Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2008), **28**, 1701–1711 © *2008 Cambridge University Press*
doi:10.1017/S0143385708000059 *Printed in the United Kingdom*

On the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence

OSCAR F. BANDTLOW and OLIVER JENKINSON

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary, University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK

(e-mail: o.bandtlow@qmul.ac.uk, omj@maths.qmul.ac.uk)

(*Received* 23 *July* 2007 *and accepted in revised form* 19 *December* 2007)

Abstract. For certain analytic data, we show that the eigenvalue sequence of the associated transfer operator $\mathcal{L}$ is insensitive to the holomorphic function space on which $\mathcal{L}$ acts. Explicit bounds on this eigenvalue sequence are established.

1. *Introduction*

For compact $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and appropriate real analytic $T_i : X \to X$ and $w_i : X \to \mathbb{C}$, Ruelle [**Rue1**] considered the action of the transfer operator $\mathcal{L}f := \sum_i w_i \cdot f \circ T_i$ on $U(D)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is a domain on which all the T_i and w_i are holomorphic, and $U(D)$ consists of those holomorphic functions on D which extend continuously to the closure of D. Ruelle proved that $\mathcal{L} : U(D) \to U(D)$ is nuclear, hence in particular compact, and that its eigenvalue sequence $\{\lambda_n(\mathcal{L})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, henceforth referred to as the *Ruelle eigenvalue sequence*, is given by the reciprocals of the zeros of a dynamical determinant Δ (see (9) for the definition).

In view of its various interpretations and applications (e.g. correlation decay rates [**Bal, CPR**], Fourier resonances [**Rue2**], Laplacians for hyperbolic surfaces [**Pol1, PR**], and Feigenbaum period-doubling [**AAC, CCR, JMS, Pol2**]), it is desirable to establish explicit bounds on the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence. In the case where D may be chosen as a ball and the T_i all map D within the concentric ball whose radius is r times that of D, with $r < 1$, we establish (in Theorem 3.2) the stretched-exponential bound

$$|\lambda_n(\mathcal{L})| < \frac{W}{r^d} n^{1/2}\, r^{(d/(d+1))(d!)^{1/d} n^{1/d}} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \tag{1}$$

where $W := \sup_{z \in D} \sum_i |w_i(z)|$.

We go on to investigate properties of transfer operators acting on other spaces of holomorphic functions, and prove (Theorem 4.2) that the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence is in a sense *universal*: for a wide range of domains D and a broad class of spaces $A(D)$ of holomorphic functions on D, the eigenvalue sequence of $\mathcal{L} : A(D) \to A(D)$ is precisely the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence. This universality suggests the possibility of sharpening

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

the estimate (1), by adapting the proof of Theorem 3.2 to some other space $A(D)$. In particular, the choice of $A(D)$ as the Hardy space $H^2(D)$ is known to yield a concrete eigenvalue bound for $\mathcal{L} : H^2(D) \to H^2(D)$ (see [**BJ1**]). Intriguingly, this bound turns out to be *complementary* to (1): in every dimension d, and for every $r < 1$, (1) is superior for sufficiently small n, while the Hardy space bound is superior for sufficiently large n. If $N(r, d)$ denotes the integer such that (1) gives the sharper bound on $|\lambda_n(\mathcal{L})|$ precisely for $1 \le n \le N(r, d)$, then both $r \mapsto N(r, d)$ and $d \mapsto N(r, d)$ are increasing (see Corollary 4.4 and Remark 4.5); in other words, (1) is more useful if the T_i are weakly contracting, or if the ambient dimension is high.

2. *Transfer operators on favourable spaces of holomorphic functions*

Notation 2.1. Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of strictly positive integers, and set $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, equip $\mathbb{C}^d$ with the Euclidean inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{C}^d}$, the corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}$, and the induced Euclidean metric, denoted δ. For $X \subset \mathbb{C}^d$, we define $\Delta_\varepsilon(X) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \delta(z, X) < \varepsilon\}$ to be the Euclidean ε-neighbourhood of X. The set of all bounded domains (non-empty connected open subsets) in $\mathbb{C}^d$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}_d$. For two bounded open sets $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^d$, we write $\Delta_1 \Subset \Delta_2$ to mean that $\overline{\Delta}_1 \subset \Delta_2$.

Let $B = (B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ be a Banach space. We will often write $\|\cdot\|$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_B$ when this is unlikely to lead to confusion. For $X \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ compact and $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$, define

$$\mathrm{Hol}(D, B) := \{f : D \to B \mid f \text{ holomorphic}\},$$

$$C(X, B) := \{f : X \to B \mid f \text{ continuous}\}, \quad \|f\|_{C(X,B)} := \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x)\|_B,$$

$$U(D, B) := \{f : \overline{D} \to B \mid f \in C(\overline{D}, B) \cap \mathrm{Hol}(D, B)\}, \quad \|f\|_{U(D,B)} := \sup_{z \in \overline{D}} \|f(z)\|_B.$$

Note that $C(X, B)$ and $U(D, B)$ are Banach spaces when equipped with the indicated norms, while $\mathrm{Hol}(D, B)$ is a Fréchet space when equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of D. If $(B, \|\cdot\|) = (\mathbb{C}, |\cdot|)$, then we use $C(X)$, $\mathrm{Hol}(D)$ and $U(D)$ to denote $C(X, \mathbb{C})$, $\mathrm{Hol}(D, \mathbb{C})$ and $U(D, \mathbb{C})$, respectively.

We use $L(B)$ to denote the space of bounded linear operators from a Banach space $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ to itself, always equipped with the induced operator norm.

If T is holomorphic on some $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$, its derivative at $z \in D$ is denoted by $T'(z)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a non-empty countable set. For $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$, a collection $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} = (T_i, D)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of holomorphic maps $T_i \in U(D, \mathbb{C}^d)$ is called a *holomorphic map system* (on D) if $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} T_i(D) \Subset D$.

Write $T_{\underline{i}} := T_{i_n} \circ \cdots \circ T_{i_1}$ for $\underline{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathcal{I}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $X \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ compact, a collection $(T_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} = (T_i, X)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of maps $T_i : X \to X$ is a *C^ω map system* (on X) if there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$ with $X \Subset D$ such that each T_i extends holomorphically to D and $(T_i, D)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a holomorphic map system. Any such D is called *admissible* for the C^ω map system $(T_i, X)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a C^ω map system $(T_i, X)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is called *complex n-contracting* (or simply *complex contracting*) if there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$, with $X \Subset D$, such that $T'_{\underline{i}} \in U(D, L(\mathbb{C}^d))$ for every $\underline{i} \in \mathcal{I}^n$ and

$$\sup_{\underline{i} \in \mathcal{I}^n} \|T'_{\underline{i}}\|_{U(D, L(\mathbb{C}^d))} < 1. \tag{2}$$

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Note that if $\mathcal{I}$ is finite, then (2) is implied by the condition $\sup_{\underline{i}\in\mathcal{I}^n} \|T'_{\underline{i}}\|_{C(X,L(\mathbb{C}^d))} < 1$.

Example 2.3. If $X = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{C}$, define the *Gauss map system* $(T_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be $T_i(x) = 1/(i + x)$ (the T_i are the inverse branches to the Gauss map $x \mapsto 1/x \pmod 1$ on X). This is a C^ω map system on X: for example, if $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the open disc of radius $3/2$ centred at the point 1, then $(T_i, D)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is a holomorphic map system. The system is also complex contracting, because $\sup_{\underline{i}\in\mathcal{I}^2} \|T'_{\underline{i}}\|_{U(D)} = |T'_{(1,1)}(-1/2)| = 4/9 < 1$ (note that we cannot choose $n = 1$ in (2), because $T'_1(0) = -1$).

Complex contraction guarantees the existence of an admissible domain, and this domain may be chosen arbitrarily close to X.

LEMMA 2.4. *If a C^ω map system on X is complex contracting, then there exists a family $\{D_\theta\}_{\theta\in(0,\Theta)}$ of admissible domains such that $\bigcap_{\theta\in(0,\Theta)} D_\theta = X$.*

Proof. Let $(T_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ denote the C^ω map system on X. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$ such that $\gamma := \sup_{\underline{i}\in\mathcal{I}^n} \|T'_{\underline{i}}\|_{U(D,L(\mathbb{C}^d))} < 1$. From the several-variables mean value theorem [**Ave**, Theorem 2.3], for each $\underline{i} \in \mathcal{I}^n$ the map $T_{\underline{i}}$ is γ-Lipschitz, with respect to Euclidean distance δ, on any convex subset of D. Now set $\beta := \gamma^{1/n} < 1$ and define the distance

$$\operatorname{dist}(x, y) = \sup_{\underline{i}\in\mathcal{I}^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta^{n-1-k}\delta(T_{P_k(\underline{i})}(x), T_{P_k(\underline{i})}(y)),$$

where, for $1 \le k \le n-1$, $P_k : \mathcal{I}^{n-1} \to \mathcal{I}^k$ denotes the projection $P_k\underline{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ onto the first k coordinates, with the convention that $T_{P_0\underline{i}} = \mathrm{id}$. Note that for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, the map T_i is β-Lipschitz with respect to dist on any convex subset of D. Moreover, dist and δ generate the same topology on δ-compact subsets of D. To see this, observe that on the one hand we clearly have $\delta(x, y) \le \beta^{1-n}\operatorname{dist}(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in D$; on the other hand, if K is a δ-compact convex subset of D, then by Cauchy's theorem there is $C > 0$ such that $\|T'_{\underline{i}}\|_{C(K,L(\mathbb{C}^d))} \le C$ for every $\underline{i} \in \mathcal{I}^k$, $1 \le k \le n-1$. Thus, by the mean value theorem, $\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \le C\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta^{n-1-k}\delta(x, y)$ for every $x, y \in K$.

Since X is compactly contained in the domain D, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the Euclidean neighbourhood $\Delta_\varepsilon(X)$ is contained in D. Setting $\Theta := \varepsilon\beta^{n-1}$, we see that $D_\theta := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \operatorname{dist}(z, X) < \theta\} \subset \Delta_\varepsilon(X)$ for all $\theta \in (0, \Theta]$, and $\bigcap_{\theta\in(0,\Theta]} D_\theta = X$. If $z \in D_\theta$ and $x \in X$ satisfies $\operatorname{dist}(z, X) = \operatorname{dist}(z, x)$, then we have $x, z \in \Delta_\varepsilon(x)$, a convex subset of D, and so $\operatorname{dist}(T_i(z), T_i(x)) \le \beta \operatorname{dist}(z, x)$. Therefore $\operatorname{dist}(T_i(z), X) \le \operatorname{dist}(T_i(z), T_i(x)) \le \beta \operatorname{dist}(z, x) = \beta \operatorname{dist}(z, X)$, and hence $\bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{I}} T_i(D_\theta) \subset D_{\beta\theta} \Subset D_\theta$, so that D_θ is admissible for $(T_i, X)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$. □

Remark 2.5. The above proof shows that if a C^ω map system on X is complex 1-contracting, then all sufficiently small Euclidean ε-neighbourhoods $\Delta_\varepsilon(X)$ are admissible. This is not the case for the Gauss map system $T_i(z) = 1/(z + i)$ on $X = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{C}$: no Euclidean ε-neighbourhood is admissible, since $\delta(T_1(-\varepsilon), X) > \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a non-empty countable set. A *holomorphic weight system* on $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$ is a collection $(w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}} = (w_i, D)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ of holomorphic functions (called *weight functions*) $w_i \in U(D)$ such that $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|w_i\|_{U(D)} < \infty$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

For $X \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ compact, a collection $(w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}} = (w_i, X)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ of maps $w_i : X \to \mathbb{C}$ is a *C^ω weight system* (on X) if there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$ with $X \Subset D$ such that $(w_i, D)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is a holomorphic weight system. Any such D is called *admissible* for $(w_i, X)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$.

If $(T_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is a holomorphic (respectively, C^ω) map system and $(w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is a holomorphic (respectively, C^ω) weight system, then $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is called a *holomorphic (respectively, C^ω) map–weight system*. A domain $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$ is called *admissible* for a C^ω map–weight system $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ if it is admissible for both $(T_i, X)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ and $(w_i, X)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$.

With each holomorphic map–weight system $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ we associate a linear operator,

$$\mathcal{L}f = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} w_i \cdot f \circ T_i, \tag{3}$$

called the *transfer operator*. It will be seen that the transfer operator $\mathcal{L}$ preserves, and acts compactly upon, the following class of spaces of holomorphic functions.

Definition 2.7. For $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$, a Banach space $A = A(D)$ of functions $f : D \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic on D is called a *favourable space of holomorphic functions (on D)* if:

(i) for each $z \in D$, the point evaluation functional $f \mapsto f(z)$ is continuous on A; and

(ii) A contains $U(D)$, and the natural embedding† $U(D) \hookrightarrow A$ has norm 1.

Remark 2.8. Let $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$. Then $U(D)$ is trivially a favourable space of holomorphic functions. Other examples include, for $p \in [1, \infty]$, the *Bergman spaces* $L^p_{\mathrm{Hol}}(D)$ (see [**Ran**, Ch. I, Corollaries 1.7, 1.10]) and *Hardy spaces* $H^p(D)$ (see [**Kra**, §8.3]). If $p = 2$ and D has C^2 boundary, then $H^2(D)$ can be identified with the $L^2(\partial D, \sigma)$-closure of $U(D)$, where σ denotes $(2d-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the boundary ∂D, normalized so that $\sigma(\partial D) = 1$. In particular, $H^2(D)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product given by $(f, g) = \int_{\partial D} f^* \, \overline{g^*} \, d\sigma$, where, for $h \in H^2(D)$, the symbol h^* denotes the corresponding non-tangential limit function in $L^2(\partial D, \sigma)$ — see [**Kra**, §1.5 and Ch. 8].

Recall (see e.g. [**Pie**, 1.7.1]) that a linear operator $L : B \to B$ on a Banach space‡ B is *p-nuclear* if there exist sequences $b_i \in B$ and $l_i \in B^*$ (the strong dual of B), with $\sum_i (\|b_i\| \, \|l_i\|)^p < \infty$, such that $L(b) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty l_i(b) b_i$ for all $b \in B$. The operator is *strongly nuclear* (or *nuclear of order zero*) if it is p-nuclear for every $p > 0$. It turns out that certain natural embeddings between favourable spaces are strongly nuclear.

LEMMA 2.9. *Let D and D' be domains in $\mathbb{C}^d$ such that $D' \Subset D$. Let A and A' be favourable Banach spaces of holomorphic functions on D and D', respectively. Then $A \subset A'$, and the natural embedding $J : A \hookrightarrow A'$, defined by $Jf = f|_{D'}$, is strongly nuclear.*

Proof. Choose $D'' \in \mathcal{D}_d$ with $D' \Subset D'' \Subset D$, and consider the natural embeddings

$$A \overset{J_1}{\hookrightarrow} \mathrm{Hol}(D'') \overset{J_2}{\hookrightarrow} U(D') \overset{J_3}{\hookrightarrow} A'.$$

Clearly $J = J_3 J_2 J_1$. The unit ball of $U(D')$ is a neighbourhood in $\mathrm{Hol}(D'')$, so the map J_2 is bounded. But the Fréchet space $\mathrm{Hol}(D'')$ is nuclear [**Gro**, II, Corollaire on p. 56],

† The embedding $U(D) \hookrightarrow A$ is automatically continuous: continuity of point evaluation on both A and $U(D)$ implies that it has closed graph; cf. the proof of Lemma 2.9.

‡ See [**Gro**, II, Définition 1, p. 3] for the generalization to locally convex spaces.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

so J_2 is p-nuclear for every $p > 0$ by [**Gro**, II, Corollaire 4, p. 39 and Corollaire 2, p. 61]. It thus suffices to show that J_1 and J_3 are continuous by [**Gro**, I, p. 84 and II, p. 9].

Now, J_3 is continuous since A' is favourable. Finally, to see that J_1 is continuous, we note that by the closed graph theorem (see e.g. [**Scha**, Ch. III, 2.3]) it is enough to show that if $f_n \to f$ in A and $J_1 f_n \to g$ in $\mathrm{Hol}(D'')$, then $g = J_1 f = f|_{D''}$. Since point evaluation is continuous on A, $f_n(z) \to f(z)$ for all $z \in D$ and, in particular, for all $z \in D''$. But point evaluation is also continuous on $\mathrm{Hol}(D'')$, so $f_n(z) = J_1 f_n(z) \to g(z)$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $z \in D''$. Therefore $g = f|_{D''}$. □

Favourable spaces A are always invariant under the transfer operator $\mathcal{L}$, and the restricted operator (henceforth denoted by $\mathcal{L}_A$) is always compact, indeed strongly nuclear.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let $(T_i, w_i, D)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ be a holomorphic map–weight system. The corresponding transfer operator leaves invariant every favourable space A of holomorphic functions on D, and $\mathcal{L}_A : A \to A$ is strongly nuclear.*

Proof. Choose $D' \in \mathcal{D}_d$ with $\bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{I}} T_i(D) \Subset D' \Subset D$. First we observe that $\hat{\mathcal{L}} f := \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} w_i \cdot f \circ T_i$ defines a continuous operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}} : U(D') \to U(D)$. To see this, fix $f \in U(D')$ and note that

$$w_i \cdot f \circ T_i \in U(D) \quad \text{with } \|w_i \cdot f \circ T_i\|_{U(D)} \le \|w_i\|_{U(D)} \|f\|_{U(D')}$$

for every $i \in \mathcal{I}$. But since

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{L}} f\|_{U(D)} \le \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|w_i\|_{U(D)} \|f\|_{U(D')} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \|w_i\|_{U(D)} < \infty$$

by hypothesis, we conclude that $\hat{\mathcal{L}} f \in U(D)$ and that $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ is continuous.

Since A is favourable, the embedding $\hat{J} : U(D) \hookrightarrow A$ is continuous, and the embedding $J : A \hookrightarrow U(D')$ is p-nuclear for every $p > 0$ by Lemma 2.9. Moreover, if $f \in A$, then $\mathcal{L} f = \hat{J}\hat{\mathcal{L}} J f \in A$. Thus A is $\mathcal{L}$-invariant, and the operator $\mathcal{L}_A = \hat{J}\hat{\mathcal{L}} J$ is p-nuclear for any $p > 0$. □

Remark 2.11. Strong nuclearity of the transfer operator on spaces of holomorphic functions is not new (the original result of this kind is [**Rue1**], but see also [**BJ2, GLZ, JP, May3**], for example); the novelty of Proposition 2.10 is in the breadth of the spaces covered†.

3. *Eigenvalue bounds*

For favourable A, the compactness of $\mathcal{L}_A$ means its spectrum consists of a countable set of eigenvalues, each with finite algebraic multiplicity, together with a possible accumulation point at 0. We wish to obtain bounds on the *eigenvalue sequence* $\lambda(\mathcal{L}_A) := \{\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_A)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, i.e. the sequence of all eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}_A$ counting algebraic multiplicities and ordered by decreasing modulus‡.

† Actually the result can be further extended to certain locally convex spaces of holomorphic functions, including $\mathrm{Hol}(D)$.

‡ By convention distinct eigenvalues with the same modulus can be written in any order (see e.g. [**Pie**, 3.2.20]).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

If $L : B_1 \to B_2$ is a continuous operator between Banach spaces, then, for $k \geq 1$, its kth *approximation number* $a_k(L)$ is defined as

$$a_k(L) = \inf\{\|L - K\| \mid K : B_1 \to B_2 \text{ linear with } \operatorname{rank}(K) < k\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For a C^ω map–weight system $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ such that $(T_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is complex contracting and a favourable space $A = A(D)$ such that $D \in \mathcal{D}_d$ is admissible, we have*

$$|\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_A)| \leq W n^{1/2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} a_k(J)^{1/n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \tag{4}$$

where $W := \sup_{z\in D} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} |w_i(z)|$, $D' \in \mathcal{D}_d$ is such that $\bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{I}} T_i(D) \subset D' \Subset D$, and $J : A(D) \hookrightarrow U(D')$ is the canonical embedding.

Proof. Since $A(D)$ is favourable, the embedding $\hat{J} : U(D) \hookrightarrow A(D)$ is continuous of norm 1. Next, observe that $\hat{\mathcal{L}} f = \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} w_i \cdot f \circ T_i$ defines a continuous operator $\hat{\mathcal{L}} : U(D') \to U(D)$ (see the proof of Proposition 2.10) with $\|\hat{\mathcal{L}}\| \leq W$. To verify the latter, note that for $f \in U(D')$ we have $|f(T_i(z))| \leq \|f\|_{U(D')}$ for every $z \in D$, $i \in \mathcal{I}$; thus, by the maximum principle, $\|\hat{\mathcal{L}} f\|_{U(D)} = \sup_{z\in D} |(\hat{\mathcal{L}} f)(z)| \leq \sup_{z\in D} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} |w_i(z)|\, |f(T_i(z))| \leq W \|f\|_{U(D')}$.

Now, clearly $\mathcal{L}_A = \hat{J}\hat{\mathcal{L}}J$; so

$$a_k(\mathcal{L}_A) \leq \|\hat{J}\hat{\mathcal{L}}\| a_k(J) \leq W a_k(J) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1, \tag{5}$$

since in general $a_k(L_1 L_2) \leq \|L_1\| a_k(L_2)$ whenever L_1 and L_2 are bounded operators between Banach spaces (see [**Pie**, 2.2]). Moreover, since $\mathcal{L}_A$ is compact, Weyl's inequality (see e.g. [**Hin**]) asserts that $\prod_{k=1}^{n} |\lambda_k(\mathcal{L}_A)| \leq n^{n/2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} a_k(\mathcal{L}_A)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ †.

Together with (5) this yields (4), because $|\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_A)| \leq \prod_{k=1}^{n} |\lambda_k(\mathcal{L}_A)|^{1/n}$. □

Taking $A(D) = U(D)$, the *Ruelle eigenvalue sequence* $\lambda(\mathcal{L}_{U(D)})$ can be bounded as follows.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that the Euclidean ball $D \subset \mathbb{C}^d$ is an admissible domain for a C^ω map–weight system $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$, and $\bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{I}} T_i(D)$ is contained in the concentric ball whose radius is r times that of D, where $r < 1$. Setting $W := \sup_{z\in B} \sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} |w_i(z)|$, the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence $\lambda(\mathcal{L}_{U(D)})$ can be bounded in the following way:*

$$|\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{U(D)})| < \frac{W}{r^d} n^{1/2}\, r^{(d/(d+1))(d!)^{1/d} n^{1/d}} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \tag{6}$$

If $d = 1$, then

$$|\lambda_n(\mathcal{L}_{U(D)})| \leq W n^{1/2}\, r^{(n-1)/2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $D = D_1$ be the open unit ball and let the smaller concentric ball be D_r, the ball of radius r centred at 0. Let $J : U(D_1) \hookrightarrow U(D_r)$ be the canonical embedding. From [**Far**, Proposition 2.1(a)] it follows that $a_l(J) \leq r^{t_l}$, where $t_l := k$ for $\binom{k-1+d}{d} < l \leq \binom{k+d}{d}$; hence $\prod_{l=1}^{n} a_l(J)^{1/n} \leq r^{(1/n)\sum_{l=1}^{n} t_l}$. If $d = 1$,

† This is a Banach space version of Weyl's original inequality in Hilbert space (see [**Wey**]). Note that the constant $n^{n/2}$ is optimal (see [**Hin**]).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

then $(1/n)\sum_{l=1}^{n} t_l = (1/n)\sum_{l=1}^{n}(l-1) = (n-1)/2$, and (7) follows from (4). More generally, $t_l \geq (d!)^{1/d} l^{1/d} - d$, so that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n} t_l \geq -d + (d!)^{1/d}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n} l^{1/d} > -d + (d!)^{1/d}\frac{d}{d+1}n^{1/d}$$

by using the estimate

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} l^{1/d} > \int_{x=0}^{n} x^{1/d} = \frac{d}{d+1}n^{1+1/d}.$$

Thus (6) follows from (4). □

4. *Universality of the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence*

If $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is a C^ω map–weight system with complex contracting $(T_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$, then, in view of Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.10, there is some freedom in the choice of an admissible D and a favourable space $A = A(D)$ on which to consider the transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_A$. The purpose of this section is to show that the eigenvalue sequence of $\mathcal{L}_A$ is in fact independent of A: it is always equal to the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence $\lambda(\mathcal{L}_{U(D)})$ (see Corollary 4.3). For this, we first require some facts from the Fredholm theory originally developed by Grothendieck [**Gro**]. If B is a Banach space, we denote by $N_p(B)$ $(p > 0)$ the quasi-Banach operator ideal of p-nuclear operators on B (cf. [**Pie**, D.1.4, 1.7.1]). If $p \leq 2/3$, then $N_p(B)$ admits a unique continuous trace τ and a unique continuous determinant det (see [**Pie**, 1.7.13, 4.7.8, 4.7.11]), related for a fixed $L \in N_p(B)$ by

$$\det(I - zL) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{z^n}{n}\tau(L^n)\right), \tag{8}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in a suitable neighbourhood of 0 (see [**Pie**, 4.6.2]). Moreover, both τ and det are spectral, which means that $\tau(L) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_n(L)$ and that, counting multiplicities, the zeros of the entire function $z \mapsto \det(I - zL)$ are precisely the reciprocals of the eigenvalues of L (see [**Pie**, 4.7.14, 4.7.15]).

Definition 4.1. For any holomorphic map–weight system $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$, the associated *dynamical determinant* is the entire function $\Delta : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, defined for all z of sufficiently small modulus by

$$\Delta(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{z^n}{n}\sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathcal{I}^n}\frac{w_{\underline{i}}(z_{\underline{i}})}{\det(I - T'_{\underline{i}}(z_{\underline{i}}))}\right), \tag{9}$$

where $w_{\underline{i}} := \prod_{k=1}^{n} w_{i_k} \circ T_{P_{k-1}\underline{i}}$, $P_k : \mathcal{I}^n \to \mathcal{I}^k$ denotes the projection $P_k\underline{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ with the convention that $T_{P_0\underline{i}} = \mathrm{id}$, and $z_{\underline{i}}$ denotes the (unique, by [**EH**]) fixed point of $T_{\underline{i}}$ in D.

Ruelle [**Rue1**] showed that Δ is the determinant of the strongly nuclear operator $\mathcal{L} : U(D) \to U(D)$. Therefore, if the zeros $z_1, z_2, \ldots$ of Δ are listed according to increasing modulus and counting multiplicity, then the reciprocal sequence $\{z_n^{-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is precisely the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $(T_i, w_i, D)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ be a holomorphic map–weight system. Then the associated transfer operator preserves every favourable space of holomorphic functions on D, and its determinant on each of these spaces is precisely the dynamical determinant Δ.*

Proof. Comparison of (8) and (9) means we require the trace formula†

$$\tau(\mathcal{L}_A^n) = \sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathcal{I}^n} \frac{w_{\underline{i}}(z_{\underline{i}})}{\det(I - T'_{\underline{i}}(z_{\underline{i}}))} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1, \tag{10}$$

for every favourable space A on the admissible domain D.

First, consider the holomorphic map–weight system (T, w, D) consisting of a single map and weight. Since $T(D) \Subset D$, the Earle–Hamilton theorem [**EH**] implies that T has a unique fixed point $z_0 \in D$, and the eigenvalues of $T'(z_0)$ lie in the open unit disc [**May2**, Theorem 1]. If $\mathcal{L}f = w \cdot f \circ T$ is the corresponding transfer operator, we claim that

$$\tau(\mathcal{L}_A) = \frac{w(z_0)}{\det(I - T'(z_0))}. \tag{11}$$

The admissibility of D and favourability of $A = A(D)$ are invariant under affine coordinate changes, and τ is invariant under continuous similarities, so we may assume that $z_0 = 0$ and $\|T'(0)\|_{L(\mathbb{C}^d)} < 1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.5, there exists $R > 0$ such that for $r \in (0, R)$, the radius-r Euclidean ball B_r centred at 0 is admissible.

Let $H_r^2 = H^2(B_r)$ denote the Hardy space on B_r, a favourable Hilbert space (see Remark 2.8) with inner product $(f, g)_{H_r^2} = \int_{S_r} f^* \overline{g^*}\, d\sigma_r$, where $S_r = \partial B_r$ and $\sigma_r(S_r) = 1$, and with the orthonormal basis (cf. [**Rud**, Propositions 1.4.8, 1.4.9]) $\{p_{\underline{n},r} \mid \underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d\}$, where

$$p_{\underline{n},r}(z) = K_{\underline{n}} r^{-|\underline{n}|} z^{\underline{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{\underline{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{(|\underline{n}| + d - 1)!}{(d-1)!\,\underline{n}!}},$$

and $\underline{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_d)$, $z^{\underline{n}} = z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_d^{n_d}$, $\underline{n}! = n_1! \cdots n_d!$ and $|\underline{n}| = n_1 + \cdots + n_d$.

The canonical embedding $J_r : A \hookrightarrow H_r^2$ has dense range, because complex polynomials are dense in H_r^2, and $J_r \mathcal{L}_A = \mathcal{L}_{H_r^2} J_r$. An intertwining argument of Grabiner [**Gra**, Lemma 2.3] then implies that $\lambda(\mathcal{L}_A) = \lambda(\mathcal{L}_{H_r^2})$ and hence that $\tau(\mathcal{L}_A) = \tau(\mathcal{L}_{H_r^2})$, because τ is spectral. The strong nuclearity of $\mathcal{L}_{H_r^2}$ means it is trace class, so $\tau(\mathcal{L}_{H_r^2})$ equals the sum of the diagonal entries of the matrix representation of $\mathcal{L}_{H_r^2}$ with respect to an orthonormal basis. Thus, for any $r \in (0, R)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\mathcal{L}_A) = \tau(\mathcal{L}_{H_r^2}) &= \sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d} (\mathcal{L}p_{\underline{n},r},\, p_{\underline{n},r})_{H_r^2} = \int_{S_r} w(z) \sum_{\underline{n}\in\mathbb{N}_0^d} K_{\underline{n}}^2 r^{-2|\underline{n}|} T(z)^{\underline{n}}\, \overline{z}^{\underline{n}}\, d\sigma_r(z) \\ &= \int_{S_r} \frac{w(z)}{(1 - (r^{-1}T(z), r^{-1}z)_{\mathbb{C}^d})^d}\, d\sigma_r(z) = \int_{S_1} \frac{w(rz)}{(1 - (r^{-1}T(rz), z)_{\mathbb{C}^d})^d}\, d\sigma_1(z).\end{aligned}$$

† This trace formula (10) generalizes the original one of Ruelle [**Rue1**] for $A = U(D)$, as well as that of Mayer [**May1, May2, May3**]. Our method of proof is rather direct, and reduces to a simple Hilbert space computation; in particular, we do not need to explicitly evaluate the eigenvalues of each weighted composition operator $f \mapsto w_{\underline{i}} \cdot f \circ T_{\underline{i}}$ (a more complicated procedure, particularly in higher dimensions, cf. [**May2**, §III]).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Letting $r \to 0$ gives

$$\tau(\mathcal{L}_A) = \int_{S_1} \frac{w(0)}{\big(1 - (T'(0)z,\, z)_{\mathbb{C}^d}\big)^d}\, d\sigma_1(z) = \frac{w(0)}{\det(I - T'(0))}$$

by an elementary integration, and (11) is proved.

Returning to the case of the holomorphic map–weight system $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$, the factorization argument used in the proof of Proposition 2.10 shows that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the series $\sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathcal{I}^n} \mathcal{L}_{\underline{i}}$ converges in $N_{2/3}(A)$ to $\mathcal{L}_A^n$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{i}} : A \to A$ is given by $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{i}} f = w_{\underline{i}} \cdot f \circ T_{\underline{i}}$. Since τ is continuous, $\tau(\mathcal{L}_A^n) = \sum_{\underline{i}\in\mathcal{I}^n} \tau(\mathcal{L}_{\underline{i}})$ and the required trace formula (10) follows from (11). □

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ be a C^ω map–weight system such that $(T_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ is complex contracting. Then the associated transfer operator preserves every favourable space on every admissible domain, and its eigenvalue sequence on each of these spaces is precisely the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence.*

In view of Corollary 4.3, the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence associated with a complex contracting C^ω map–weight system will henceforth be denoted simply by $\lambda(\mathcal{L}) = \{\lambda_n(\mathcal{L})\}_{n=1}^\infty$.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2, the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence $\lambda(\mathcal{L})$ can be bounded as follows:*

$$|\lambda_n(\mathcal{L})| < \min\left(n^{1/2}\,,\ \frac{\sqrt{d}}{(1-r^2)^{d/2}}\, n^{(d-1)/(2d)}\right) \frac{W}{r^d} r^{(d/(d+1))(d!)^{1/d} n^{1/d}}. \tag{12}$$

Proof. The Hardy space $H^2(D)$ is favourable, so Corollary 4.3 implies that $\lambda(\mathcal{L}_{H^2(D)})$ is the Ruelle eigenvalue sequence. The bound

$$|\lambda_n(\mathcal{L})| < \frac{W\sqrt{d}}{r^d(1-r^2)^{d/2}}\, n^{(d-1)/(2d)}\, r^{(d/(d+1))(d!)^{1/d} n^{1/d}}$$

then follows from [**BJ1**, Theorem 1]. The other part of (12) is immediate from Theorem 3.2. □

Remark 4.5. For a given $(T_i, w_i)_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$, if $r < 1$ is chosen as small as possible, then the part of (12) arising from [**BJ1**] is asymptotically superior as $n \to \infty$. For sufficiently small n, the part of (12) arising from Theorem 3.2 is sharper. For example, in dimension $d = 1$ the latter bound on $|\lambda_n(\mathcal{L})|$ is superior whenever $n^2 < 1/(1 - r^2)$; this is always the case for $n = 1$, and may be true for many n if r is large (i.e. the map system is weakly contracting).

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to B. Carl, H. König, V. Mascioni and A. Pietsch for advice on the sharpness of Weyl's inequality in Banach spaces, and K. Osipenko, A. Pinkus and M. Stessin for bibliographical assistance concerning the approximation numbers for the embedding of $U(B_1)$ in $U(B_r)$. Both authors were partially supported

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

by EPSRC grants GR/R64650/01 (2001–2003) and GR/S50991/01 (2004–2007), and the second author was partially supported by an EPSRC Advanced Research Fellowship.

References

[AAC] R. Artuso, E. Aurell and P. Cvitanović. Recycling of strange sets. II. Applications. *Nonlinearity* **3** (1990), 361–386.

[Ave] A. Avez. *Differential Calculus*. Wiley, Chichester, 1986.

[Bal] V. Baladi. *Positive Transfer Operators and Decay of Correlations (Advanced Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, 16)*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.

[BJ1] O. F. Bandtlow and O. Jenkinson. Explicit a priori bounds on transfer operator eigenvalues. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **276** (2007), 901–905.

[BJ2] O. F. Bandtlow and O. Jenkinson. Explicit eigenvalue estimates for transfer operators acting on spaces of holomorphic functions. *Adv. Math.* **218** (2008), 902–925.

[CCR] F. Christiansen, P. Cvitanović and H.-H. Rugh. The spectrum of the period-doubling operator in terms of cycles. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** (1990), L713–L717.

[CPR] F. Christiansen, G. Paladin and H.-H. Rugh. Determination of correlation spectra in chaotic systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990), 2087–2090.

[EH] C. J. Earle and R. S. Hamilton. A fixed point theorem for holomorphic mappings. *Global Analysis (Proceedings Symposia in Pure Mathematics, XVI)*. Eds. S. Chern and S. Smale. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1970, pp. 61–65.

[Far] Yu. A. Farkov. The N-widths of Hardy–Sobolev spaces of several complex variables. *J. Approx. Theory* **75** (1993), 183–197.

[Gra] S. Grabiner. Spectral consequences of the existence of intertwining operators. *Ann. Soc. Math. Polonae Ser.* 1 **22** (1970), 227–238.

[Gro] A. Grothendieck. *Produits Tensoriels Topologiques et Espaces Nucléaires (Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 16)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1955.

[GLZ] L. Guillopé, K. Lin and M. Zworski. The Selberg zeta function for convex co-compact Schottky groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **245** (2004), 149–176.

[Hin] A. Hinrichs. Optimal Weyl inequality in Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), 731–735.

[JMS] Y. Jiang, T. Morita and D. Sullivan. Expanding direction of the period-doubling operator. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **144** (1992), 509–520.

[JP] O. Jenkinson and M. Pollicott. Orthonormal expansions of invariant densities for expanding maps. *Adv. Math.* **192** (2005), 1–34.

[Kra] S. G. Krantz. *Function Theory of Several Complex Variables*, 2nd edn. AMS Chelsea, New York, 1992.

[May1] D. H. Mayer. On a ζ function related to the continued fraction transformation. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **104** (1976), 195–203.

[May2] D. H. Mayer. On composition operators on Banach spaces of holomorphic functions. *J. Funct. Anal.* **35** (1980), 191–206.

[May3] D. H. Mayer. Continued fractions and related transformations. *Ergodic Theory, Symbolic Dynamics and Hyperbolic Spaces*. Eds. T. Bedford, M. Keane and C. Series. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.

[Pie] A. Pietsch. *Eigenvalues and s-Numbers*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[Pol1] M. Pollicott. Some applications of thermodynamic formalism to manifolds of constant negative curvature. *Adv. Math.* **85** (1991), 161–192.

[Pol2] M. Pollicott. A note on the Artuso–Aurell–Cvitanovic approach to the Feigenbaum tangent operator. *J. Stat. Phys.* **62** (1991), 257–267.

[PR] M. Pollicott and A. Rocha. A remarkable formula for the determinant of the Laplacian. *Invent. Math.* **130** (1997), 399–414.

[Ran] R. M. Range. *Holomorphic Functions and Integral Representations in Several Complex Variables*. Springer, New York, 1986.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press

[Rud] W. Rudin. *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of C^n (Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 241)*. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[Rue1] D. Ruelle. Zeta-functions for expanding maps and Anosov flows. *Invent. Math.* **34** (1976), 231–242.

[Rue2] D. Ruelle. Resonances for Axiom A flows. *J. Differential Geom.* **25** (1987), 117–137.

[Scha] H. H. Schaefer. *Topological Vector Spaces*. Springer, New York, 1971.

[Wey] H. Weyl. Inequalities between two kinds of eigenvalues of a linear transformation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **35** (1949), 408–411.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385708000059 Published online by Cambridge University Press