Tables

1.1 Crime calls for service and crime incidents (2012) in sampled street segments *page* 24
2.1 Demographics capturing concentrated disadvantage by segment type 40
2.2 Concentrated disadvantage factor score by segment type 41
2.3 Residential stability factor score by segment type 42
2.4 Social ties by segment type 43
2.5 Social networks by segment type 43
2.6 Individual measures of collective efficacy by segment type (wave 1) 46
2.7 Collective efficacy mean scores by segment type 48
2.8 Individual measures of observed social and physical disorder by segment type 51
2.9 Observed social and physical disorder factor scores by segment type 53
2.10 Individual perceived social and physical disorder measures by segment type (wave 1) 54
2.11 Perceived social and physical disorder mean scores by segment type 55
2.12 Individual fear of crime measures by segment type (wave 1) 57
2.13 Fear of crime mean scores by segment type 57
4.1 Citizen-initiated crime CFS and crime incident for 2017 by street segment 87
4.2 Descriptive statistics of variables 91
4.3 Mixed-effects negative binomial models predicting citizen-initiated CFS or crime incidents 96
5.1 Descriptive statistics of variables 113
5.2 Random intercept models predicting street-level collective efficacy at wave 3 116

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 16:29:17, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009352864

5.3 Random intercept models predicting street-level collective efficacy at wave 3, excluding social disorder measures 119
6.1 Individual-level characteristics of survey participants 137
6.2 Property victimization by segment type for each wave 138
6.3 Wave 1 multilevel logistic regression predicting property victimization 140
6.4 Wave 2 multilevel logistic regression predicting property victimization 141
6.5 Wave 3 multilevel logistic regression predicting property victimization 142
7.1 Comparing health diagnoses across street segments (wave 1) 155
7.2 Comparing overall health status across street segments (% very poor or poor) 157
7.3 Comparing health problems that resulted from living on the block across street segments 158
7.4 Comparing negative quality of health across street segments 158
7.5 Comparing life activities limitations due to health problems across street segments 159
7.6 Comparing depression diagnosis across street segments 160
7.7 Comparing moderate to severe depression symptom across street segments 160
7.8 Comparing PTSD symptom across street segments 161
7.9 Comparing the percentage of smokers across street segments 162
7.10 Comparing the percentage of heavy drinkers across street segments 163
7.11 Comparing the percentage of marijuana use across street segments 164
7.12 Comparing the percentage of hard drug use across street segments 164
7.13 Results from propensity score analysis comparing health outcomes across cold streets versus crime hot spots 167
7.14 Results from propensity score analysis comparing health outcomes across cold streets versus violent crime hot spots 169
7.15 Results from propensity score analysis comparing health outcomes across cold streets versus drug crime hot spots 170
A2.1 Principal component analyses (PCA) for key measures from wave 1 data (varimax rotation) 200
A4.1 Correlations between variables used in Chapter 4 203
A4.2 Mixed-effects negative binomial models that predict citizen-initiated CFS or crime incident excluding baseline crime measures 204
A4.3 VIFs for variables in the final model of Chapter 4 (Model 1 in Table 4.3) 205

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 16:29:17, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009352864

A4.4 VIFs for variables in the final model of Chapter 4 (Model 2 in Table 4.3) 206
A4.5 Maximum likelihood spatial lag models predicting citizen-initiated CFS or crime incident 207
A5.1 Correlations between variables used in Chapter 5 208
A5.2 VIFs for variables in the final model of Chapter 5 (Model 4 in Table 5.2) 209
A5.3 Random intercept models predicting only "social control" scale (wave 3) at the street level 210
A5.4 Models examining the mediation effects of perceived social disorder on the relationships between observed social disorder and collective efficacy 212
A5.5 Mixed-effects models excluding segment type or logged crime incidents 213
A5.6 Maximum likelihood spatial lag model predicting collective efficacy at wave 3 214
A6.1 Violent victimization on street segment 215
A6.2 Unconditional three-level random intercept models of property victimization 215
A6.3 Principal component analyses (PCA) and reliability alpha coefficient for low self-control scale 215
A6.4 Wave 1 multilevel logistic regression predicting property victimization with logged CFS 2012 216
A6.5 Wave 2 multilevel logistic regression predicting property victimization with logged CFS 2014 217
A6.6 Wave 3 multilevel logistic regression predicting property victimization with logged CFS 2016 218

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 16:29:17, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009352864

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA METROPOLITANA, on 14 Nov 2026 at 16:29:17, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009352864