Instructions for Reviewers

The *Journal of Experimental Political Science* (*JEPS*) features research that utilizes experimental methods or experimental reasoning based on naturally occurring data. The journal welcomes the various types of experiments carried out as part of political science research, including survey experiments, laboratory experiments, field experiments, lab experiments in the field, natural and neurological experiments.

From the perspective of a **reviewer**, a high-quality experiment must provide: (1) a theoretical and/or empirical contribution, (2) appropriate comparisons in a well-powered design, and (3) statistical analyses that are informative and transparent. Regardless of the type of experiment, authors must articulate how their design identifies the causal effect that they are studying.

JEPS features concise articles (around 4000 words or fewer) that immediately address the subject of the research. We do not require lengthy explanations regarding and justifications of the experimental method. We will consider longer articles in *rare*, but appropriate cases, as in the following examples: when a new experimental method or approach is being introduced and discussed or when novel theoretical results are being evaluated through experimentation. Finally, we encourage authors to submit manuscripts that showcase informative null findings or inconsistent results from well-designed, executed, and analyzed experiments.

Reviewers should be aware of different article formats and corresponding word limits. That information and other important journal reporting standards are described here.