, \Lambda, \Omega) = (\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K$;*

(ii) *K is not λ-free, K is ω-free, $\widehat{K}$ is λ-free, or $\Omega \neq \omega(K)^{\downarrow}$.*

This is a consequence of Lemmas 7.11–7.15, which also address the uniqueness of the $\Lambda\Omega$-cut in $\widehat{K}$ in part (i) of the proposition. For the next two labeled displays, let K be ungrounded. Then by [AvdDvdH17, 11.8.14] we have

$$\Lambda(K)^{\downarrow} = \Lambda(\widehat{K})^{\downarrow} \cap K, \quad \Delta(K)^{\uparrow} = \Delta(\widehat{K})^{\uparrow} \cap K, \quad \Gamma(K)^{\uparrow} = \Gamma(\widehat{K})^{\uparrow} \cap K, \tag{7.1}$$

and by [AvdDvdH17, 11.8.14, the remark before 11.8.21, and 11.8.29]:

$$\omega(\Lambda(\widehat{K}))^{\downarrow} \cap K = \omega(\Lambda(K))^{\downarrow}, \quad (\widehat{K} \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(\widehat{K}))^{\uparrow}) \cap K = K \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(K))^{\uparrow}. \tag{7.2}$$

By [AvdDvdH17, 11.8.2] we also have $\mathrm{I}(K) = \mathrm{I}(\widehat{K}) \cap K$ if K has asymptotic integration.

Lemma 7.11. *Suppose K does not have asymptotic integration or $\widehat{K}$ is ω-free. Then there is a unique $\Lambda\Omega$-cut $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$ with $(I, \Lambda, \Omega) = (\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K$.*

Proof. Note that K has asymptotic integration if and only if $\widehat{K}$ has, and if K has a gap β and $v(a') \neq \beta$ for all $a \asymp 1$ in K, then β remains a gap in $\widehat{K}$ and $v(b') \neq \beta$ for all $b \asymp 1$ in $\widehat{K}$. If $\widehat{K}$ is ω-free, then so is K. Now use [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.11–16.3.14]. □

Lemma 7.12. *Suppose K is ω-free, but $\widehat{K}$ is not. Then there are exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$ with $(I, \Lambda, \Omega) = (\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K$.*

Proof. By [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.14], (I, Λ, Ω) is the unique $\Lambda\Omega$-cut in K, so $(I, \Lambda, \Omega) = (\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K$ for every $\Lambda\Omega$-cut $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$. Moreover, $\widehat{K}$ has exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts, by [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.16] if $\widehat{K}$ is λ-free, and by [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.17 and 16.3.18] if not. □

In particular, if K has no asymptotic integration or K is ω-free, then we have a $\Lambda\Omega$-cut $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$ with $(I, \Lambda, \Omega) = (\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K$. The next lemmas deal with the case where K has asymptotic integration and K is not ω-free.

Lemma 7.13. *Suppose K has asymptotic integration and is not λ-free. Then there is exactly one $\Lambda\Omega$-cut $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$ with $(I, \Lambda, \Omega) = (\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K$.*

Proof. Suppose first that 2Ψ has no supremum in Γ. Then by [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.17] there are exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts $(I_1, \Lambda_1, \Omega_1)$, $(I_2, \Lambda_2, \Omega_2)$ in K, with $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda(K)^{\downarrow}$, $\Lambda_2 = K \setminus \Delta(K)^{\uparrow}$, and $\Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2$. Similarly, there are exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts $(\widehat{I}_1, \widehat{\Lambda}_1, \widehat{\Omega}_1)$, $(\widehat{I}_2, \widehat{\Lambda}_2, \widehat{\Omega}_2)$ in $\widehat{K}$, with $\widehat{\Lambda}_1 = \Lambda(\widehat{K})^{\downarrow}$, $\widehat{\Lambda}_2 = \widehat{K} \setminus \Delta(\widehat{K})^{\uparrow}$. Now use that by (7.1) we have $\Lambda(K)^{\downarrow} = \Lambda(\widehat{K})^{\downarrow} \cap K$ and

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$K \setminus \Delta(K)^\uparrow = (\widehat{K} \setminus \Delta(\widehat{K})^\uparrow) \cap K$. The case where 2Ψ has a supremum in Γ is similar, using [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.18] instead of [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.17]. □

Lemma 7.14. *Suppose K is not ω-free and $\widehat{K}$ is λ-free. Then there is exactly one $\Lambda\Omega$-cut $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$ such that $(I, \Lambda, \Omega) = (\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K$.*

Proof. By [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.16], K being λ-free, but not ω-free, it has exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts, namely $(\mathrm{I}(K), \Lambda(K)^\downarrow, \omega(\Lambda(K))^\downarrow)$ and $(\mathrm{I}(K), \Lambda(K)^\downarrow, K \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(K))^\uparrow)$, and similarly with $\widehat{K}$ in place of K. Now use (7.1) and (7.2). □

Lemma 7.15. *Suppose K is λ-free, but not ω-free, and $\widehat{K}$ is not λ-free. Then there is a $\Lambda\Omega$-cut $(\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega})$ in $\widehat{K}$ such that $(I, \Lambda, \Omega) = (\widehat{I}, \widehat{\Lambda}, \widehat{\Omega}) \cap K$ if and only if $\Omega \neq \omega(K)^\downarrow$, and in this case there are exactly two such $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts in $\widehat{K}$.*

Proof. By [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.16], K has exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts $(I_1, \Lambda_1, \Omega_1)$, $(I_2, \Lambda_2, \Omega_2)$, where

$$I_1 = I_2 = \mathrm{I}(K), \quad \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \Lambda(K)^\downarrow, \quad \Omega_1 = K \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(K))^\uparrow \neq \Omega_2 = \omega(K)^\downarrow.$$

Now K is λ-free, so 2Ψ has no supremum in Γ by [AvdDvdH17, 9.2.17 and 11.6.8], hence by [AvdDvdH17, 16.3.17], $\widehat{K}$ has exactly two $\Lambda\Omega$-cuts $(\widehat{I}_1, \widehat{\Lambda}_1, \widehat{\Omega}_1)$, $(\widehat{I}_2, \widehat{\Lambda}_2, \widehat{\Omega}_2)$, where

$$\widehat{I}_1 = \widehat{I}_2 = \mathrm{I}(\widehat{K}), \quad \widehat{\Lambda}_1 = \Lambda(\widehat{K})^\downarrow, \quad \widehat{\Lambda}_2 = \widehat{K} \setminus \Delta(\widehat{K})^\uparrow, \quad \widehat{\Omega}_1 = \widehat{\Omega}_2 = \widehat{K} \setminus \sigma(\Gamma(\widehat{K}))^\uparrow.$$

Thus, $(\widehat{I}_j, \widehat{\Lambda}_j, \widehat{\Omega}_j) \cap K = (I_1, \Lambda_1, \Omega_1)$ for $j = 1, 2$ by (7.2). This yields the lemma. □

7.3 Proof of Theorem 7.1

Let K, $\widehat{K}$, M be as in the statement of the theorem, and let $i\colon K \to M$ be an embedding. If $\widehat{K}$ is ω-free, then Lemma 6.24 and [AvdDvdH17, 10.5.8] give an extension of i to an embedding $\widehat{K} \to M$ as required.

In the rest of the proof we therefore assume that $\widehat{K}$ is not ω-free. If $\widehat{K}$ is λ-free, then, taking $\omega \in \widehat{K}$ with $\omega_\rho \rightsquigarrow \omega$, [AvdDvdH17, 11.7.13] yields an immediate pre-H-field extension $\widehat{K}_\lambda := \widehat{K}(\lambda)$ of $\widehat{K}$ with $\lambda_\rho \rightsquigarrow \lambda$ and $\omega(\lambda) = \omega$, so that replacing $\widehat{K}$ by $\widehat{K}_\lambda$ we arrange that $\widehat{K}$ is not even λ-free.

Suppose K is not λ-free. Let $\boldsymbol{M}$ be the unique expansion of M to a $\Lambda\Omega$-field, and expand K to a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{K}$ such that i is an embedding $\boldsymbol{K} \to \boldsymbol{M}$ of pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-fields. Proposition 7.10 yields an expansion of $\widehat{K}$ to a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{K} \subseteq \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}$, and then Proposition 7.3 gives an immediate ω-free short $\Lambda\Omega$-pair $(\boldsymbol{K}^*, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}}^*)$ extending $(\boldsymbol{K}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{K}})$ with $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}^*$ algebraic over $\operatorname{res}\boldsymbol{K}$ and an extension of i to an embedding $i^* : \boldsymbol{K}^* \to \boldsymbol{M}$. The case of ω-free $\widehat{K}$ treated earlier applied instead to $\widehat{K}^*$ now yields an extension of i^* to an embedding $\widehat{K}^* \to M$.

Next, suppose K is ω-free. Then the pc-sequence (λ_ρ) in K is of d-transcendental type over K, by [AvdDvdH17, 13.6.3]. Take $\lambda \in \widehat{K}$ such that $\lambda_\rho \rightsquigarrow \lambda$. Now K is short and M is countably spherically complete, so by Lemma 6.11 we have $\lambda^* \in M$ with $i(\lambda_\rho) \rightsquigarrow \lambda^*$. By [AvdDvdH17, 11.4.7, 11.4.13, and 10.5.8] we obtain a unique extension of i to an embedding $j\colon K\langle\lambda\rangle \to M$ such that $j(\lambda) = \lambda^*$. The case of non-λ-free K applied instead to $K\langle\lambda\rangle$ yields an extension of j to an embedding $\widehat{K} \to M$.

8. Embeddings into analytic Hardy fields

In this section we use Theorem A to derive results about back-and-forth equivalence, $\infty\omega$-elementary equivalence, and isomorphism for maximal analytic Hardy fields, as was done in [AvdDvdH24a, Section 10] for maximal Hardy fields. (For the relation of back-and-forth

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

equivalence to infinitary logic, see [Bar73].) We also strengthen Corollary 6.25 by showing in Corollary 8.10 that the ordered differential field $\mathbb{T}$ embeds into every maximal analytic Hardy field.

Let $\mathbf{No}$ be the ordered field of surreal numbers equipped with the derivation ∂_{BM} of Berarducci and Mantova [BM18]. Then $\mathbf{No}$ is a closed H-field, by [AvdDvdH19]. Moreover, given an uncountable cardinal κ, the surreal numbers of length $< \kappa$ form an ordered differential subfield $\mathbf{No}(\kappa)$ of $\mathbf{No}$ with $\mathbf{No}(\kappa) \preccurlyeq \mathbf{No}$, by [AvdDvdH19, Corollary 4.6]. As in the argument leading up to [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 10.4], combining Theorem A and [AvdDvdH24a, Corollary 10.3] yields the following.

Corollary 8.1. *Let M be a maximal analytic or maximal smooth Hardy field. Then the ordered differential fields M and $\boldsymbol{No}(\omega_1)$ are back-and-forth equivalent. Hence, $M \equiv_{\infty\omega} \boldsymbol{No}(\omega_1)$, and assuming that the continuum hypothesis holds, $M \cong \boldsymbol{No}(\omega_1)$.*

The ordered field $\mathbf{No}(\omega_1)$ is not complete. Set $a_\nu := \sum_{\mu<\nu} \omega^{-\mu}$ with μ, ν ranging over countable ordinals. Then (a_ν) is a cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{No}(\omega_1)$ without a limit in $\mathbf{No}(\omega_1)$. Thus, assuming that the continuum hypothesis holds, no real closed η_1-ordered field extension of $\mathbb{R}$ of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$ is complete, in particular, no maximal Hardy field is complete. (This also follows from [DW96, Theorem 3.12(ii)]: if G is a complete η_1-ordered abelian group, then $|G| > \aleph_1$.)

Let K be an H-field with small derivation and constant field $\mathbb{R}$. Then [AvdDvdH19, Theorem 3] yields an embedding $K \to \mathbf{No}$ of ordered differential fields. The argument in the proof of [AvdDvdH19, Theorem 3] shows that if $\kappa > |K|$ is a regular cardinal, then we can choose ι so that $\iota(K) \subseteq \mathbf{No}(\kappa)$. If $\operatorname{trdeg}(K|\mathbb{R})$ is countable, then K actually embeds into $\mathbf{No}(\omega_1)$. This is a consequence of the next lemma, a variant of [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 10.1].

Lemma 8.2. *Let K be a pre-H-field with very small derivation, archimedean residue field, and $\operatorname{trdeg}(K|C) \leqslant \aleph_0$. Let L be a closed η_1-ordered H-field with small derivation and $C_L = \mathbb{R}$. Then K embeds into L.*

Proof. Passing to $H(K)$, we arrange that K is an H-field. Without loss, C_K is an ordered subfield of $\mathbb{R}$, and then adjoining new constants if necessary, we arrange $C_K = \mathbb{R}$. Take a closed H-field $\widehat{K}$ extending K. Next, take a countable set $S \subseteq K$ such that $K = \mathbb{R}\langle S\rangle$ and then a countable closed H-subfield $K_0 \supseteq S$ of $\widehat{K}$. Let E be a copy of the prime model of the theory of closed H-fields with small derivation inside K_0. Applying [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 10.1] to an H-field embedding $E \to L$ with K_0 in place of K yields an H-field embedding $i\colon K_0 \to L$. Then i is the identity on $C_{K_0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and then [AvdDvdH17, 10.5.15 and 10.5.16] yield an extension of i to an H-field embedding $K_0(\mathbb{R}) \to L$ that is the identity on $\mathbb{R}$, and the restriction of this embedding to K is a pre-H-field embedding $K \to L$. □

Using also Theorem A and its smooth version, we obtain from Lemma 8.2.

Corollary 8.3. *Let K be as in Lemma 8.2 and let M be a maximal Hardy field. Then K embeds into M. Likewise if M is a maximal analytic Hardy field or a maximal smooth Hardy field.*

The following immediate consequence of the last corollary is worth recording.

Corollary 8.4. *Every Hardy field of countable transcendence degree over its constant field is isomorphic to an analytic Hardy field.*

The next corollary strengthens [AvdDvdH24d, Corollary 12.4].

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Corollary 8.5. *Let M be a maximal analytic or maximal smooth Hardy field, and let N be a maximal Hardy field with $M \subseteq N$. Then $M \preccurlyeq_{\infty\omega} N$.*

Proof. By Theorem A and its smooth version, M is η_1, and by Theorem A of [AvdDvdH24a], N is η_1. It remains to use [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 10.5]. □

At the heart of the proof of [AvdDvdH24a, Lemma 10.1] is [AvdDvdH17, 16.2.3], of which we now give a version with the cofinality hypothesis replaced by a shortness assumption.

Proposition 8.6. *Let E be an ω-free H-field and K be a closed short H-field extending E such that $C_E = C_K$. Let $i\colon E \to L$ be an embedding where L is a closed η_1-ordered H-field. Then i extends to an embedding $K \to L$.*

Proof. Suppose $E \neq K$; it is enough to show that i extends to an embedding of some ω-free H-subfield F of K into L, where F properly contains E.

Consider first the case $\Gamma_E^<$ is not cofinal in $\Gamma^<$. Then we have $y \in K^>$ such that $\Gamma_E^< < vy < 0$. Now E is short, so we have $y^* \in L^>$ such that $\Gamma_{iE}^< < vy^* < 0$. As in the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.2.3], we then obtain an ω-free H-subfield F of K with $F \supseteq E\langle y\rangle$ and an extension of i to an embedding $F \to L$.

For the rest of the proof we assume $\Gamma_E^<$ is cofinal in $\Gamma^<$. Then every differential subfield of K containing E is an ω-free H-subfield of K.

Subcase 1 (E is not closed). *This goes like subcase 1 in the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.2.3].*

Subcase 2 (E is closed, and $E\langle y\rangle$ is an immediate extension of E for some $y \in K \setminus E$). *For such y, Lemma 6.24 yields an extension of i to an embedding $E\langle y\rangle \to L$.*

Subcase 3 (E is closed, and there is no $y \in K \setminus E$ such that $E\langle y\rangle$ is an immediate extension of E). *Take any $f \in K \setminus E$. Since E is short and L is η_1, we have $g \in L$ such that for all $a \in E$, $a < f \Leftrightarrow i(a) < g$. Now [AvdDvdH17, 16.1.5] gives an H-field embedding $E\langle f\rangle \to L$ extending i which sends f to g.* □

Corollary 8.7. *Let E, K, L, i be as in Proposition 8.6, with '$C_E = C_K$' replaced by 'C_K is archimedean and $C_L = \mathbb{R}$'. Then i extends to an embedding $K \to L$.*

Proof. The ordered field embedding $i|_{C_E}\colon C_E \to C_L = \mathbb{R}$ extends uniquely to an ordered field embedding $j\colon C_K \to C_L$. Now argue as in the proof of [AvdDvdH17, 16.2.4], using Proposition 8.6 in place of [AvdDvdH17, 16.2.3]. □

Proposition 8.6 leads to the following version of Lemma 8.2 for short closed H-fields.

Lemma 8.8. *Let K be a short closed H-field with small derivation and archimedean constant field, and L a closed η_1-ordered H-field with small derivation and $C_L = \mathbb{R}$. Then K embeds into L.*

Proof. Take $x \in K$ with $x' = 1$. Now K has small derivation, so $x \succ 1$, the H-field $C_K(x)$ is grounded, and we have an embedding $i\colon C_K(x) \to L$ extending the unique ordered field embedding $C_K \to C_L$. By [AvdDvdH17, 10.6.23] we have a Liouville closure E of $C_K(x)$ in K and i extends to an embedding $E \to L$. Moreover, E is ω-free, by [AvdDvdH24c, Lemma 1.3.18], so we can use Proposition 8.6. □

With $K = \mathbb{T}$ and L a maximal analytic Hardy field in Lemma 8.8, we conclude as follows.

Corollary 8.9. *The ordered differential field $\mathbb{T}$ is isomorphic over $\mathbb{R}$ to an analytic Hardy field containing $\mathbb{R}$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

We upgrade this as follows.

Corollary 8.10. *Let E be a pre-H-subfield of $\mathbb{T}$, M be a maximal Hardy field, and $i\colon E \to M$ be an embedding. Then i extends to an embedding $\mathbb{T} \to M$. Likewise with 'maximal analytic' and with 'maximal smooth' instead of 'maximal'.*

Proof. Expand E, M (uniquely) to pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-fields $\boldsymbol{E}$, $\boldsymbol{M}$, respectively, such that i is an embedding $\boldsymbol{E} \to \boldsymbol{M}$, and expand $\mathbb{T}$ (uniquely) to a pre-$\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{T}$. Then $\boldsymbol{E} \subseteq \boldsymbol{T}$ by [AvdDvdH24d, Lemma 12.1, Corollary 12.9]. Now [AvdDvdH17, 16.4.1] yields an ω-free $\Lambda\Omega$-field $\boldsymbol{E}^*$ with $\boldsymbol{E} \subseteq \boldsymbol{E}^* \subseteq \boldsymbol{T}$ and an extension of i to an embedding $E^* \to M$, which in turn extends to an embedding $\mathbb{T} \to M$ by Corollary 8.7. □

Remark. If $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}$ is an immediate H-field extension of $\mathbb{T}$, then any embedding of $\mathbb{T}$ into a maximal Hardy field M extends to an embedding $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} \to M$, by Theorem 7.1. Likewise for M a maximal smooth or maximal analytic Hardy field. With $\mathbf{No}$ in place of M we can also take strong additivity into account. To see this, recall from [AvdDvdH19, Proposition 5.1 and subsequent remarks] that the unique strongly additive embedding $\iota\colon \mathbb{T} \to \mathbf{No}$ over $\mathbb{R}$ of exponential ordered fields which sends $x \in \mathbb{T}$ to $\omega \in \mathbf{No}$ is also an embedding of differential fields, with $\iota(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \mathbf{No}(\omega_1)$ by [AvdDvdH19, Proposition 5.2(3)]. By [AvdDvdH19, Proposition 5.2(1)], $\iota(G^{\mathrm{LE}}) = \mathfrak{M} \cap \iota(\mathbb{T})$, where G^{LE} is the group of logarithmic-exponential-monomials (cf. [AvdDvdH17, p. 718]) and $\mathfrak{M}$ is the class of monomials in $\mathbf{No}$ (cf. [AvdDvdH19, § 1]), hence ι extends uniquely to a strongly additive ordered field embedding $\widehat{\iota}\colon \mathbb{R}[[G^{\mathrm{LE}}]] \to \mathbf{No}$. The derivation of $\mathbf{No}$ is strongly additive, so $\widehat{\iota}(\mathbb{R}[[G^{\mathrm{LE}}]]) = \mathbb{R}[[\iota(G^{\mathrm{LE}})]]$ is a differential subfield of $\mathbf{No}$. The derivation on $\mathbb{R}[[G^{\mathrm{LE}}]]$ that makes $\widehat{\iota}$ a differential field embedding is then the unique strongly additive derivation on $\mathbb{R}[[G^{\mathrm{LE}}]]$ extending the derivation of $\mathbb{T}$. It also makes $\mathbb{R}[[G^{\mathrm{LE}}]]$ a spherically complete immediate H-field extension of $\mathbb{T}$, so the result stated at the beginning of this extended remark applies to the H-field $\mathbb{R}[[G^{\mathrm{LE}}]]$ in the role of $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}$.

9. Some set-theoretic issues

We finish with some questions of a set-theoretic nature that others might be better prepared to answer. We assume our base theory ZFC is consistent, and these are questions about relative consistency with ZFC.

(1) Is it consistent that there are non-isomorphic maximal Hardy fields?

(2) Is it consistent that no maximal Hardy field is isomorphic to $\mathbf{No}(\omega_1)$?

(3) Is it consistent that there is a complete maximal Hardy field?

Positive answers would mean (at least) that we cannot drop the assumption of the continuum hypothesis in some results we proved under this hypothesis. Note also that with the continuum hypothesis we have $\mathrm{cf}(H) = \mathrm{ci}(H^{>\mathbb{R}}) = \omega_1$ for all maximal Hardy fields. This suggests the following.

(4) Is it consistent that $\mathrm{cf}(H_1) \neq \mathrm{cf}(H_2)$ for some maximal Hardy fields H_1, H_2? The same with $\mathrm{ci}(H_i^{>\mathbb{R}})$ instead of $\mathrm{cf}(H_i)$.

(5) Is it consistent that $\mathrm{cf}(H) \neq \mathrm{ci}(H^{>\mathbb{R}})$ for some maximal Hardy field H?

(6) Is it consistent that there is a maximal Hardy field H and a gap in H of character (α, β^*) with $\alpha, \beta \geqslant \omega$, not equal to one of (ω, κ^*), (κ, ω^*), (κ, κ^*), (λ, λ^*), where $\kappa := \mathrm{ci}(H^{>\mathbb{R}})$, $\lambda := \mathrm{cf}(H)$?

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

One can also ask these questions for maximal analytic Hardy fields and maximal smooth Hardy fields instead of maximal Hardy fields. We can even ask them for maximal Hausdorff fields (containing at least $\mathbb{R}$, say) instead of maximal Hardy fields. As with Corollary 3.7, might some weaker assumption such as $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{d}$ be enough for some results where we assumed that the continuum hypothesis holds?

If H is a Hardy field with $H^{>\mathbb{R}}$ closed under compositional inversion, then

$$h \mapsto h^{\mathrm{inv}} \colon H^{>\mathbb{R}} \to H^{>\mathbb{R}}$$

is a strictly decreasing bijection, so $\mathrm{cf}(H) = \mathrm{ci}(H^{>\mathbb{R}})$. However, we do not know if there is a maximal Hardy field H with $H^{>\mathbb{R}}$ closed under compositional inversion.

Appendix. A proof of Whitney's approximation theorem

For the convenience of the reader, we include here a proof of Theorem 2.1, adapting the exposition in [Nar73, § 1.6]. Throughout this appendix, $r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Recall that the support $\operatorname{supp} f$ of a function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the closure in $\mathbb{R}$ of the set $\mathbb{R} \setminus f^{-1}(0)$. We begin with two lemmas, where $f \in \mathcal{C}^m(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\operatorname{supp} f$ is bounded; also let λ range over $\mathbb{R}^{>}$. From the Gaussian integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-s^2}\, ds = \pi^{1/2}$ we get $(\lambda/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda s^2}\, ds = 1$. Consider $f_\lambda \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f_\lambda(t) := (\lambda/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s)\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2}\, ds. \tag{A.1}$$

Note that we could have replaced here the bounds $-\infty, \infty$ in this integral by any a, b such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq [a, b]$. A change of variables gives

$$f_\lambda(t) = (\lambda/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t-s)\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda s^2}\, ds.$$

As in [Die60, (8.12), Exercise 2(b)], one obtains that $f_\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and for $k \leqslant m$:

$$f_\lambda^{(k)}(t) = (\lambda/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{(k)}(s)\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2}\, ds = (\lambda/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{(k)}(t-s)\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda s^2}\, ds.$$

Moreover, we have the following.

Lemma A.1. *We have that f_λ extends to an entire function; in particular, $f_\lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Take $a < b$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq [a, b]$ and consider $g \colon [a, b] \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $g(s, z) := f(s)\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-z)^2}$. Then g is continuous, for each $s \in [a, b]$ the function $g(s, -) \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is analytic, and $\partial g/\partial z \colon [a, b] \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. Hence, $z \mapsto \int_a^b g(s, z)\, ds \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is analytic by [Die60, (9.10), Exercise 3]. □

Lemma A.2. *We have $\|f_\lambda - f\|_m \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$.*

Proof. For $k \leqslant m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda^{(k)}(t) - f^{(k)}(t) &= (\lambda/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f^{(k)}(t-s) - f^{(k)}(t))\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda s^2}\, ds \\ &= (\lambda/\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f^{(k)}(s) - f^{(k)}(t))\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2}\, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>}$ be given, and choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f^{(k)}(s) - f^{(k)}(t)| \leqslant \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{whenever } |s - t| \leqslant \delta \text{ and } k \leqslant m.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For $s \leqslant t-\delta$ and for $s \geqslant t+\delta$ we have $\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2} \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda/2)\delta^2}\mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda/2)(s-t)^2}$, so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t-\delta} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2}\,ds + \int_{t+\delta}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2}\,ds \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda/2)\delta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda/2)(s-t)^2}\,ds$$
$$= \mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda/2)\delta^2}(2\pi/\lambda)^{1/2}.$$

Set $M := \|f\|_m \in \mathbb{R}^{\geqslant}$. For $k \leqslant m$ we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f^{(k)}(s) - f^{(k)}(t))\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2}\,ds = \int_{-\infty}^{t-\delta} (\dots)\,ds + \int_{t-\delta}^{t+\delta} (\dots)\,ds + \int_{t+\delta}^{\infty} (\dots)\,ds,$$

hence,

$$|f_\lambda^{(k)}(t) - f^{(k)}(t)| \leqslant (\lambda/\pi)^{1/2}\Bigg(M\int_{-\infty}^{t-\delta} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2}\,ds +$$
$$(\varepsilon/2)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2}\,ds + M\int_{t+\delta}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda(s-t)^2}\,ds\Bigg)$$
$$\leqslant (\varepsilon/2) + \sqrt{2}M\mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda/2)\delta^2}.$$

Thus, if λ is so large that $\sqrt{2}M\mathrm{e}^{-(\lambda/2)\delta^2} \leqslant \varepsilon/2$, then $\|f_\lambda - f\|_m \leqslant \varepsilon$. □

In the next lemma we let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be nonempty and open and let K range over nonempty compact subsets of U and m over the natural numbers $\leqslant r$.

Lemma A.3. *Let (f_n) be a sequence in $\mathcal{C}^r(U)$ which, for all K, m, is a cauchy sequence with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{K;m}$. Then there exists $f \in \mathcal{C}^r(U)$ such that for all K, m we have $\|f_n - f\|_{K;m} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.*

Proof. For all K, m, $(f_n^{(m)})$ is a cauchy sequence with respect to $\|\cdot\|_K$. Hence, for each m we obtain an $f^m \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ such that for all K, $\|f_n^{(m)} - f^m\|_K \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$; cf. [Die60, (7.2.1)]. Set $f := f^0$. By induction on $m \leqslant r$ we show that $f \in \mathcal{C}^m(U)$ and $f^{(m)} = f^m$. This is clear for $m = 0$, so suppose $0 < m \leqslant r$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{m-1}(U)$, $f^{(m-1)} = f^{m-1}$. Let $a \in U$, and take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $K := [a-\varepsilon, a+\varepsilon] \subseteq U$. Let $t \in K \setminus \{a\}$. Then for each n we have s_n with $|a - s_n| \leqslant |a - t|$ such that

$$f_n^{(m-1)}(t) - f_n^{(m-1)}(a) = f_n^{(m)}(s_n)\cdot(t-a).$$

Take a subsequence (s_{n_k}) of (s_n) and $s = s(t)$ with $\lim_{k\to\infty} s_{n_k} = s$. Then $|a - s| \leqslant |a - t| \leqslant \varepsilon$ and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}(f_{n_k}^{(m-1)}(t) - f_{n_k}^{(m-1)}(a)) = f^{m-1}(t) - f^{m-1}(a) = f^{(m-1)}(t) - f^{(m-1)}(a)$$

and $\lim_{k\to\infty} f_{n_k}^{(m)}(s_{n_k}) = f^m(s)$, since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|f_n^{(m)} - f^m\|_K = 0$. Hence,

$$f^{(m-1)}(t) - f^{(m-1)}(a) = f^m(s)\cdot(t-a),$$

where $f^m(s(t)) \to f^m(a)$ as $t \to a$, since f^m is continuous at a. □

We now prove Theorem 2.1. Let (a_n), (b_n), (ε_n) be sequences in $\mathbb{R}$ and (r_n) in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $a_0 = b_0$, (a_n) is strictly decreasing, (b_n) is strictly increasing, and $\varepsilon_n > 0$, $r_n \leqslant r$ for all n. Set $I := \bigcup_n K_n$, where $K_n := [a_n, b_n]$, and let $f \in \mathcal{C}^r(I)$. *We need to show the existence of a $g \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(I)$ such that $\|f - g\|_{K_{n+1}\setminus K_n;\, r_n} < \varepsilon_n$ for each n.* Replacing ε_n by $\min\{\varepsilon_n, 1/n+1\}$ and r_n by $\max\{r_0, \dots, r_n\}$ we first arrange that $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $r_n \leqslant r_{n+1}$ for all n. Set

$$L_n := K_{n+1} \setminus K_n = [a_{n+1}, a_n) \cup (b_n, b_{n+1}],$$

and take $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\varphi_n = 0$ on a neighborhood of K_{n-1} (satisfied automatically for $n = 0$, by convention), $\varphi_n = 1$ on a neighborhood of $\mathrm{cl}(L_n) = [a_{n+1}, a_n] \cup [b_n, b_{n+1}]$, and

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press




Figure A.1. The hump function β_n.

$\operatorname{supp}\varphi_n \subseteq K_{n+2}$. For example, for $n \geqslant 1$, $\alpha_{a,b} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ as in [AvdDvdH24a, (3.4)], and sufficiently small positive $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n)$, set

$$\alpha_n(t) := \begin{cases} \alpha_{a_{n+2}+\varepsilon, a_{n+1}-\varepsilon}(t) & \text{if } t \leqslant a_n, \\ 1 - \alpha_{a_n+\varepsilon, a_{n-1}-\varepsilon}(t) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_n(t) := \begin{cases} \alpha_{b_{n-1}+\varepsilon, b_n-\varepsilon}(t) & \text{if } t \leqslant b_n, \\ 1 - \alpha_{b_{n+1}+\varepsilon, b_{n+2}-\varepsilon}(t) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and put $\varphi_n := \alpha_n + \beta_n$. (See Figure A.1.)

With $M_n := 1 + 2^{r_n}\|\varphi_n\|_{r_n}$, choose $\delta_n \in \mathbb{R}^>$ so that for all n,

$$2\delta_{n+1} \leqslant \delta_n, \quad \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \delta_m M_{m+1} \leqslant \varepsilon_n/4. \tag{A.2}$$

Given $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ with bounded support and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^>$, let $I_\lambda(g) := g_\lambda$ be as in (A.1), with g in place of f. Next, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^r(I)$ be given. Then we inductively define sequences (λ_n) in $\mathbb{R}^>$ and (g_n) in $\mathcal{C}^\omega(\mathbb{R})$ as follows. Let $\lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}^>$ and $g_m \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ for $m < n$, then consider the function $h_n \in \mathcal{C}^r(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$h_n(t) := \begin{cases} \varphi_n(t) \cdot (f(t) - (g_0(t) + \cdots + g_{n-1}(t))) & \text{if } t \in I, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\operatorname{supp} h_n \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\varphi_n$ is bounded. Put $g_n := I_{\lambda_n}(h_n) \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(\mathbb{R})$ where we take $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}^>$ such that $\|g_n - h_n\|_{r_n} < \delta_n$ (any sufficiently large λ_n will do, by Lemma A.2). Then we have $\|g_{n+1} - h_{n+1}\|_{K_n;\, r_{n+1}} < \delta_{n+1}$, and since φ_{n+1} and thus also h_{n+1} vanish on a neighborhood of K_n, this yields

$$\|g_{n+1}\|_{K_n;\, r_{n+1}} < \delta_{n+1}. \tag{A.3}$$

Likewise, since $\varphi_n = 1$ on a neighborhood of $\operatorname{cl}(L_n)$,

$$\|f - (g_0 + \cdots + g_n)\|_{L_n;\, r_n} < \delta_n. \tag{A.4}$$

In addition,

$$\|g_{n+1} - h_{n+1}\|_{L_n;\, r_n} \leqslant \|g_{n+1} - h_{n+1}\|_{r_{n+1}} < \delta_{n+1},$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

and thus by (2.1) and (A.4):

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_{n+1}\|_{L_n;\,r_n} &\leqslant \|g_{n+1}-h_{n+1}\|_{L_n;\,r_n}+\|\varphi_{n+1}\cdot(f-(g_0+\cdots+g_n))\|_{L_n;\,r_n}\\ &\leqslant \delta_{n+1}+2^{r_n}\|\varphi_{n+1}\|_{L_n;\,r_n}\cdot\|f-(g_0+\cdots+g_n)\|_{L_n;\,r_n}\\ &\leqslant \delta_{n+1}+M_{n+1}\delta_n.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (A.3) and $r_n\leqslant r_{n+1}$ we have $\|g_{n+1}\|_{K_n;\,r_n}<\delta_{n+1}$. Hence, by (A.2):

$$\|g_{n+1}\|_{K_{n+1};\,r_n}\leqslant \delta_{n+1}+M_{n+1}\delta_n+\delta_{n+1}\leqslant M_{n+1}\delta_n+\delta_n\leqslant 2\delta_n M_{n+1}. \tag{A.5}$$

Let $K\subseteq I$ be nonempty and compact, and let $m\leqslant r_n$ for some n. We claim that $(g_0+\cdots+g_i)$ is a cauchy sequence with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{K;\,m}$. To see this, let $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{R}^>$ be given, and take n such that $K\subseteq K_{n+1}$, $m\leqslant r_n$, and $\varepsilon_n\leqslant 2\varepsilon$. Then by (A.2) and (A.5) we have for $j>i\geqslant n$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_{i+1}+\cdots+g_j\|_{K;\,m}&\leqslant \|g_{i+1}\|_{K;\,m}+\cdots+\|g_j\|_{K;\,m}\\ &\leqslant \|g_{i+1}\|_{K_{i+1};\,r_i}+\cdots+\|g_j\|_{K_j;\,r_{j-1}}\\ &\leqslant 2\delta_i M_{i+1}+\cdots+2\delta_{j-1}M_j\leqslant \varepsilon_i/2\leqslant\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Lemma A.3 yields a function $g\colon I\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $g(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}g_i(t)$ for all $t\in I$ and $g\in\mathcal{C}^{r_n}(I)$ for all n. In the same way, using (A.2) and (A.5) and denoting the restriction of g_i to I also by g_i, we obtain

$$\|g-(g_0+\cdots+g_n)\|_{L_n;\,r_n}=\Big\|\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty}g_i\Big\|_{L_n;\,r_n}\leqslant\varepsilon_n/2$$

and hence by (A.2) and (A.4):

$$\begin{aligned}\|f-g\|_{L_n;\,r_n}&\leqslant\|f-(g_0+\cdots+g_n)\|_{L_n;\,r_n}+\|g-(g_0+\cdots+g_n)\|_{L_n;\,r_n}\\ &\leqslant\delta_n+\tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_n\ <\ \varepsilon_n.\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof we are going to choose sequences (g_n) and (λ_n) as before so that g is analytic. Now for $t\in\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$g_n(t)=(\lambda_n/\pi)^{1/2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}h_n(s)\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_n(s-t)^2}\,ds=(\lambda_n/\pi)^{1/2}\int_{a_{n+2}}^{b_{n+2}}h_n(s)\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_n(s-t)^2}\,ds$$

and g_n is the restriction to $\mathbb{R}$ of the entire function $\widehat{g}_n$ given by

$$\widehat{g}_n(z)=(\lambda_n/\pi)^{1/2}\int_{a_{n+2}}^{b_{n+2}}h_n(s)\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_n(s-z)^2}ds\quad(z\in\mathbb{C}).$$

(See the proof of Lemma A.1.) Put

$$\rho_n:=\tfrac{1}{2}\min\{(a_n-a_{n+1})^2,(b_{n+1}-b_n)^2\}\in\mathbb{R}^>$$

and

$$U_n:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\ a_{n+1}<\operatorname{Re}z<b_{n+1},\ \operatorname{Re}((z-a_{n+1})^2),\ \operatorname{Re}((z-b_{n+1})^2)>\rho_n\},$$

an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ containing K_n such that $\operatorname{Re}((s-z)^2)>\rho_n$ for all $s\in\mathbb{R}\setminus K_{n+1}$ and $z\in U_n$ (cf. Figure A.2).

We also set

$$H_m:=2(\lambda_m/\pi)^{1/2}\,\|h_m\|_{K_{m+2}}\,(b_{m+2}-a_{m+2})\in\mathbb{R}^{\geqslant}.$$

Recall that h_m only depends on the g_j with $j<m$. Fix a sequence (c_m) of positive reals such that $\sum_m c_m<\infty$. Then we can and do choose the sequences (g_m), (λ_m) so that in addition

$$H_m\exp(-\lambda_m/m)\leqslant c_m\quad\text{for all } m\geqslant 1.$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press




FIGURE A.2. The domain U_n.

Then

$$\sum_m H_m \exp(-\lambda_m \rho) \ < \infty \quad \text{for all } \rho \in \mathbb{R}^>. \tag{A.6}$$

It is enough that for each n the series $\sum_m \widehat{g}_m$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of U_n, because then by [Die60, (9.12.1)] we have a holomorphic function

$$z \mapsto \sum_m \widehat{g}_m(z) \ : \ U := \bigcup_n U_n \to \mathbb{C}$$

whose restriction to I is g. To prove such convergence, fix n and let $m \geqslant n+2$. Then $\operatorname{supp} h_m \subseteq K_{m+2} \setminus K_{m-1} \subseteq K_{m+2} \setminus K_{n+1}$. Hence, $|\widehat{g}_m(z)| \leqslant H_m \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_m \rho_n}$ for $z \in U_n$. Together with (A.6) this now yields that $\sum_m \widehat{g}_m$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of U_n.

In the remainder of this appendix we discuss how to control the domain of the holomorphic function $\widehat{g}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1. This leads to improvements of Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3, which might be useful elsewhere: see Corollaries A.6 and A.7. For the next corollary we are in the setting of that theorem and $f \in \mathcal{C}^r(I)$. With $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that $I = (\alpha, \beta)$, put

$$V := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ \operatorname{Re}(z) \in I, \ |\operatorname{Im} z| < \operatorname{Re}(z) - \alpha, \ \beta - \operatorname{Re}(z)\},$$

an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ containing I.

COROLLARY A.4. *Suppose $a_n - a_{n+1} \to 0$ and $b_{n+1} - b_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then there is a holomorphic $\widehat{g} \colon V \to \mathbb{C}$, real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$, such that $g := \widehat{g}|_I \in \mathcal{C}^\omega(I)$ satisfies*

$$\|f - g\|_{K_{n+1} \setminus K_n;\, r_n} < \varepsilon_n, \ \text{for all } n.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that the open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ in the proof of Theorem 2.1 contains V. Note that $\rho_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $z = x + yi \in V$ $(x, y \in \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$(x - a_{n+1})^2 - y^2 - \rho_n \to (x - \alpha)^2 - y^2 > 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

and, thus, $\operatorname{Re}((z - a_{n+1})^2) = (x - a_{n+1})^2 - y^2 > \rho_n$ for all sufficiently large n. Likewise, $\operatorname{Re}((z - b_{n+1})^2) > \rho_n$ for all sufficiently large n. Therefore, $z \in U_n$ for sufficiently large n. □

COROLLARY A.5. *Suppose $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^r(\mathbb{R})$, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Then there is an entire function $g \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $|(f - g)^{(k)}| \leqslant \varepsilon$ on $\mathbb{R}$ for all $k \leqslant r$.*

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Proof. Set $b_n := \log(n+1)$, $a_n := -b_n$, and $K_n := [a_n, b_n]$. Then $\bigcup_n K_n = \mathbb{R}$ and $a_n - a_{n+1} \to 0$ and $b_{n+1} - b_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Set $\varepsilon_n := \min\{\varepsilon(t) : t \in K_{n+1}\}$ and $r_n := r$. Then $V = \mathbb{C}$ and we apply Corollary A.4. □

Remark. Corollary A.5 is due to Carleman [Car27] for $r = 0$, to Kaplan [Kap55] for $r = 1$, and to Hoischen [Hoi73, Satz 2] in general; see [Bur79, Chapter VIII, pp. 273–276, 291]. In a similar way, Corollary A.4 also yields the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-version of Corollary A.5 in [Hoi73, Satz 1]. For a multivariate version of these facts, see [AADC12].

Given any a we now consider the open sector V_a in the complex plane given by

$$V_a := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\mathrm{Im}(z)| < \mathrm{Re}(z) - a\} = a + \Big\{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : -\frac{\pi}{4} < \arg z < \frac{\pi}{4}\Big\}.$$

Corollary A.6. *Let f, (b_n), (ε_n), (r_n) be as in Corollary 2.2. Then there are $a < b$ and a holomorphic function $\widehat{g}\colon V_a \to \mathbb{C}$ which is real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $g := \widehat{g}|_{\mathbb{R}^{\geqslant b}} \in \mathcal{C}^\omega_b$ satisfies $\|f - g\|_{[b_n, b_{n+1}];\, r_n} < \varepsilon_n$ for all n.*

Proof. We first arrange that $b_{n+1} - b_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. For this, let (b^*_m) be the strictly increasing sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\{b^*_0, b^*_1, \dots\} = \{b_0, b_1, \dots\} \cup \{b + \log 1, b + \log 2, \dots\}.$$

For each m, set $\varepsilon^*_m := \varepsilon_n$, $r^*_m := r_n$ with n such that $[b^*_m, b^*_{m+1}] \subseteq [b_n, b_{n+1}]$, and replace (b_n), (ε_n), (r_n) by (b^*_m), (ε^*_m), (r^*_m). Now we argue as in the proof of Corollary 2.2, using Corollary A.4 instead of Theorem 2.1. □

Now the proof of Corollary 2.3, using Corollary A.6 instead of Corollary 2.2, gives the following.

Corollary A.7. *Let f, ε be as in Corollary 2.3. Then there are $a < b$ and a holomorphic function $\widehat{g}\colon V_a \to \mathbb{C}$, real-valued on $\mathbb{R}$, such that $g := \widehat{g}|_{\mathbb{R}^{\geqslant b}} \in \mathcal{C}^\omega_b$ satisfies $|(f - g)^{(k)}(t)| < \varepsilon(t)$ for all $t \geqslant b$ and $k \leqslant \min\{r, 1/\varepsilon(t)\}$.*

Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous referee for suggestions as to how to improve the readability of the paper.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Journal information

Compositio Mathematica is owned by the Foundation Compositio Mathematica and published by the London Mathematical Society in partnership with Cambridge University Press. All surplus income from the publication of *Compositio Mathematica* is returned to mathematics and higher education through the charitable activities of the Foundation, the London Mathematical Society and Cambridge University Press.

References

AADC12 C. Andradas, E. Aneiros and A. Díaz-Cano, *An extension of Whitney approximation theorem,* in *Contribuciones matemáticas en honor a juan tarrés,* ed. M. Castrillón López, M. I. Garrido, J. Á. Jaramillo, J. M. Ansemil and J. Rojo (Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Facultad de Ciencias Matemáticas, Madrid, 2012), 17–25.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

AvdDvdH17 M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries and J. van der Hoeven, *Asymptotic differential algebra and model theory of transseries,* Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 195 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2017).

AvdDvdH18 M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries and J. van der Hoeven, *Maximal immediate extensions of valued differential fields,* Proc. London Math. Soc. **117** (2018), 376–406.

AvdDvdH19 M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries and J. van der Hoeven, *The surreal numbers as a universal H-field,* J. Eur. Math. Soc. **21** (2019), 1179–1199.

AvdDvdH22 M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries and J. van der Hoeven, *Revisiting closed asymptotic couples*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **65** (2022), 530–555.

AvdDvdH24a M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries and J. van der Hoeven, *Filling gaps in Hardy fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **815** (2024a), 107–172.

AvdDvdH24b M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries and J. van der Hoeven, *Constructing ω-free Hardy fields,* J. Anal. Math., Preprint (2024b, to appear), arXiv:2404.03695.

AvdDvdH24c M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries and J. van der Hoeven, *Normalizing asymptotic differential equations*, Preprint (2024c), arXiv:2403.19732.

AvdDvdH24d M. Aschenbrenner, L. van den Dries and J. van der Hoeven, *The theory of maximal Hardy fields*, Preprint (2024d), arXiv:2408.05232.

Bar73 J. Barwise, *Back and forth through infinitary logic,* in *Studies in model theory,* ed. M. Morley, MAA Studies in Mathematics, vol. 8 (Mathematical Association of America, Buffalo, NY, 1973), 5–34.

BM18 A. Berarducci and V. Mantova, *Surreal numbers, derivations, and transseries,* J. Eur. Math. Soc. **20** (2018), 339–390.

Bes24 A. Besikowitsch, *Über analytische Funktionen mit vorgeschriebenen Werten ihrer Ableitungen,* Math. Z. **21** (1924), 111–118.

Bla10 A. Blass, *Combinatorial cardinal characteristics of the continuum,* in *Handbook of set theory,* ed. M. Foreman and A. Kanamori, vol. 1 (Springer, Dordrecht, 2010), 395–489.

Bor95 E. Borel, *Sur quelques points de la théorie des fonctions,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) **12** (1895), 9–55.

Bur79 R. Burckel, *An introduction to classical complex analysis. Vol. 1,* Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 82 (Academic Press, New York, 1979).

Car27 T. Carleman, *Sur un théorème de Weierstrass,* Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys. **20B** (1927), 1–5.

Cie88 K. Ciesielski, *A short ordered commutative domain whose quotient field is not short,* Algebra Universalis **25** (1988), 1–6.

Cie91 K. Ciesielski, *2^{2^ω} nonisomorphic short ordered commutative domains whose quotient fields are long,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991), 217–227.

DW96 H. G. Dales and W. H. Woodin, *Super-real fields,* London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, vol. 14 (Oxford University Press, New York, 1996).

Die60 J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of modern analysis,* Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 10 (Academic Press, New York, 1960).

Est77 J. Esterle, *Solution d'un problème d'Erdös, Gillman et Henriksen et application à l'étude des homomorphismes de $C(K)$,* Acta Math. (Hungarica) **30** (1977), 113–127.

For11 A. Fornasiero, *Dimensions, matroids, and dense pairs of first-order structures,* Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **162** (2011), 514–543.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Gok97 D. Gokhman, *Functions in a Hardy field not ultimately C^∞*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **32** (1997), 1–6.

Gre08 K. Grelowski, *Extending Hardy fields by non-$\mathcal{C}^\infty$-germs,* Ann. Polon. Math. **93** (2008), 281–297.

HS82 L. Harrington and S. Shelah, *Counting equivalence classes for co-κ-Souslin equivalence relations,* in *Logic colloquium '80,* ed. D. van Dalen, D. Lascar and T. J. Smiley, Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 108 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982), 147–152.

Hau07 F. Hausdorff, *Untersuchungen über Ordnungstypen,* IV, V, Ber. Königl. Sächs. Gesell. Wiss. Leipzig Math.-Phys. Kl. **59** (1907), 84–159.

Har05 E. Harzheim, *Ordered sets,* Advances in Mathematics, vol. 7 (Springer, New York, 2005).

Hoi73 L. Hoischen, *Eine Verschärfung eines Approximationssatzes von Carleman,* J. Approx. Theory **9** (1973), 272–277.

Kap55 W. Kaplan, *Approximation by entire functions,* Michigan Math. J. **3** (1955), 43–52.

Nar73 R. Narasimhan, *Analysis on Real and Complex Manifolds,* second edition, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, vol. 1 (Masson & Cie, Éditeurs, Paris; North-Holland, Amsterdam; Elsevier, New York, 1973).

PC19 N. Pynn-Coates, *Newtonian valued differential fields with arbitrary value group*, Comm. Algebra **47** (2019), 2766–2776.

RSW03 J.-P. Rolin, P. Speissegger and A. J. Wilkie, *Quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman classes and o-minimality,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), 751–777.

Ros82 J. Rosenstein, *Linear orderings,* Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 98 (Academic Press, New York, 1982).

Rud77 M. E. Rudin, *Martin's axiom,* in *Handbook of mathematical logic,* J. Barwise (ed.), Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, vol. 90 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977), 491–501.

Sjö70 G. Sjödin, *Hardy-fields*, Ark. Mat. **8** (1970), 217–237.

ST71 R. M. Solovay and S. Tennenbaum, *Iterated Cohen extensions and Souslin's problem,* Ann. Math. (2) **94** (1971), 201–245.

DMM01 L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre and D. Marker, *Logarithmic-exponential series,* Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **111** (2001), 61–113.

Ury23 P. Urysohn, *Un théorème sur la puissance des ensembles ordonnés,* Fund. Math. **5** (1923), 14–19.

Ury24 P. Urysohn, *Remarque sur ma note: 'Un théorème sur la puissance des ensembles ordonnés',* Fund. Math. **6** (1924), 278.

Whi34 H. Whitney, *Analytic extension of differentiable functions defined on closed sets,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1934), 63–89.

Matthias Aschenbrenner matthias.aschenbrenner@univie.ac.at
Kurt Gödel Research Center for Mathematical Logic, Universität Wien, 1090 Wien, Austria

Lou van den Dries vddries@illinois.edu
Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010437X26102929 Published online by Cambridge University Press